


AGENDA 21

IN COLORADO AND WORLDWIDE



This analysis at <http://agenda21.tk> is frequently updated.
This is the February 3, 2015 version by Jeff Hogan, deltanorthfork@gmail.com, Montrose, Colorado
You may freely print, copy, e-mail, post, cross-post, reproduce any portions
for your benefit, or request a Microsoft Word version.
Your feedback and corrections are most welcome.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Click on a section title to jump to it or find it via the page number to the right.

1.	AGENDA 21 IS A GLOBAL ASSAULT ON INALIENABLE RIGHTS	10
2.	TWO INCREDIBLY LAWLESS GOVERNMENT INCURSIONS TO LOCAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT.....	11
3.	UTTER THUGGERY BY THE HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGAINST WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.....	12
4.	THE DECEPTIVE AND WIDESPREAD ADVANCEMENT OF AGENDA 21	12
5.	THE FATHER OF THE “AGENDA FOR THE 21ST CENTURY”	16
6.	AGENDA 21 HAS ALREADY PERMEATED YOUR COMMUNITY	20
7.	HAS YOUR TOWN FALLEN FOR THE “VISIONING” PROCESS?	25
8.	A MOVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE	28
9.	THE PURPOSE OF AGENDA 21	29
10.	BLATANTLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL AGENDA 21 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT 30	
11.	WHAT MOTIVATES PEOPLE TO PROMOTE AGENDA 21 ?	37
12.	THE TACTICS OF AGENDA 21.....	39
13.	THE RELIGION OF AGENDA 21.....	43
14.	THE POLITICAL AMBITION OF AGENDA 21	44
15.	AGENDA 21 INDOCTRINATION.....	46
16.	THE BIRTH OF AGENDA 21.....	48
17.	21 SIGNS THAT AGENDA 21 IS IN YOUR COMMUNITY.....	52
18.	SOCIAL JUSTICE-PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ENGINES OF AGENDA 21.....	56
19.	AGENDA 21 SOCIAL JUSTICE: ASSAULTING PRIVATE PROPERTY	56
20.	DO ALL FORMS OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT DIMINISH LIBERTY?	62
21.	ENFORCEMENT OF AGENDA 21.....	62
22.	LAND CONSERVATION TRUSTS AND EASEMENTS	65
23.	THE SCOPE OF AGENDA 21.....	68
24.	THE AGENDA 21 WILDLANDS PROJECT	70
25.	AGENDA 21 DIRECTLY IMPACTING COLORADO’S WESTERN SLOPE	72
26.	THE GOAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS “AGENDA FOR THE 21ST CENTURY”	74
27.	UNCONSTITUTIONALITY OF AGENDA 21.....	74
28.	AGENDA 21 ENVIRONMENTAL FASCISM BY THE EPA	77
29.	AGENDA 21 REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS (MEGAREGIONS) OVERRULING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	80
30.	AGENDA 21 SMART GROWTH CONTRIBUTED TO THE HOUSING CRASH	83

31.	AGENDA 21 REPUDIATED BY MONTROSE COUNTY, COLORADO	83
32.	AGENDA 21 DEFEATED IN LA PLATA COUNTY, COLORADO	83
33.	AGENDA 21 OUTLAWED IN TENNESSEE.....	84
34.	AGENDA 21 OUTLAWED IN MISSOURI	84
35.	AGENDA 21 DEFEATED IN CARROL COUNTY, MARYLAND	85
36.	GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA REPEALED U.N. GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 85	
37.	AGENDA 21 IN EDMOND, OKLAHOMA: WITHDRAWAL FROM ICLEI	85
38.	AGENDA 21 IN HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA.....	86
39.	AGENDA 21 IN NAPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA	86
40.	AGENDA 21 IN SONOMA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	86
41.	AGENDA 21 IN UTAH AND ARIZONA.....	86
42.	AGENDA 21 IN SAN JUAQUIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA	88
43.	AGENDA 21 IN CLALLAM COUNTY, WASHINGTON	88
44.	WASHINGTON STATE DEPT OF ECOLOGY ENFORCING AGENDA 21 RESTRICTIONS	89
45.	AGENDA 21 IN GREATER PORTLAND AREA, OREGON	89
46.	AGENDA 21 MICRO-APARTMENTS IN NEW YORK CITY	89
47.	KANSAS HOUSE OF REPS RESOLUTION TO BAN AGENDA 21 AND ICLEI	90
48.	RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA 21 IN CHARLTON and SARATOGA, NEW YORK 90	
49.	THE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA 21 91	
50.	VIRGINIA GOV AND ATTY GENERAL STEPPING AWAY FROM AGENDA 21	92
51.	AGENDA 21 KICKED OUT OF COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS	92
52.	AGENDA 21 IN FLATHEAD VALLEY, MONTANA.....	92
53.	MASSACHUSETTS BILL TO KICK AGENDA 21 OUT OF THE STATE	93
54.	AGENDA 21 IN NEW HAMPSHIRE	94
55.	AGENDA 21 ATTEMPTED GOVERNMENT LAND GRAB OF THE WHITE RIVER WATERSHED IN MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS	94
56.	AGENDA 21 IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA	94
57.	AGENDA 21 IN CANADA	95
58.	AGENDA 21 TRAGIC CHECKMATE IN AUSTRALIA.....	95
59.	AGENDA 21 IMPLEMENTATION	95
60.	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT'S AMBIGUOUS DEFINITION.....	97
61.	AGENDA 21 PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP GOVERNMENT MONOPOLIES .	98
62.	COMMUNITIES REMOVING THEMSELVES FROM ICLEI.....	99

63. CONFRONTING AGENDA 21 IN YOUR COMMUNITY 99

64. 8 STEPS FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS TO PROTECT CITIZENS' RIGHTS..... 104

65. PROPERTY RIGHTS DEFINED 104

66. VALUABLE RESOURCES FOR FIGHTING AGENDA 21 106

67. WATCH FOR THESE AGENDA 21 CODE WORDS AND ORGANIZATIONS 110

68. REASONS FOR THE UNITED STATES TO SEVER ALL TIES TO THE U.N. 114

69. "The world is a dangerous place to live; not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it." Albert Einstein 120

AGENDA 21 UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTS DOCUMENTED IN THIS ANALYSIS

1) 14 years of hassles attempting to subdivide a property in Washington..... 23

2) 31 regions of the u.s. are being subjected to Wildlands Projects, locking away more than 40 percent of the nations land..... 34

3) 65-10 rule prohibiting 17,000 landowners from using 65% of their property..... 11

4) 68% of the national parks, monuments and preserves have been designated as *World Heritage Sites* that grant open-ended powers to local commissions, without public debate, to regulate and restrict human activity within them..... 36

5) Almost all of the more than 124 metropolitan areas having affordability problems in 2005 were directly caused by comprehensive planning and smart growth. 84

6) An 86 Year Old Woman’s Illegal Tomato Plants 38

7) Any piece of land that is wet at least part of the year is in danger of being classified by EPA employees as wetlands (the Supreme Court overruled the EPA in the Idaho Sackett case)..... 79

8) Attempted to permit only hybrid cars and bicycles to park in downtown parking spaces in Fort Collins, Colorado 26

9) By 2050, or sooner, there will be 11 Mega-Regions in the United States that include parts of Mexico and Canada. Local and state boundaries and jurisdictions will be dissolved. 83

10) Coercive Urban Growth Boundaries in South Carolina.....16

11) Down-zoning of agricultural land to prevent future subdivision by farmers 86

12) Durango, Colorado concentrated their population into “Smart Growth12

13) Each urban area in Oregon is required to define an Urban Growth Boundary91

14) Endangered Species Act led to the San Joaquin Valley Delta Smelt putting 40,000 farmers out of business in March 2008 where they can’t irrigate some of the best soil in the U.S 90

15) Environmentalist groups force the Fish and Wildlife Service to stop commercial use of 187,000 square miles of Alaska’s north shore..... 38

16) Environmentalist regulations and litigation are dramatically hindering mitigation of beetle infestation of 6.6 million acres of forest in Colorado and Wyoming 73

17) Every county’s comprehensive master plan nationwide contains the same elements, the same goals, the same processes, all of which are spelled out in AGENDA 21 and documents produced by the PCSD 28

18) Falsely Designated Wetlands by the EPA..... 35

19) Florida Forever owns or controls 10% of the land in Florida 37

20) Forced Closure Of Drakes Bay Oyster Company 38

21) Hay is now a ”pollutant”, according to the EPA 90

22) Hierarchy of "Councils" reporting to an apex to implement a predetermined outcome 83

23) HUD is demanding that Westchester County change zoning to increase density so that more and more units can be crammed into existing neighborhoods. They call this ‘infill.’ Although the lawsuit settlement calls for construction of 750 units of affordable housing, HUD is demanding 10,768 units ... 11

24) Illegally Dry Grass 38

25) Illegally Tall Grass 38

26) Julie Bass’ Illegal Front Yard Vegetable Garden 37

27) King County, Washington provides a dramatic example of *AGENDA 21* in its attempt to enforce a 65-10 rule prohibiting 17,000 landowners from using 65% of their property, requiring that it stay in a strictly natural state. 11

28) Land trusts have operated more like government agents, acquiring easements from private landowners, only to turn around and quietly sell them - sometimes for a profit - to state or federal governments..... 66

29) Listen to this video by a professor at Stanford University advocating for the San Francisco..... 82

30) Man jailed for collecting rainwater in reservoirs on his property 38

31) Martha Boneta charged with two additional violations of up to \$5,000 each, one for advertising a pumpkin carving and another for operating a small shop on her property..... 32

32) New Hampshire elected officials have no voting power on community planning policies created by “Granite State Future” 96

33) People are being arrested for refusing smart electrical consumption meters on their home, to avoid the government controlling their electrical use and monitoring their activity and damaging the health of the occupants with electromagnet radiation 37

34) President Clinton Placing 1.7 Million Acres Off Limits to Mining..... 36

35) Reclassification Of Colorado Land To Wilderness to Prevent Harvesting Lumber, Coal, Oil and Gas 34

36) Reintroducing Wolves At the Expense of Livestock and Elk Herds 39

37) Rezoning of thousands of acres of beautiful, low-density agricultural farmland and protected residential conservation land into office parks 86

38) Single-family housing is out and high-density housing will be the norm..... 88

39) Spotted Owl Protection Triggered A 90% Cutback In Logging 37

40) Taxpayers are not given the opportunity to vote on the “fundamental transformation” of their counties 85

41) The Adirondack Park Agency gained control of all private land use within 6 million acres of northeast New York 49

42) The Cedar Falls City Council is, by the authority of the International Code Council, forcing 37

43) The EPA has manufactured the Global Warming crisis and coerced governments, corporations and communities to waste hundreds of billions of dollars and create endless detrimental regulations, such as those classifying CO2 and farm dust as pollutants..... 78

44) The EPA is requiring buffers on “any” waterways, whether they are seasonal or not, for 500 feet on each side - a total of 1000 feet. 90

45) The EPA's war on coal will result in the closure of 319 coal-fueled generating units. 36

46) The PCSD “was responsible for instilling sustainable development consciousness throughout every agency of federal government 23

47) The Portland, Oregon area has an elected, seven-member council that has the authority to overrule all of the 23 cities and three counties within its boundaries.81

48) The roadless rule increases the risk of wildfires impacting water supplies and prevents the building and maintaining of water conveyance structures. 74

49) These land masses that are being set aside are being incorporated into giant land trusts for the purpose of selling carbon credits in the trillion dollar Cap n' Trade scheme that recently started in this state..... 82

50) These unelected "councils" represent an additional layer of bureaucracy between NC citizen's and their liberty. 82

51) This county will no longer maintain 1350 of its 1500 miles of roads. 88

52) Unelected Bay Area board members are able to dictate the amount and location of low-income and stack-and-pack housing, public transportation requirements, zoning and private property land use.....81

53) United Nations Biosphere Reserves 35

54) Up-zoning of low-density residential land around small towns into higher density zoning to permit construction of hundreds or possibly thousands of inclusive housing units, including apartments and condominiums..... 86

55) UTTER THUGGERY BY THE HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT 11

ILLEGAL AGENDA 21 GOVERNANCE EXAMPLES IN THIS PAPER

1. AGENDA 21 IS A GLOBAL ASSAULT ON INALIENABLE RIGHTS

AGENDA 21 is a pervasive, communitarian movement, now in its third decade, elevating social and environmental justice as the supreme guidelines for society.

Social planners have imposed countless regulations and new jurisdictions rolling back and prohibiting “unsustainable” features of modern life, including constitutionally protected private and public land use.


“Sustainable Development calls for changing the very infrastructure of the nation, away from private ownership and control of property to nothing short of central planning of the entire economy – often referred to as top-down control. Truly, *Sustainable Development* is designed to change our way of life.

Many are now finding non-elected regional governments and governing councils enforcing policy and regulations. As these policies are implemented, locally-elected officials are actually losing power and decision-making ability in their own communities. Most decisions are now being made behind the scenes in non-elected “sustainability councils” armed with truckloads of federal regulations, guidelines, and grant money.

In fact, a recent study reported that elected city councils and commissioners have lost approximately 10% of their legislative power during the past 10 years, while, through the consensus process, the power of private groups called Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) has increased by as much as 300%. It is a wrenching transformation, indeed.”

<http://americanpolicy.org/2008/01/25/sustainable-development-the-root-of-all-our-problems-2/>

The justification for *AGENDA 21* rests on claims that capitalism, constitutional republicanism, middle class consumption patterns, private property use and free markets are causing an environmental, climate, wildlife, social justice and overpopulation emergency.



The United Nations *AGENDA 21* instruction manual is the 1995 *U.N. Global Biodiversity Assessment* that says the world population is over twice what the earth can sustain. “Sir David Attenborough, famous mostly for his *Life* documentaries, declared, “We are a plague on the Earth. It’s coming home to roost over the next 50 years or so. It’s not just climate change; it’s sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the natural world will do it for us.” Russia is falling in line with rates of abortion at 57% and fertility at 1.6.

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/52857#When:15:30:03Z>

<http://www.populationmatters.org/>

http://www.americanthinker.com/2013/02/the_myth_of_overpopulation.html

The UN’s Commission on Global Governance said in its 1995 report: “Human activity... combined with unprecedented increases in human numbers... are impinging on the planet’s basic life support system. Action must be taken now to control the human activities that produce these risks”

AGENDA 21 is an attack on every “unsustainable” aspect of modern life listed in the *Biodiversity Assessment* (see below). Ethical, God-fearing, individualistic, non-progressive mainstream Americans who do not hold a pantheistic worldview and who do not elevate nature over mankind realize this scheme is inhumane, tyrannical, collectivist and globalist.

UNSUSTAINABLE FEATURES OF MODERN LIFE

Modern Attitudes toward nature - Judaeo-Christian-Islamic religions

Private Property

Land use that serves human needs

Harvesting of Timber
Logging Activities
Technology Improvements
Consumerism and population figures
Weaknesses in Legal and Institutional Systems
Farmlands, Pastures, Rangelands
Agriculture
Fencing of Pastures or Paddocks,
Grazing of Livestock: cows, sheep, goats, horses
Modern Farm Production Systems
Economic systems that fail to set a proper value on the environment
Chemical Fertilizers
Fossil Fuels - Used for driving various kinds of machines
Paved and Tarred roads, highways, rails (page 351)
Herbicides
Building Materials
Industrial Activities
Human-Made caves of brick and mortar, concrete and steel
Railroads
Floor and Wall Tiles
Aquaculture
Fish Ponds
Plantations
Modern Hunting
Dams, Reservoirs, Straightening Rivers
Power Line Construction
Inappropriate Social Structures
Population Growth - Human Population Density
Fragmentation of Habitat - cemeteries, derelict lands, rubbish tips, etc.
Sewers, Drain Systems, Pipelines
Fisheries
Golf Courses
Scuba Diving
Synthetic drugs
Fragmentation - Agricultural development, Forestry Urbanization (impervious surfaces)
Disturbance of the Soil Surface by Large hooved animals, compaction of soil, reducing filtration
Ski Runs

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/sustainable_development/what_is_%22unsustainable%22%3F_2003022414/

2. TWO INCREDIBLY LAWLESS GOVERNEMENT INCURSIONS TO LOCAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

King County, Washington provides a dramatic example of *AGENDA 21* in its attempt to enforce a 65-10 rule prohibiting 17,000 landowners from using 65% of their property, requiring that it stay in a strictly natural state.

Here's an argument against the 65-10 rule:

<http://www.amerikanexpose.com/agenda21/privateprop.html>

Here's an argument in favor of the 65-10 rule that helps you grasp the beguiling environmentalist theme behind *AGENDA 21*.

http://seattletimes.com/html/opinion/2001943662_cao01.html

3. UTTER THUGGERY BY THE HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGAINST WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK

“If you object to high density housing being imposed on your area you’re labeled a racist or a NIMBY or a conspiracy theorist. This cheap shot makes it easy for regional boards, federal government agencies, and non-profits to hide the true objective: implementation of UN Agenda 21’s ‘islands of human habitation.’ This is not some fantasy of ours, it is to be found in objective reality, right there is in your Sustainable Communities Strategy Regional Plans, climate action plans, regional affordable housing requirements, HUD, EPA, DOT, and other agency plans. Still not sure? Still afraid to name it?”

Take a listen to this incredible 12 minute speech by Westchester County Executive Rob Astorino describing the **vicious thuggery of the US Department of Housing and Urban Development–HUD.**

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=qKZJYO2XvpM

After Westchester County settled an affordable housing suit with HUD by constructing affordable housing units at a pace faster than required by the settlement, HUD pulled out the real goals of UN Agenda 21: the creation of islands of human habitation. HUD is demanding that Westchester County change zoning to increase density so that more and more units can be crammed into existing neighborhoods. They call this ‘infill.’ Although the lawsuit settlement calls for construction of 750 units of affordable housing, HUD is demanding 10,768 units. Westchester County would have to pay, in Astorino’s estimation, one billion dollars to construct these units. When Astorino objected to HUD he was ignored. Now HUD is punishing Westchester County by withholding millions of dollars in assistance for the needy of Westchester County.

What is this about? **This is SOCIAL EQUITY. Remember?** The three pillars of UN Agenda 21 are the three E’s: Economy, Ecology, Social Equity.”

<http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/1/post/2013/08/thug-politics-hud-vs-westchester-county-new-york.html>

4. THE DECEPTIVE AND WIDESPREAD ADVANCEMENT OF AGENDA 21

AGENDA 21 Sustainable Development is antithetical to the Declaration of Independence, the U.S. Constitution, and the inalienable rights George Washington identified in this proclamation: **“Private property and freedom are inseparable.”**

Sustainable Development is deceptively implemented by a myriad of schemes that circumvent Congressional legislation, traditional jurisdictions, statutory law, normal debate and public voting. This movement exploits a deliberately complex matrix of manufactured environmental/economic crises, Executive Orders, draconian EPA regulations, green initiatives, NGO grants, public/private monopolies, regional bureaucracies, community “visioning” meetings, manufactured consensus, and a plethora of administrative regulations.

Another *AGENDA 21* example is the Orton Family Foundation, an NGO behind the canceled “Comprehensive Plan” for rural La Plata County, Colorado, which sought to concentrate their population into “Smart Growth” “mixed use” corridors in condos above the street level retail businesses. “Durango has not experienced a housing bubble or high unemployment. However, they have destroyed their once historic downtown with a massive infill project in recent years, increasing population and traffic in its downtown area with townhomes and “smart growth towers.”

<http://smartgrowthusa.wordpress.com/2011/09/06/durango-colorado-la-plata-county-comprehensive-plan/>
<http://www.planningtoolexchange.org/project/durango-comprehensive-plan>

The Orton Family Foundation helped design the Colorado Smart Growth Scorecard document posted on no less than the EPA’s website. The out-of-control and thuggish EPA, classifying life-giving CO₂ as a pollutant, is teaming up with dozens of NGO’s to aggressively implement *AGENDA 21*.

http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/scorecards/Colorado_Scorecard.pdf

This quote from Heidi Hall echoes the main media’s standardized ridicule of anyone concerned about *AGENDA 21*. “Agenda 21 was a lovely document produced to help move the world towards more sustainable living, promoting smart growth and care for our surroundings. It has, like most UN documents, no teeth. And it respects national boundaries and sovereignty. I saw it as a rather pie-in-the-sky effort, but forward thinking nonetheless.

I cannot fathom why a group of people would twist this document into an ugly conspiracy that supposedly hopes to cage people in cities to let wildlife roam free, and then rule those people in a global government. This is one of the most laughable conspiracies around today, except that it has been taken seriously by too many people, apparently most ferociously by the extreme right. The document is easily Googled and read, and you will find absolutely nothing to support such fear-mongering talk.

I suggest that people use their critical thinking skills and do their own research when it comes to conspiracy theories like these.”

<http://yubanet.com/regional/Op-Ed-Heidi-Hall-Agenda-21---Please-use-your-critical-thinking-skills.php>

The main media, as usual, insults your intelligence by spewing consternation and mockery instead offering any substantial argument. They assume most of America can be brainwashed instead of informed.

Tom DeWeese cites these examples from publications that many believe are reputable (a sad fact deserving a complete analysis in itself).

“So, the Progressives are using all of their impressive resources in government, the news media and the Internet to publish articles and plant their attempts to ridicule, cast doubt and spread their own creative conspiracy theories about our unexplained successes.


Here are just a very few samples. The New York Times launched a Front Page, Sunday addition, attack entitled “Activists Fight Green Projects, Seeing UN Plot.” Mother Jones magazine offered its version of the anti-Agenda 21 battle with this headline: “We don’t need none of that Smart-growth Communism.” Then came the Atlantic magazine’s offering under the title of “Is the UN Using Bike Paths to Achieve World Domination?” And then The Atlantic Cities publication decried “How the Tea Party is Upending Urban Planning.” And that, of course, is the center of the matter. Anti-Agenda 21 activists are scoring victories in community after community, seriously curtailing or even stopping “urban planning” which has damaged citizen’s rights to use their own bought and paid for private property. How outrageous.”

<http://www.rightsideneeds.com/2013070932861/life-and-science/energy-and-environment/exxon-funding-nope-never-got-the-check.html>

Here’s three explanations for the main media’s agenda-driven irresponsibility: 1) They’re only capable of emotional attacks against those against *AGENDA 21* [in accord with Progressivism], even though the issue is a complex, pervasive and ubiquitous movement that demands serious research and expose. 2) The Politically Correct cede their individual moral responsibilities to the collective, including serious debate. 3) They need to hide the fact that all the United Nations *AGENDA 21* documents are self-incriminating!

Call Heidi’s bluff by taking her suggestion to research this “conspiracy” and reading the comprehensive analysis below! Or, if you want a convenient yet comprehensive booklet on *AGENDA 21*, get Tom Deweese’s fantastic [SPECIAL REPORT: Agenda 21](#).

And by the way, typing “AGENDA 21” into your search engine will yield just the opposite results Heidi falsely claimed.



AGENDA 21 is both consequential and unknown. Its proponents don't want you informed about it. If those who endorse it, including the mainstream media, believed it would be popular, it would have been publicized as extensively as it has been implemented. Instead, journalists and commercial beneficiaries consistently attack anyone expressing opposition as being paranoid of the United Nations, even though the first paragraph in the U.N. *AGENDA 21* web site actually makes this breathtaking statement:

“Effective execution of *AGENDA 21* will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level.”

<http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/AGENDA21/>

How could anyone be concerned about such a trivial plan? ☺

Here's a link to a typical example of a writer claiming that critics of *AGENDA 21* are paranoid of a “UN plan to encourage countries to consider the environmental impacts of human development.” Notice how benignly he describes the plan!

<http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2010/11/tea-party-agenda-21-un-sustainable-development>

Listed below are the shallow arguments that betray ignorance of *AGENDA 21* which reporters, journalists, bloggers, professors, politicians and planning board members are increasingly using to ridicule those concerned about *AGENDA 21*.

- Local planning is a local idea.
- *AGENDA 21* is a non-binding resolution not a treaty, carries no legal authority from which any nation is bound to act. It has no teeth.
- The U.N. has no enforcement capability.
- There are no “Blue-Helmeted” U.N. troops at City Hall.
- Planners are simply honest professionals trying to do their job, and all these protests are wasting their valuable time.
- The main concern of *AGENDA 21* is that man is fouling the environment and using up resources for future generations and we just need a sensible plan to preserve and protect the earth. What is so bad about that?
- There is no hidden agenda.
- “I've read *AGENDA 21* and I can find no threatening language that says it is a global plot. What are you so afraid of?”

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/46750>

Two factors keep the threat of *AGENDA 21* hidden. Its scope is so monumental that those not aware of specific encroachments in their backyard tune it out as a ludicrous United Nations scheme. They need to be made aware of “Smart Meters” which constitute a search of private homes without a warrant 24 hours a day:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8JNFr_j6kdI

<http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/energy/2012/12/121212-smart-meter-privacy/>

On the other hand, those implementing it locally are either careful not to attribute their decisions to *AGENDA 21* or they are actually unaware how much their actions are advancing the *AGENDA*.

J Gary Lawrence, advisor to President Clinton's Council on *Sustainable Development* strategically advised proponents of *AGENDA 21* to avoid making reference to the U.N. or to *AGENDA 21*, in order to cloak their efforts to advance it, by using the terms “Comprehensive Planning”, “Growth Management”, and the now famous phrase: “Smart Growth”. He documented this strategy in his 1998 booklet “The Future of *AGENDA 21* in the New Millennium.”

<http://www.unedforum.org/publications/millennium/mill%20paper2.pdf>

“The worst of the worst of the Sustainablists is the American Planning Association (APA). [With extensive membership in every state of the U.S.] So panicked is this American Trojan Horse over growing opposition to its

policies that APA has organized a “Boot Camp” to teach its operatives how to counter our opposition. Recently APA released a memo entitled “Glossary for the Public.” It is quite telling on how an organization that is supposed to be one of the most respected planning groups in the nation, operating in nearly every city, will teach its people to lie at all costs in order to maintain their power and influence in our communities.”

<http://americanpolicy.org/2012/03/26/lies-and-doublespeak-of-american-planning-association-and-how-to-fight-back/>

A few of the words the APA warns planners not to use are: *AGENDA 21*, Consensus, Delphi technique, public visioning, Public-Private Partnerships, regional planning, smart growth, sustainability.

So the word that represents the exact policy that’s central to their mission, sustainability, is not to be used!

Twenty years into its history, opponents are finally bringing *AGENDA 21* to light and beginning to reverse its encroachments to liberty, as in Alabama, the first state to outlaw *AGENDA 21*. An outstanding and authoritative 83 minute presentation explaining *AGENDA 21* is available at:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9GyKzQWLXJs&feature=player_embedded

“Alabama recently passed Senate Bill 477 unanimously in both of its houses. The legislation bars the taking of private property in Alabama without due process and says that “Alabama and all political subdivisions may not adopt or implement policy recommendations that deliberately or inadvertently infringe or restrict private property rights without due process, as may be required by policy recommendations originating in or traceable to *AGENDA 21*.””

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/11592-alabama-adopts-first-official-state-ban-on-un-agenda-21>

This is the primary portion of Alabama Senate Bill 477:

(a) As used in this section, “political subdivisions” means all state, county, incorporated city, unincorporated city, public local entity, public-private partnership, and any other public entity of the state, a county, or city.

(b) The State of Alabama and all political subdivisions may not adopt or implement policy recommendations that deliberately or inadvertently infringe or restrict private property rights without due process, as may be required by policy recommendations originating in, or traceable to “*AGENDA 21*,” adopted by the United Nations in 1992 at its Conference on Environment and Development or any other international law or ancillary plan of action that contravenes the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of the State of Alabama.

(c) Since the United Nations has accredited and enlisted numerous non-governmental and inter-governmental organizations to assist in the implementation of its policies relative to *AGENDA 21* around the world, the State of Alabama and all political subdivisions may not enter into any agreement, expend any sum of money, or receive funds contracting services, or giving financial aid to or from those non-governmental and inter-governmental organizations as defined in *AGENDA 21*.

<http://legiscan.com/gaits/text/645326>

We must encourage Mayors, City Councilors, Commissioners, Chamber of Commerce members, State and Federal representatives and Planning Boards to prevent and reverse any unconstitutional imposition of *AGENDA 21*. Here’s a fantastically clarifying video by Richard Rothschild, Carroll County Commissioner in Maryland, the first to officially oppose ICLEI. The powerful key statements are at minute 4 and 7.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=loxw-DhmOmU&feature=player_embedded
<http://www.activistpost.com/2012/07/richard-rothschild-battles-local-agenda.html>

5. THE FATHER OF THE “AGENDA FOR THE 21ST CENTURY”



Maurice Strong, godfather of global environmentalism, clearly defined *AGENDA 21* in this ominous proclamation during his opening address at the 1992 U.N. Conference on Environment and Development:

“Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class - involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing – are not sustainable.

A shift is necessary which will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral [transnational] system, including the United Nations.
<http://www.sovereignty.net/p/sd/strong.html>

Strong was literally calling for new forms of jurisdiction empowered to reduce the liberties of the western world’s lifestyles and land use.

The two drivers Strong described that propel this movement:

Driver 1: **Sustainability**: Vastly decrease “unsustainable” features of modern life, including individual freedom and use of property.

Driver 2: **New kinds of jurisdiction** that will greatly increase top down regulatory controls to enforce Sustainable Development. Individual, local, state, free market and Constitutional jurisdiction have to give way to a greatly empowered bureaucratic regulatory state, even transnational authorities.

And to compound the absurdity, the justifications for both of these drivers are based on deliberately manufactured impetuses. **The deception behind Driver 1 *Sustainable Development* is a manufactured environmental, climate and population crisis. The deception that brings about Driver 2, hyperregulated jurisdiction, is manufactured consensus for *Sustainable Development* in your community!**

This 3 minute video of Joe Neal, South Carolina Democrat State Representative describing coercive Urban Growth Boundaries in Richland County that prohibit development outside designated “villages” powerfully illustrates the two main drivers of *AGENDA 21*.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MdsApEe-WJk>

Here’s an article on this Richland County case study: <http://ti.org/vaupdate35.html>

DRIVER 1: “SUSTAINABILITY”: The Richland County planners decided to create highly restrictive zoning to accommodate *AGENDA 21* social, economic, energy and environmental justice principles. Municipal services

such as water, sewer, fire, and police protection are not provided outside the arbitrarily designated urban boundaries. Some areas were re-designated as “open space”, off limits for human use. Measures were taken to consolidate the population and economic growth in the urban centers and prevent development outside those areas (largely composed of poor African Americans). One major (and typical) goal was to reduce dependency on automobiles.

DRIVER 2: “JURISDICTION”: The Richland County planners were granted the power to violate 5th Amendment due process rights when they deprived the citizens of their property privileges. Property values outside the Urban Growth Boundaries have become worthless. The global movement of Sustainable Development demands that planners be empowered to follow the UN prescribed AGENDA 21 policies to modify land use to make it “efficient” and to prevent urban “sprawl.”

The classic “Vision 20/20” “Comprehensive Plan” in Richland County reveals all the textbook steps the Sustainablists use to institute unconstitutional “Smart Growth,” including the way they achieved an artificial consensus to justify passing the new zoning laws. Nearby Spartanburg County has witnessed these assaults on liberty and has terminated their affiliation with the *AGENDA 21 International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives*.

If you want skip the rest of this introductory section and dive into how *AGENDA 21* has already impacted your community, go to Section 3 below titled: “*Agenda 21 Has Already Permeated Your Community*”.


Back to the father of *AGENDA 21*, Maurice Strong.

Strong was the Secretary General of the U.N. world meeting which unveiled *AGENDA 21*. Strong is a Canadian socialist now living in China who openly advocates for global government by the U.N., redistribution of wealth to poorer countries, deindustrialization of the developed countries and pantheistic worship of Gaia (mother earth).

In the September 1, 1997 edition of National Review Strong is quoted: “If we don’t change, our species will not survive... Frankly, we may get to the point where the only way of saving the world will be for industrial civilization to collapse.”

Here’s a 2012 news article about Maurice Strong.

<http://www.foxnews.com/world/2012/06/20/godfather-global-green-thinking-steps-out-shadows-at-rio-20/?test=latestnews>



Strong is the embodiment of AGENDA 21. His ideas for expanding U.N. global jurisdiction, his radical definition of sustainability and his pantheistic worldview birthed AGENDA 21.

The New Yorker magazine said of Strong that "the survival of civilization in something like its present form might depend significantly on the efforts of a single man". He lost his job as top U.N. envoy for North Korea because of his complicity in the U.N. Iraq oil-for-food scandal. He is Senior Advisor to the UN Secretary General, Senior Advisor to the President of the World Bank, and Senior Advisor to the Rockefeller and Rothschild's Trusts. He is Director of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Chairman of the Earth Council, Chairman of the World Resources Institute and Co-Chairman of the Council of the World Economic Forum.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fhKxC1Q2FNU>

AGENDA 21, "The Earth Summit Strategy To Save Our Planet", is a global environmental justice and social justice movement of *Sustainable Development*. **This blueprint for sustainability is a pervasive ideology, not an explicit program.**

<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/>

Delegates from 178 of the 192 mostly anti-democratic U.N. member nations assigned themselves the modest task of rescuing planet earth, on to it as their official policy in 1992, including President George H.W. "New World Order" Bush, **pledged that America would adopt AGENDA**



signing
who
21.

In Strong's own words **AGENDA 21** is the **empowerment of the U.N. to oversee Sustainable Development worldwide**. Notice sentence features Driver 1 (Sustainability) and 2 (Jurisdiction). If you have an understanding of the mission of the U.N. and of the real meaning of *Sustainable Development*, then you can grasp what **AGENDA 21** is about.

that
true

The unveiling of **AGENDA 21** at the 1992 *United Nations Conference on Environment and Development* in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, was an easy sell among environmentalists, progressives and globalists. But why wasn't there a revolt against this agenda from Americans unwilling to cede their individual, state and national sovereignty and unwilling to allow unelected regional administrators to influence local land use, planning, lifestyle and economic policies?



That's because it wasn't marketed as "Maurice Strong's U.N. infiltration scheme", but by using the *AGENDA 21* motherhood and apple pie definition of *Sustainable Development*: "development which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." Here's the classic way *AGENDA 21* is defined: "a non-binding, voluntarily implemented action plan of the United Nations with regard to sustainable development". Despite widespread implementation of *AGENDA 21* policies, the willfully naïve media claims that this written definition proves that this scheme is harmless.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AGENDA_21

Sustainable Development programs and organizations are deceptively camouflaged by hundreds of innocuous and constantly evolving names such as "Smart Growth", "Comprehensive Planning" and "Local Governments for Sustainability". Bafflement and obfuscation



Many of those who become curious about the wizardry behind the *AGENDA 21* curtain are confronted with an enterprise with such incomprehensible magnitude that they refuse to believe it is feasible or that their country could surrender so much of its sovereignty to the corrupt United Nations. Because they haven't yet discerned its manifestations in their own community, tragically, they write it off. They've been disarmed.

The alarms are only being sounded by the small number of people who are both up in arms about the loss of liberty in America and are able to wade through the deliberate complexity of *AGENDA 21*.

Why would America adopt a scheme that originated with an incredibly perverse organization that on December 10, 2012 elected Mauritania as Vice President of the U.N. Human Rights Council, a country that allows 800,000 of its citizens to live as slaves? Five human-rights violating countries: China, Vietnam, Russia, Cuba, and Saudi Arabia; were elected to that 47 member council on November 12, 2013. Incredible.

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51661#When:01:16:04Z>

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2012/aug/14/slavery-still-shackles-mauritania>

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/363859/un-elects-human-rights-violators-human-rights-council-alec-torres>

To answer that question, let's switch the focus from the bewildering international arena from which *AGENDA 21* originated to ground zero for *Sustainable Development* deployment: YOUR COMMUNITY.

6. AGENDA 21 HAS ALREADY PERMEATED YOUR COMMUNITY

The 9 strategies listed below, and many more, were responsible for steamrolling *AGENDA 21* into every region of the United States, despite the fact that the Senate didn't ratify the Biodiversity Treaty in 1994. As we've seen above, *AGENDA 21* encompasses far more than radical environmentalism which already had a full head of steam since President Nixon formed the fascist Environmental Protection Agency in December 1970, without Congressional Approval.

- 1) President H.W. Bush's "policy recommendations"
- 2) Comprehensive Planning
- 3) Growth Management Acts
- 4) President Clinton's Executive Order 12852 instituting the *President's Council on Sustainable Development*
- 4) Government and NGO Grants
- 5) Government Agencies, especially the EPA, USDA, U.S. National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- 6) The American Planning Association (NGO)
- 7) The Institute for Sustainable Development (NGO)
- 8) The International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (NGO)
- 9) Many other wealthy and powerful NGOs flooding state capitals with lobbyists, money and a flood of issue documents including the Sierra Club, Nature Conservancy, Audubon Society, Ducks Unlimited, National Wildlife Federation.

The intentional context for incremental stealth implementation of *AGENDA 21* is your town. This is also where citizen watchdogs are thwarting and reversing undemocratic local planning regulations and policies (many case studies on this below).

America isn't yet like Canada where *AGENDA 21* is actually the law of land via their Federal Sustainable Development Act, where every federal agency has to enforce *Sustainable Development* mandates.

In the U.S., local elected officials are sanctioning the adoption of *Sustainable Development* policies by local and county planners and NGOs. The public, even many of the planners themselves, are unaware of any national or global impetus, even though the strategies originated from *AGENDA 21*. Most of them are honorably motivated to optimize the growth, conservation, culture, commercial and residential development, transportation, schooling and economies of their cherished communities.

"Prior to the adoption of a local zoning ordinance, the village, town or city developed as a result of the wishes of the people who owned the land being development; purely the result of a free market expressing itself in a local community. When a village, town or city adopted its first zoning ordinance, the existing land use formed the basis for the zoning designations. Changes to the existing land use, or zoning designations were initiated by the land owners who wanted the designations changes.

Page 11, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, *Freedom 21*.

"Only locally elected officials had the authority to change the zoning. They had to balance the request and rights of the land owner with the desires and rights of the other constituents. The local government, closest to the people governed, is most accountable to the people affected by land use decisions."
Ibid.

"Comprehensive Planning required by sustainable development is not initiated by the land owners, or by the local community. It is initiated by a coalition of international organizations that organized their vision of how everyone ought to live, and put that vision into a book called *AGENDA 21*....without Congressional consideration or debate, President Bill Clinton imposed that vision on America with an Executive Order."
Ibid.



Within six months after George H.W. "New World Order" Bush pledged to adopt the goals of *AGENDA 21* at the 1992 "Earth Summit", one of the major *Sustainable Development* programs called *Comprehensive Planning* showed up in nearly every county of the U.S. in the form of "policy recommendations" ("soft law") without Congressional

ratification. (Comprehensive Planning originated in the 1970's but focused just on land use. Sustainable Development is much more comprehensive in scope.)

"[Counties] were given a fully written document that had several different areas where the elected officials could allegedly make their own choices as to the best course of action and implementation. However, the elected officials were completely unaware that these county plans were already set up with a pre-chosen set of options, **none of which included the option to reject the entire thing**....nobody knew the document came from the United Nations as an "international coordination tool."

Page 50, *The Perils of Sustainable Development*, Rene' Holaday


"President [Bush] was able to bypass all Judicial, Senate, and Congressional checks and balances entirely....then the states began turning soft law into state law through the GMA (**Growth Management Act**) when the U.N. provided the false scenario of a man-made Global Warming."

Page 54 *The Perils of Sustainable Development*, Rene' Holaday.

<http://www.nccsstore.com/Sustainable-Development-or-Sustainable-Freedom/productinfo/SDSF/>

See examples of Growth Management plans in this web link below for the state of Washington. "Washington cities and counties have prepared comprehensive plans for many years; however, growth management in Washington took on new meaning with the passage of the Growth Management Act (GMA) by the Washington Legislature in 1990. The GMA was enacted in response to rapid population growth and concerns with suburban sprawl, environmental protection, quality of life, and related issues." Notice how GMAs transforms Comprehensive Plans into **GMA state regulations**.

<http://www.mrsc.org/subjects/planning/compplan.aspx>



Here are property owners airing their horror stories against the GMA in Washington, starting at 33 minutes. You won't believe the story of 14 years of hassles attempting to subdivide a property at minute 40. This video provides case studies of how dramatically *AGENDA 21* forces the loss of private land liberty.


http://theolympiareport.com/property-owners-air-grievances-with-growth-management-act/?fb_comment_id=fb_c 463972107001011_4327578_465020453562843#ff9bf2c0852e2

"The state of Florida [recently] repealed GMA and also went on to repeal over 1,000 of the GMA regulations." The governor "cited GMA as being responsible for the stifling of economic growth in his state. He planned to create over 700,000 new jobs by getting rid of the GMA." Page 65, *Ibid*.

President Clinton took an even bolder step than his predecessor by issuing Executive Order # 12852 in 1993 to institute the *President's Council on Sustainable Development* (PCSD), consisting of 12 cabinet secretaries and top executives from six major environmental organizations, some of which actually helped draft the U.N. *AGENDA 21* document. The PCSD "was responsible for instilling sustainable development consciousness throughout every agency of federal government."

Page 2, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

This landmark Executive Order directed all agencies of the Federal Government to work with state and local community governments in a joint effort to "reinvent" government using the guidelines outlined in *AGENDA 21*. Did you catch that? **REINVENTING GOVERNMENT!** *AGENDA 21* soft law was now becoming hard law.



"One of the first tasks of the PCSD was to give a multi-million dollar grant to the American Planning Association to design a legislative guidebook to be used as a **blueprint for every city, county and state in the United States in order to implement U.N. AGENDA 21**. This document, called *Growing Smart Legislative Guidebook: Model Statutes for Planning and the Management of Change*, took seven years to complete and a full nine years to arrive at the final version...the blueprint contains sample legislation, ordinances, rules, regulations and statutes to be incorporated into the General Plans of every single city and county in the United States. **By 2002 every planning department and every local, state, and federal department that governs land use had a copy and was implementing the practices.** Every university, every college, every junior college, private school and teaching institution in our nation was using "Growing Smart" in its curriculum." (Pg. 14, "Behind the Green Mask", Rosa Koire)

"The common theme in all the model legislation and the model executive orders is government control of land use, with no regard for private property rights. Consider, for example, this language in one of the model

laws, that violates the 4th Amendment forbidding 'unreasonable searches': 'Government may enter upon the land and act to put it in compliance.' The model legislation even authorizes the government to condemn the property and take it, even though the Constitution requires that the use of eminent domain be invoked only to take property for a public use, and then only with just compensation paid."

Page 14, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

Here's a video of the U.S. House of Representatives in 1992 explicitly endorsing *AGENDA 21* through *House Resolution 353* to "save our planet".

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=aeDueovFOQs

In 1997 "Counties and Mayors" (since disbanded) create the Joint Center for Sustainable Communities. In 2001 the National Governors Association endorsed Smart Growth. The National Mayors Conference and the National Governors Association often work directly with the U.N. Mayors, city council members, county commissioners, governors, state reps, federal reps are all members of national organizations which each heavily promote *Sustainable Development*. "Back home, they are surrounded by a horde of "stakeholder" groups, each promoting a piece of the agenda, be it policies for water control, energy control, development control, specific building materials control, historic preservation and control of "downtown" development, conservation easements and development rights for control of rural property. These groups like ICLEI, the American Planning Association, the Renaissance Planning Group, and many more, are heavily involved with state and federal plans. They arrive in your community with blueprints, state and federal plans, grants and lots of contacts in high places. There are official state and federal programs for "going Green", Comprehensive land use plans, and lots of programs for the kids in the classrooms."

Page 25, *SPECIAL REPORT Agenda 21 and How to Stop It*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

“Using its enormous grant-making powers, the federal government extended sustainable development consciousness throughout state and local governments and **created a whole new community of sustainable development NGO’s** (non-government organizations). The Sustainable Resource Center received \$9,961,640 and the Institute for Sustainable Development received \$66,635,422.”

Page 25, *SPECIAL REPORT Agenda 21 and How to Stop It*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

The American Planning Association, for example, received \$3,885,093 in federal grants for sustainable development projects while they desperately attempt to disassociate themselves with *AGENDA 21*, even though they worked seven years, as mentioned above, to create the *Growing Smart Legislative Guidebook* for implementing *AGENDA 21* policies in every locality.

The media and the Sustainablits insist that *AGENDA 21* consists merely of policy ideas. “Continuously we hear that local planning programs, especially from such groups like the American Planning Association (APA), have no connection to Agenda 21 or the UN. It’s all local — or as the APA says in its document, “Glossary for the Public,” “There is no hidden agenda.” In its “Agenda 21: Myths and Facts” document found on the APA website, the group goes to extreme measures to distance itself and its policies from Agenda 21, specifically saying “The American Planning Association has no affiliation regarding any policy goals and recommendations of the UN.”

Well, then it would be interesting to hear the APA explain information found in one of its own documents from 1994. The document was an APA newsletter to its members in Northern California (San Francisco Area). The article was a commentary entitled “How Sustainable Is Our Planning?” The newsletter can be viewed here:

<http://americanpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/1994APAdoclinksUNPCSDUrbanEcologyandAgenda21.pdf>

“The fifth paragraph of the article says, “Vice President Gore’s book, *Earth in the Balance*, addressed many of the general issues of sustainability. Within the past year, the President’s Council on Sustainable Development has been organized to develop recommendations for incorporating sustainability into the federal government. Also, various groups have been formed to implement Agenda 21, a comprehensive blueprint for sustainable development that was adopted at the recent UNCED conference in Rio de Janeiro (the “Earth Summit.”)

In one paragraph, this document brings together the APA, Agenda 21, the UN’s Earth Summit, Al Gore, Sustainable Development, the President’s Council on Sustainable Development, and NGO groups with the mission of implementing Agenda 21 and the description of Agenda 21 as a “comprehensive Blueprint” for Sustainable Planning!” **This is dramatic proof that *AGENDA 21* is intertwined with local planning programs!**

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/reviews/opinion/item/15824-the-smoking-gun-the-direct-link-between-aganda-21-and-local-planners>

“Grants were awarded to state and local governments as well as to NGOs for the development of community plans based on the recommendations set forth by the President’s Council on sustainable Development.”

Page 25, *SPECIAL REPORT Agenda 21 and How to Stop It*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

Grants, grants everywhere!...beguiling those who don’t see the *AGENDA 21* connection.

600 cities in the U.S. (7,807 worldwide) have placed themselves under the jurisdiction of the charter of The



International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives

(<http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=11454>), a 1990 spin off from the United Nations (preceding the beginning of *AGENDA 21* by two years). ICLEI was a major driver in the formulation of *AGENDA 21*. 1200 mayors have signed agreements with ICLEI. Taxpayers foot the bill for ICLEI’s extensive *Sustainable Development* services.

150 communities have terminated their ICLEI membership since January 2011 when the infringements on private property and other liberties began to multiply. Harvey Ruvin, Vice Chairman of ICLEI admitted that

“Individual rights will have to take a back seat to the collective.”


<http://www.eagleforumofcalifornia.com/Environment.htm>

Federal departments such as the Park Service or Housing and Urban Development lure local governments with grants to get them to comply with *AGENDA 21* land use regulations by influencing their adoption within cleverly arranged “public” meetings to create artificial consensus using strategies formulated by ICLEI.

Here’s a map displaying the localities who received these HUD “Sustainable Communities” grants in 2010 and 2011:

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=Mapofy11Chal_RegGrantees.pdf

ICLEI is structured like the United Nations with a hierarchy of committees ranging up to a Global Executive Committee. Most of the U.S. population lives in ICLEI cities. It is a non-profit, non-governmental group. You have no access to meetings, information sessions, or plenary sessions unless you are a member. Headquartered in Bonn, Germany, it is a lobbying and policy group that is designed to influence and change local governmental policies related to all aspects of human life.



With the assistance of groups like ICLEI, *Sustainable Development* is now emerging as government policy in every town, county and state in the nation, but it is camouflaged by the efforts of “altruistic” non-government assistance programs.

ICLEI is one of the most dangerous forces in the nation, particularly because so few people know of their existence. But most mayors and city councils know about ICLEI and many are in regular meetings with them. They get memos on policy. ICLEI advises them what to support and who to silence. ICLEI fears exposure.

By concentrating power in cities this group circumvents requirements for ratification of international treaties and gives the illusion of local control. In fact the cities then ally in regional conglomerates which break jurisdictional boundaries and will destroy local control.”

<http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/1/post/2012/11/the-truth-about-iclei.html>

“The ICLEI Charter/Constitution defines a world congress of mayors and elected officials working together with ICLEI serving as the international agent representing the members of the world congress throughout the globe. ICLEI serves as liaison between local governments and international governments. ICLEI members pay dues and must explicitly adopt the ICLEI Constitution which follows the 16 principles of the Earth Charter and a model of global governance. This international membership by cities and counties violates Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution: “No State shall enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation... with a foreign power.” The ICLEI headquarters in North America is in Oakland, CA. Assemblywoman Nancy Skinner founded ICLEI USA. ICLEI is responsible for injecting international climate, land use and other policies into local governments. Many cities, counties and regional bodies across the country are contracting with ICLEI.”

<http://www.trevorloudon.com/2013/02/united-nations-agenda-21-sustainable-development-and-the-regional-one-bay-area-plan/>

ICLEI’s Governing Charter reveals its social justice agenda, stating that member governments should “affirm gender equality,” ensure “universal access” to healthcare, integrate the “values” needed for a “sustainable way of life” into education. ICLEI seeks to prod local officials to “adopt patterns of production, consumption, and reproduction that safeguard Earth’s regenerative capacities.”

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/7037-texas-city-withdraws-from-iclei-un-%E2%80%9Cagenda-21%E2%80%9D>



Glenn Beck exposed the United Nations International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) *AGENDA 21* Environmental Control Movement in this video:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esJY2SK_4tE&feature=related

These Colorado cities and counties are members of ICLEI: Arvada, Aspen, Boulder, Breckenridge, **Carbondale**, Denver, **Durango**, Fort Collins, Frisco, Golden, **Gunnison County**, **La Plata County**, Lafayette, Loveland, Manitou Springs, San Miguel County and Westminster. Even without being ICLEI members, the information below will prove how every town in America has been impacted by *AGENDA 21*.

<http://www.icleiusa.org/about-iclei/members/member-list>
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/48898>

These are some other private organizations that are in league with ICLEI:

- National League of Cities
- International City/County Management Group
- National Governors Association
- American Planning Group

This is the ICLEI community planning guide:

<http://web.idrc.ca/openebooks/448-2/>

Fort Collins, Colorado has been infested with ICLEI for 18 years, but community opposition is mounting. The sustainabilists attempted to make Fort Collins more “green” by allowing only hybrid cars and bicycles to park in downtown parking spaces “Local businesses estimated that each parking spot was worth \$300,000 per year. This reckless ICLEI proposal would have bankrupted several local small business owners. Those alert to *AGENDA 21* defeated the proposal. They also defeated the local government’s attempt to only employ Waste Management, a **financial contributor to ICLEI**, which would have bankrupted two locally owned trash businesses.”

<http://thecommonsenseshow.weebly.com/iclei-corruption.html>

7. HAS YOUR TOWN FALLEN FOR THE “VISIONING” PROCESS?

It’s about artificial consensus (the absence of disagreement) instead of actual voting.

Cleverly trained “facilitators” are creating artificial Smart Growth consensus in your community to justify imposing Sustainable Development, by conducting “visioning meetings” with a select group of “stakeholder” citizens who supposedly reflect the true “vision of the community. Of course, an outside Smart Growth NGO, environmentalists and social justice advocates are lurking over the meetings. The visioning technique, the resulting “visions list” and the planning outcomes are nearly the same in every place it is conducted, as intended by the *AGENDA 21* template.

This technique conforms to the President’s Council on Sustainable Development “We Believe” statement number 8:

“We need a new collaborative decision process that leads to better decisions; more rapid change; and more sensible use of human, natural, and financial resources in achieving our goals.”

“Visioning techniques are designed to indoctrinate you with a pre-determined viewpoint while giving you the impression that your input was taken and that you crafted the plan. This is manufactured consensus.”



<http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/1/post/2012/12/there-is-no-switzerland-in-this-fight.html>

“Smart Growth meetings across the country at the local level tout “consensus building” where the outcome has already been decided by a small group of “visioning” experts paid by non-governmental organizations with funds to burn from various federal government agencies, including the U.S. Park Service. The method used by the “visioning” experts is the Delphi technique developed by the Rand Corporation during the Cold War era as a mind-controlling tool, a “consensus process.” The technique was used to lead a targeted group to a pre-determined outcome, while maintaining the illusion that the public had open input.”

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51160>

http://www.anticlei.com/index.php?pr=Delphi_Tech

Here’s a classic Delphi meeting at a Granite State “visioning meeting” in New Hampshire.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=ZV-p8uUjBbM

“Despite local visioning councils and consensus-building stakeholder meetings, sustainable communities are the result of deliberate, top-down government management of local communities. **There is no other way to explain the fact that virtually every county’s comprehensive master plan contains the same elements, the same goals, the same processes, all of which are spelled out in AGENDA 21 and documents produced by the PCSD.**” In fact, these plans often include International Building Codes!!

Page 16, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

At minute 75 in this video below you hear the essence of “manufactured consensus” with .2% of the voters in a county in the planning council determining the direction of the county’s thirty year land use plan.

http://theolympiareport.com/property-owners-air-grievances-with-growth-management-act/?fb_comment_id=fb_c463972107001011_4327578_465020453562843#ff9bf2c0852e2

“The “old” process for deciding public policy involved private citizens requesting their elected officials to adopt a policy, followed by vigorous public debate by those in support of the policy proposal, and by those who oppose the proposal. Eventually, after all sides had been heard, a public vote by the elected officials would decide the matter. Citizens who were unhappy with the policy decision could campaign to have the elected officials defeated in the next election. This is the process by which the governed give their consent. This is the only way to insure government accountability to the people. This is the only way to have a government of the people, by the people and for the people.”

Page 18, 19, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

“Sustainable development could not sweep the nation if it were left to individuals requesting their locally elected officials to adopt schemes that deny private property rights to their neighbors and impose freedom-robbing restrictions that outlaw a back yard tomato patch. Therefore, “...a new, collaborative decision process” was devised.”

Page 19, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

“This new decision process is the consensus process in which voting is not allowed. Consensus is not agreement; it is the absence of expressed disagreement. Consensus is sometimes declared despite expressed objection, if the objector can be discredited or marginalized.”

Page 19, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

“The “collaborative decision process” embraced by the procedures of sustainable development deliberately ignores the principle of open debate and recorded votes by elected officials, which is the decision process established by the U.S. Constitution....Freedom is diminished every time a public policy is developed by stakeholder consensus, rather than by open debate and a recorded vote by elected officials.”

Page 22, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

“A very good example of how this process works is provided by Florida’s Heartland Rural Economic Development Initiative (FHREDI), which is an NGO created in 1993 to coordinate the promotion of Florida’s six county heartland. Their visioning project was initially funded by a grant from the Florida Department of Community Affairs, which, of course, receives grants from the federal government....It is no accident that many, if not most, of

those “visions” hoped for by the year 2020 were remarkably similar to recommendations set forth in AGENDA 21, and the PCSD’s *Sustainable America*.”

Page 4, *Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom*, Henry Lamb, Freedom 21

QUESTIONS TO ASK THE “VISIONING” FACILITATOR

- How much is this project costing?
- How is it being funded?
- Who hired your company and how much are you being paid?
- Who owns that land that your plan is affecting?
- Are the owners here?
- What is going to happen to the local business?
- Why are you trying to do this without a vote?
- Do the mayor and councilors support this plan?
- Why is the regional board trying to take control of this area?
- This feels like a Delphi meeting where you already setup the outcome before we came.
- Are you doing this in other towns?
- How can you say that this group represents the whole city?
- What’s the timeline for this project?
- It looks like there’s nothing that could stop this project.
- What are the goals for this project?

Here’s a video showing Smart Growth leaders actually using the Delphi Technique in public meetings’ “Visioning Process” to give the appearance of public consensus on a predetermined outcome.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=-zpA1althjo

This video was recorded at a Smart Growth planning meeting in Texas with interviews of the participants and leaders, exposing the tactics used to solicit public “support” for a pre-determined *Sustainable Development* plan.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=y4LHtnEXMCU#!

8. A MOVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

“JUSTICE” is a critical and very loaded term when combined with the words “social” and “environmental”.

Like seeking reparations for slavery; social/environmental justice requires that greedy capitalists and independent land owners be stopped from taking advantage of the world’s land and resources and restore wealth to those unfairly deprived of their share of the benefits. You have to understand this from the worldview of the collectivist, like Maurice Strong. The “*Earth Summit AGENDA 21*” 321 page master document outlines plans for the control of **land use, housing, transportation, food production, consumption patterns, water, energy, education, the role of industry and health care.** You should quote that sentence and some of the things Strong has said to the person hassling you about your *AGENDA 21* concerns.

Sustainable Development is about reversing development, not just about new “*smart growth*” forms of development. The purpose of the “Wildlands Project” is to gradually remove all signs of humanity and restore to its original condition half of America’s land mass. This is also proven by the fundamental elements of society which the *AGENDA 21* bible, the 1152 page Global Biodiversity Assessment, classifies as “unsustainable”, such as “grazing of livestock”, “paved roads”, “harvesting of timber”, “railroads” and “modern attitudes toward nature within the Judeo-Christian-Islamic religions” (there’s a pagan undercurrent in this movement)!



This list, found in the link below, absolutely proves how drastically *AGENDA 21* would alter civilization.

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/sustainable_development/what_is_%22unsustainable%22%3F_2003022414/

The tide began to turn against *Sustainable Development* in 2011 when 54 cities put a stop to the assaults on liberty that had resulted from being affiliated with one of the many local arms of *AGENDA 21*, the *International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives* (more below). As opposition mounts the status quo progressive media is increasingly accusing those opposed to *AGENDA 21* as being paranoid of the United Nations. If progressives are in charge of your local government you may never counteract the encroachments of *AGENDA 21* until they are replaced with leaders who uphold the Constitution. The hour is now especially late as the U.N. is accelerating its process of tightening the *AGENDA 21* noose around our necks, especially with such a willing ally in President Obama.

AGENDA 21 does no less than to replace our foundation of equal justice with social justice, in an even more forceful and dramatic way than American progressives have been doing since the days of Teddy Roosevelt (especially during Wilson, FDR, and Obama’s tenures), in violation of the Declaration of Independence.

And who administers this coerced fairness?

9. THE PURPOSE OF AGENDA 21

The answer to who will administer this form of social justice reveals the true purpose of AGENDA 21 which is to empower the international governing bodies designed to enforce *Sustainable Development*. Of course, they claim that is only for the “common good”. Every progressive, socialist, fascist, and communist endeavor is advanced with appeals to the “common good.” It’s called benevolent tyranny.

Before significant opposition was mounted against *AGENDA 21* many of its leaders publically endorsed global governance, such as this: “Canada believes the establishment of an international financial and economic system that is conducive to sustainable development must be a cornerstone of efforts to implement *AGENDA 21*. Canada strongly supports efforts to reform international organizations to ensure effectiveness and efficiency in the promotion of global sustainable development.”

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51397>

There’s nothing altruistic about the mission of the United Nations, environmentalism, progressivism or globalism.

AGENDA 21 is comprehensive, pervasive and invasive. **Its enforceable administrative rules are being incrementally instituted by your local government bureaucracy by Presidential executive orders** (it is not statutory law—it’s “soft law”). Those rules are impacting every community’s economic and land planning, zoning process, businesses and culture, despite never being adopted by the U.S. Congress and rarely receiving endorsement by citizens through a democratic process. But your taxes are paying for it, all the same.



These are the two basic goals for *Sustainable Development* in the U.S., each focusing on a separate half of its territory.

- 1) The *Wildlands Project* -- restore half of America’s land to “sustainable” native wilderness, completely off-limits to mankind.
- 2) *Smart Growth* – consolidate the entire population into high-density eco-friendly communities.

Here’s the U.N.’s 27 *AGENDA 21* principles.

<http://www.un.org/documents/ga/conf151/aconf15126-1annex1.htm>

Forms of democratically approved land use and zoning that don’t fall into one of these two categories are obviously not part of *AGENDA 21*.

It’s not surprising if you haven’t heard these serious assertions until now. Admittedly they require extensive justification, but the gut wrenching case studies below do just that.

10. BLATANTLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL AGENDA 21 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

➤ **MARTHA BONETA**

“Unfair regulatory actions against Martha Boneta, a farmer in Fauquier County, Virginia, “violate fundamental rights and unfairly restrict her property rights.”...Martha Boneta’s plight and subsequent lawsuit stemmed from a planned birthday party for eight ten-year olds on her farm. County officials notified her that she should have obtained a prior permit for hosting this party and thus would be fined \$5,000 for failing to abide by the local ordinance.

She was charged with two additional violations of up to \$5,000 each, one for advertising a pumpkin carving and another for operating a small shop on her property from which Martha sold her fresh produce and homemade crafts. The county made these allegations without ever setting foot on her farm. The Fauquier county board of zoning appeals upheld the zoning administrator’s decision that Boneta held “temporary and/or special events without the required county approvals...

A trench was dug to prevent parking on her property because it might obscure the view shed. Then came the infamous pumpkin carving party that actually never took place, it was cancelled. Yet the harassment from the county and the moneyed environmentalists never stopped. These people prefer and define farming as penny-loafer farming, running a few horses on lush endless green grass fields, nothing to grow that people would need.”
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/52188>
<http://www.amazon.com/U-N-Agenda-21-Environmental-Piracy/dp/0615716474>

➤ **ORTON FAMILY FOUNDATION COMPREHENSIVE PLAN IN DURANGO**

As mentioned in Section 1 above, The “Comprehensive Plan” for rural La Plata County, Colorado seeks to concentrate their population into “Smart Growth” “mixed use” corridors in condos above the street level retail businesses. “Durango has not experienced a housing bubble or high unemployment. However, they have destroyed their once historic downtown with a massive infill project in recent years, increasing population and traffic in its downtown area with townhomes and “smart growth towers.”

In addition, the City has built smart growth townhomes along the edge of town (shown below).” New parcels less than 10 acres in size are restricted to a 1% designated portion of the county. “As you’ll see on the City of Durango comprehensive plan below, at least two thirds of the City Limits is open space. The remaining land is divided between high density mixed use, and lower density single family estates. The City places a priority on mountain bike trails and developing smart growth condos, as I’ve seen when visiting the town.

Therefore, the supply of land for Durango’s most desired product – single family homes on one acre lots – is scarce. In economics, scarcity especially when combined with high demand causes bubbles. Unfortunately, Durango and La Plata County will experience a gigantic bubble in the next decade, as baby boomers retire in droves, due to land rationing from the City of Durango and the La Plata County Comprehensive Plan. Currently, the average cost of a home in Durango on Trulia is about \$300,000, compared to \$150,000 in nearby Albuquerque...

From a design standpoint, smart growth is such terrible design that one doesn’t need to invoke the name of the Libertarian Party, Constitution Party, or *AGENDA 21* to oppose it. Smart growth is opposed by thousands of architects, planners, and landscape architects who choose to not incorporate its principles into their communities. This is true even for highly environmentally conscious places listed above. All these places have developed their own “green” ways to plan w/o using smart growth principles.”



The monotonous, cookie cutter Skyridge townhome development creeping just like sprawl on a hillside east of Durango.

<http://smartgrowthusa.wordpress.com/2011/09/06/durango-colorado-la-plata-county-comprehensive-plan/>

<ftp://ftp.ci.durango.co.us/NaturalLandsTrails/CDOT/SMART%20160%20TIGER%20grant/TIGERapp.pdf>

Here's how innocently the Orton Family Foundation describes the Durango Comprehensive Plan, which they helped fund and facilitate: http://www.orton.org/projects/durango_comprehensive_plan

On 04/18/2001 at an Earth Day ceremony in Boston, the EPA presented Orton and his "Vermont Forum on Sprawl" project a Merit Award for his commitment and efforts to expand Smart Growth. The Orton Family Foundation has a track record of collaboration with the radical environmentalist Nature Conservancy. http://www.epa.gov/region5/waste/cars/remediation/2djon_syedintros_r5gcworkshop.pdf

In Orton's own words on their IRS 990 they describe their highest purpose is to influence the field of land use planning. <http://www.eri-nonprofit-salaries.com/index.cfm?FuseAction=NPO.Form990&EIN=030346513&Year=2009>

The Orton Family Foundation website also reveals their promotion of planning tools created by the notorious arm of AGENDA 21, the Germany-based *International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives*, which originated with the United Nations in 1990. See the web page screen capture below. <http://www.planningtoolexchange.org/organization/iclei-local-governments-sustainability>



The screenshot shows the top navigation bar of the ORTON FAMILY FOUNDATION website. It includes a logo on the left and three menu items: Home, Get Started, and Browse. Below the navigation is a search bar with a magnifying glass icon and a 'Search' button. The main content area features a 'Contribute' button and a featured article titled 'ICLEI - Local Governments for Sustainability'. The article includes a 'Summary' section with a star icon and a 'Recommendation' icon. To the right of the article is a logo for ICLEI Local Governments for Sustainability USA. A sidebar on the left contains a 'Planning Tool Exchange' section with a list of links: Home, Tools, Projects, Resources, Organizations, Browse, and Login.

➤ **RECLASSIFICATION OF COLORADO LAND TO WILDERNESS**

In Colorado millions of acres are being reclassified as restricted wilderness to prevent the extraction of lumber, coal, oil and gas and to prohibit all non-recreational use. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c112:s.1635>

Colorado Senator Udall sponsored the San Juan Mountains Wilderness Act of 2011 in Montrose County. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/z?c112:s.1635>

This bill that would make mining and logging off-limits to huge sections of San Miguel, Ouray, and San Juan Counties with the support the pro-AGENDA 21 Sheep Mountain Alliance. <http://www.sheepmountainalliance.org/>

Through the "Overall Central Mountains Proposal" Senator Udall is again attempting to expand wilderness areas in Colorado. Over 5%, 3,727,996 acres, is already designated wilderness and Udall wants to add an additional 235,773 which would bring the total amount of designated wilderness in Colorado to just under 6%. Environmentalist politicians and nature groups are setting aside millions of "natural acres" in many states and creating "land trusts" to "protect areas that have scenic, historical, or cultural significance". <http://www.scribd.com/SenatorMarkUdall/d/82612675-Overall-Central-Mountains-Proposal>

➤ **31 REGIONS OF THE U.S. ARE BEING SUBJECTED TO WILDLANDS PROJECTS, LOCKING AWAY MORE THAN 40 PERCENT OF THE NATIONS LAND** One project called the Yukon to Yellowstone (Y2Y) creates a 2000 mile no-man's land corridor. <http://www.newswithviews.com/DeWeese/tom146.htm>

➤ **EPA FALSELY DESIGNATED "WETLANDS"**

In every state, properties have been dubiously classified as protected wetlands by the EPA or the Fish and Wildlife Service with the owners losing use of their land and its value, such as 1500 families in Florida evicted from their properties: <http://www.dailypaul.com/146081/1500-families-losing-land-in-miami-land-grab>

➤ **UNITED NATIONS BIOSPHERE RESERVES**


Thirty of America's national parks such as the Great Smoky Mountains National park have been relinquished to become *United Nations Biosphere Reserves* for conservation and scientific study according to U.N. stipulations. Seventeen other sites have also been added. <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/natural-sciences/environment/ecological-sciences/biosphere-reserves/>



“At Yellowstone National Park, United Nations' delegates who surveyed the area last year, called for a "buffer zone" around the Park. So, the Park Service is choking off the local economy by refusing to maintain certain highways and by buying up any property available. Of course, there will be plenty available as more and more owners are denied the use of their own private property which causes businesses to shut down and the economy to show.


Inside Yellowstone, the Park Service is shutting down campgrounds as the park is being prepared to become the core of a **huge biosphere reserve, as part of the United Nations global plan. Once established, no human activity will be permitted in the area.** This represents fulfillment of plans outlined in the United Nation's Biodiversity Treaty - which, though still unratified by the U.S. Senate, is

being implemented by the Clinton Administration.
<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/national.htm>



The entrance sign at the Great Smoky Mountains National Park says "AN INTERNATIONAL BIOSPHERE RESERVE." "This means that, under the United Nations Biodiversity Treaty, a precious resource--owned by American citizens for over 200 years--has been turned over to the UN's bureaucrats for control. Yes, you and I will continue to pay taxes for the maintenance and upkeep of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. But we no longer own it. Now, the U.N. has ultimate jurisdiction." A Biosphere Reserve is an area that is set aside specifically for conservation and scientific study which, like a World Heritage Site, the United States promises to manage in accordance with U.N. standards.

http://www.tetrahedron.org/articles/new_world_order/Rockefeller_UN_National_Parks.html
<http://sovereignty.net/p/land/mapabwh.htm>



➤ **WORLD HERITAGE SITES**

Sixty regions of the United States (68% of the national parks, monuments and preserves) have been designated as *World Heritage Sites* that grant open-ended powers to local commissions, without public debate, to regulate and restrict human activity within their Boundaries since the 1972 United Nations' World Heritage Treaty which the U.S. Senate and

President Nixon foolishly ratified. <http://www.nps.gov/heritageareas/>

“In 1995, Crown Butte Mines in the New World Mining District in Montana was forced to abandon a mine development project after the U.N. listed Yellowstone National Park as a "World Heritage Site in Danger." Crown Butte proposed to mine a medium-size underground operation on private property three miles from the boundary of Yellowstone. The project would have employed 280 people and generated \$230 million in revenue.”
<http://www.nationalcenter.org/NPA341.html>

Here’s a video on World Heritage Sites which reveals how unelected regional boards with private environmental agendas are given authority and funding by the National Park Service to restrict business and private property rights within the boundaries of 60 large areas of the United States. Residents within those boundaries have no idea they live within one of these sites until they suddenly transgress one of the onerous restrictions.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=iGQM3_4jt-c#!

➤ **WAR ON COAL**

The most powerful government entity advancing *AGENDA 21* is the Environmental Protection Agency. “The EPA’s war on coal will result in the closure of 319 coal-fueled generating units totaling 42,895 megawatts, about 13 percent of the nation’s coal fleet, according to the Sierra Club. This will result in consumers being hit with a 10-15% increase in their electric bills by 2015. That’s an extra \$150-\$330 per year.”



http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2012/05/climate_change_conference_marks_progress_for_realists.htm#ixzzivvetDq3j

“Currently, EPA is leading the Obama administration’s assault on coal with a number of new regulations. Two of the most important are the “transport rule” and the “toxics rule” (Utility MACT). Combined, these regulations will systematically reduce access to affordable and reliable energy.”
<http://www.instituteeforenergyresearch.org/2011/10/07/ier-identifies-coal-fired-power-plants-likely-to-close-as-result-of-epa-regulations/>

➤ **PRESIDENT CLINTON PLACING 1.7 MILLION ACRES OFF LIMITS**

President Clinton unconscionably signed an Executive Order “designating 1.7 million acres of land in southwest Utah as the Grand Staircase - Escalante National Monument. His action placed the area off limits to mineral extraction and development. The New York Times reported that the monument encloses the largest coal field in the nation, the Kaiparowitz Plateau, which contains at least 7 billion tons of coal worth over \$1 TRILLION.

Kentucky-based company Andalux Resources, which holds leases on 3,400 acres in that southwest Utah area, was planning to open a huge operation (underground, not strip mining) that would have generated 1,000 jobs, \$1 million in annual revenue for Kane County, and at least \$10 million a year in state and federal taxes, according to the New York Times.”
<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/lippo.htm>

➤ **SPOTTED OWL PROTECTION TRIGGERED A 90% CUTBACK IN LOGGING**

The designation of the spotted owl as a threatened species in 1990 triggered a 90% cutback in logging on national forests in the northwest, and similar reductions spread around the nation.

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/2012/11/22/feds-to-designate-9-6-million-acres-critical-habitat-for-spotted-owl/>

➤ **FLORIDA FOREVER IS THE LARGEST PUBLICLAND ACQUISITION PROGRAM IN THE U.S., 9.9 MILLION ACRES.** “According to its Web site Florida Forever manages 9,900,000 acres already (owning 2.5 million acres which were purchased costing the taxpayer lost tax revenues) Florida Forever owns or controls 10% of the land in Florida. The Florida Forever map on their web site looks like the Agenda 21 biodiversity map, notes Schoe”
<http://watchdogwire.com/florida/2012/10/08/citizens-want-florida-forevers-land-grab-stopped/n>

➤ **ILLEGAL FRONT YARD GARDENS**

In Oak Park, Michigan, Julie Bass was arrested, criminally charged and threatened with 93 days in jail for growing a vegetable garden in her front yard, even though she had received permission to do so by her mayor and the city council! http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/blogpost/post/julie-bass-may-face-jail-time-for-planting-vegetables/2011/07/08/gIQAZZOv3H_blog.html



“That’s what happened to Hermine Ricketts and her husband, Tom Carroll. In May, the city put the couple’s garden, and any others like it, in their legal crosshairs.

A new zoning ordinance designed to “protect the distinctive character of the Miami Shores Village,” was enacted and specifically prohibited vegetables – not fruit, trees or even plastic flamingos – from appearing in front yards.

Shortly after, the couple received a visit from their local code enforcement officer. They were given a choice: Uproot the garden or pay a \$50 per day fine to keep it.

In an effort to reinstate the couple’s right to grow a few vegetables on their own property, the Institute for Justice, a nonprofit libertarian leaning legal aid group, filed a lawsuit Tuesday on their behalf.

“We’re not suing for money,” IJ attorney Ari Bargil told Florida Watchdog. “We’re asking the court to rule that this law is unconstitutional so Hermine and Tom can plant their garden again.”

According to Bargil, the ordinance infringes on the couple’s basic right to privacy – a right the Florida Constitution recognizes more broadly than the U.S. Constitution.

“Miami Shores will have to prove that its ban promotes a compelling governmental interest and is narrowly tailored to advance that interest,” wrote Bargil in a litigation backgrounder.”

<http://watchdog.org/116807/pure-manure-city-uproots-fl-couples-17-year-old-garden/>

➤ “Many communities discover that their comprehensive plan includes a provision to incorporate by reference the entire set of 13 different codes developed by the International Code Council (<http://www.iccsafe.org>). Each of these codes amounts to government dictating human behavior. These codes go far beyond building and fire safety codes. They include: residential, property maintenance, energy conservation, wildland interface, and other behavior modification codes.”
<http://www.crossroad.to/articles2/forcing-change/010/11-sustainable.htm>

“The Cedar Falls City Council is, by the authority of the International Code Council, forcing “mandatory lock boxes [outside of] all commercial property including apartment buildings and Triplexes. Inside the box you have to provide keys to all entrances to the property including keys to individual apartments.

This is a serious infringement of the 4th Amendment!”

<http://www.redstate.com/gaspegazette/2011/06/11/iowa-city-council-says-yes-to-un-and-no-to-4th-amendment/>

➤ **PEOPLE ARE BEING ARRESTED FOR REFUSING SMART METERS (SMART ELECTRICAL CONSUMPTION METERS)** on their home, to avoid the government controlling their electrical use and monitoring their activity and damaging the health of the occupants with electromagnet radiation.

Smart Meters replace thermostats in homes. “Homeowners will not have control of such meters. Instead, the electric company will determine the necessary temperature inside each home. Government agencies or local policy boards will be tasked with the responsibility to conduct an energy audit in each home to determine the steps necessary to bring the home into energy compliance. In Oakland, California, such restrictions will cost each homeowner an estimated \$36,000.”

Page 15, *Special Report agenda 21 and How to Stop It*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

http://www.stopsmartmetersnow.com/?page_id=2

And here’s Tom’s Special Report: Sustainable Development and the Control of Energy (The growing battle over Smart Meters)

<http://store.americanpolicy.org/collections/handouts/products/smart-meters>

➤ **MAN JAILED FOR COLLECTING RAINWATER IN RESERVOIRS ON HIS PROPERTY**
<http://www.foxnews.com/leisure/2012/08/16/man-jailed-for-collecting-rainwater-in-illegal-reservoirs-on-his-property/>

➤ **ILLEGAL TOMATO PLANTS**



In Cullman, Alabama the “Environmental Police” told an 86 year old woman that her tomato plants in her backyard violated a code; the penalty was \$150 a day.

<http://countrypatriot.com/2012/02/13/agenda-21-and-development-sustainability-exposed-how-to-reclaim-our-freedom-founding-fathers-style/>

➤ **ILLEGALLY DRY GRASS**

In Orem, Utah a senior citizen was handcuffed, brought to jail and charged with two misdemeanors for failure to water her lawn. Democrat activist and attorney Allred defended

her. Ibid.

➤ **ILLEGALLY TALL GRASS**

In Corbin, Kentucky a man was fined \$100 for failing to mow his grass and clean his yard. When he complained to the officer and stopped complying, the fine rose to \$2,250. Code enforcement officials say if the man continues to refuse to cooperate, they could eventually force his house into foreclosure. The city’s “Development Code” makes no reference to protecting private property. Ibid.

➤ **FORCED CLOSURE OF DRAKES BAY OYSTER COMPANY**

The National Park Service is attempting to force the closure of the 100 year Drakes Bay Oyster Company that produces 40% of California's oysters, the last cannery in California. The government's environment damage claims used data which was proven totally invalid. Watch these videos below and realize the government's environmental fascism can deprive anyone of their property without cause. <http://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2012/11/obama-administration-kills-off-100-year-old-oyster-farm/> <http://frontpagemag.com/2012/dgreenfield/the-death-of-the-drakes-bay-oyster-company-how-obama-is-murdering-the-american-economy-one-small-business-at-a-time/> <http://theulstermanreport.com/2012/11/30/5424/>



➤ **THUGGISH WELL HEELED ENVIRONMENTALIST GROUPS FORCE THE FISH AND**

WILDLIFE SERVICE TO STOP COMMERCIAL USE OF 187,000 SQUARE MILES OF ALASKA'S NORTH SHORE TO PROTECT POLAR BEARS. A District Court judge invalidated their unlawful abuse of the Endangered Species Act and wrote 50 pages excoriating the Fish and Wildlife Service <http://washingtonexaminer.com/ron-arnold-federal-court-slaps-down-big-green-land-grab/article/2520946>

➤ **ABUSE OF THE MASSACHUSETTS NATURAL HERITAGE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM**

"Pepin, of Springfield [Massachusetts], wants to build a retirement home on 36 acres he owns on Hampden's South Road, but he's bumping up against environmental regulations that require concessions before the project can proceed. Pepin sued the state wildlife agency for labeling his land "priority habitat" for a turtle species that isn't threatened or endangered and hasn't been found on his property." http://www.masslive.com/news/index.ssf/2013/04/land-use_case_of_bill_pepin_lo.html

➤ **REINTRODUCING WOLVES**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has been introducing wolves into the Idaho and Wyoming Rocky Mountains since 1995 to the detriment of the elk herds and livestock in those regions. Their "scientists" produced an environmental impact statement in 1994 that has been proven inaccurate. Only a radical environmentalist promotion of *AGENDA 21* biodiversity can explain their motives.

"The so-called wolf experts who contrived the Recovery Plan claimed that the average wolf would kill around 14 big game animals yearly. Subsequent research, observing what was actually happening once the wolves had far surpassed the recovery goals, established that the average wolf was killing between 20 and 30 big game animals annually - for sustenance. Likewise, they were killing nearly the same number - simply for the sport of killing, eating nothing. That meant the average wolf was killing between 40 and 60 animals each and every year. The "scientists" who drafted the plan failed to even address what is now referred to as "sport killing" or "surplus killing".

One of the first elk herds to be severely impacted by wolf depredation was the Northern Yellowstone elk herd. In 1995- 96, when the first wolves were released, that herd numbered between 19,000 and 20,000 - and as wolf numbers quickly grew in and around the park, elk numbers dwindled quickly. That summer when wolves reached their recovery numbers, this herd was already down to 12,000. Currently, the Northern Yellowstone elk herd numbers right at 4,000 animals." <http://www.lobowatch.com/adminclient/WolfImpact5/go> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oBFtW3g2T44&feature=youtu.be> <http://cryingwolfmovie.com/>

I urge you to make the effort required to understand *AGENDA 21* and not shirk your responsibility to counteract this monumental assault on our fundamental liberties enshrined in the Declaration of Independence. **There are multiple ways to put a stop to it described below.** If you can stomach a complete unveiling of this international empowerment of the U.N. you'll be compelled to pray it's not too late to kill it.

11. WHAT MOTIVATES PEOPLE TO PROMOTE AGENDA 21 ?

The worldview of environmentalists, naturalists, progressives and pantheists predisposes them to heartily support these worldwide programs of social and environmental justice. That means at least a third of Americans are willing to submit to the governance, the inventorying, monitoring (do you have a “smart meter” on your house?), the taxation by the U.N. and the control required in order to implement and enforce *Sustainable Development* on the unimaginable scale described in the very first paragraph of the U.N.’s now deleted *AGENDA 21* web site:

“**AGENDA 21** is a comprehensive blueprint of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the U.N., governments, and major groups **in every area in which humans directly affect the environment.**”

<http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/AGENDA21/>

This is not a hidden United Nations conspiracy! Trying to fathom why anyone would want to undermine civilization this way you’re driving to ask, what are their motivations? Let’s perform that task by examining their *tactics*, their *religion* and their *political ambition*, and do what’s politically incorrect, tell the truth.

12. THE TACTICS OF AGENDA 21

~~ENVIRONMENTALISM~~



Advocates of *Sustainable Development* manufacture apocalyptic global environment “emergencies” such as overpopulation, inefficient land use, diminishing plant and animal species, pesticides, drought, deforestation and pollution to steamroll us into accepting their undemocratic solutions to these phantom problems. The environmentalism fervor was ignited back in the early 1970’s by two overwrought books, Ehrlich’s *Population Bomb* and Carson’s *Silent Spring* (on pesticides).

Now they’ve found more success by exploiting the global warming hoax, as demonstrated by this September 26, 2012 Reuters report: “More than 100 million people will die and global economic growth will be cut by 3.2 percent of gross domestic product (GDP) by 2030 if the world fails to tackle climate change, a report commissioned

by 20 governments said on Wednesday.”

<http://in.reuters.com/article/2012/09/25/climate-inaction-idINDEE880oHH20120925>

In 2008, Al Gore, using his trademark bloviating solemnity, prophesied to a German TV audience that global warming would completely melt the North Pole’s ice cap within five years!

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2013/dec/16/editorial-al-gore-soothsayer>

“In fact, receding Arctic ice rebounded between 2012 and 2013, growing by 29 percent into an unbroken patch more than half the size of Europe and within 5 percent of what it was 30 years ago, according to the National Snow and Ice Data Center.”

<http://nypost.com/2014/02/17/al-gores-global-warming-rhetoric-is-put-on-ice/>

Enter the mind of the environmentalist: “We are a plague on the Earth. It’s coming home to roost over the next 50 years or so. It’s not just climate change; it’s sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the natural world will do it for us, and the natural world is doing it for us right now>” he [Attenborough] told the Radio Times.

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/earthnews/9815862/Humans-are-plague-on-Earth-Attenborough.html#disqus_thread

“I believe that human overpopulation is the fundamental problem on Earth Today” [and] “We humans have become a disease, the Humanpox.”

- Dave Foreman, Sierra Club, co founder of Earth First!

http://www.helpfreetheearth.com/news711_eugenics.html

“The elderly are useless eaters.”

-- Henry Kissinger, quoted in the book *The Final Days*

President Obama has quietly given billions of dollars to the U.N.’s bogus global warming campaign.

<http://www.mrctv.org/videos/inhofe-obama-quietly-handing-over-billions-dollars-un-name-global-warming>

“Former U.S. Senator Timothy Wirth (D-CO), addressing the Earth Summit in 1992, said, “We have got to ride the global warming issue. Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing in terms of economic policy and environmental policy.”

<http://go.cornwallalliance.org/t/r-l-ukqjyy-jjqdtfij-d/>

Not only is AGW is a hoax but any claim by the U.N. IPCC that they are concerned about it from an environmental standpoint is also a fraud. Here’s an IPCC member quote revealing the true nature of United Nations programs of environmental justice: “But one must say clearly that we redistribute de facto the world’s wealth by climate policy. Obviously, the owners of coal and oil will not be enthusiastic about this. One has to free oneself from the illusion

that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with environmental policy anymore.”

<http://newsbusters.org/blogs/noel-sheppard/2010/11/18/un-ipcc-official-we-redistribute-worlds-wealth-climate-policy>

31,487 American scientists have signed this petition denying AGW, including 9,029 with PhDs. "There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future

<http://www.petitionproject.org/>

“Average planetary temperatures haven’t budged in 16 years. Hurricanes and strong tornadoes are at or near their lowest ebb in decades. Global sea ice is back to normal, while the Antarctic icepack continues to grow. The rate of sea level rise remains what it was in 1900.”

<http://www.conservativeactionalerts.com/2013/02/carbon-tax-hallucinations/>

“Only 36 percent of geoscientists and engineers believe that humans are creating a global warming crisis, according to a survey reported in the peer-reviewed *Organization Studies*. By contrast, a strong majority of the 1,077 respondents believe that nature is the primary cause of recent global warming and/or that future global warming will not be a very serious problem.”

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/jamestaylor/2013/02/13/peer-reviewed-survey-finds-majority-of-scientists-skeptical-of-global-warming-crisis/>

10 Reasons Climate-Change Hysterics Continue, by Anthony J. Sadar

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2013/03/10_reasons_climate-change_hysterics_continue.html#ixzz2Oa7bzVvG

Here are portions of “An Evangelical Declaration on Global Warming” to which you can add your signature online:


“We deny that Earth and its ecosystems are the fragile and unstable products of chance, and particularly that Earth’s climate system is vulnerable to dangerous alteration because of minuscule changes in atmospheric chemistry. Recent warming was neither abnormally large nor abnormally rapid. There is no convincing scientific evidence that human contribution to greenhouse gases is causing dangerous global warming....

We deny that carbon dioxide—essential to all plant growth—is a pollutant. Reducing greenhouse gases cannot achieve significant reductions in future global temperatures, and the costs of the policies would far exceed the benefits.”

<http://www.cornwallalliance.org/articles/read/an-evangelical-declaration-on-global-warming/>

Here’s an article that dares to draw parallels between historic rationalizations of slavery to “rationalization of ongoing emissions of greenhouse gases to emphasize the religious fervor in environmentalism.”

<http://www.springerlink.com/content/q5021x4506kor622/fulltext.pdf?MUD=MP>



Do you realize how many false environmental hysterics have been foisted on the world in the last 50 years such as claiming the supply of oil and coal would end by the year 2000 or the ludicrous “Population Bomb”? Take a glance at this long list of them at <http://www.akdart.com/enviro6.html>. Their ideology is their religion; science be damned.

Over 500 U.S. Mayors were duped into signing the absurd Conference of Mayors Climate Protection Agreement.

<http://www.usmayors.org/climateprotection/agreement.htm>

President Obama inappropriately injected false claims in his January 2013 inauguration address. "We will respond to the threat of climate change... Some may still deny the overwhelming judgment of science, but none can avoid the devastating impact of raging fires, and crippling drought, and more powerful storms."

http://www.americanthinker.com/2013/01/book_review_the_mad_mad_mad_world_of_climatism.html?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=facebook

A major driver behind the anthropomorphic global warming scare (links later in this essay debunk AGW) is the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) which belongs to the same powerful, international body of America and Israel hating collectivists who formulated *AGENDA 21*; the United Nations. They advance nefarious political objectives while pretending to provide scientific research.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming

Here's a book that dismantles the claims of Climatism: *Mad, Mad, Mad World of Climatism*

<http://www.climatism.net/mad-mad-mad-world/>

Formatted: Hyperlink, Font: +Body (Calibri), 10 pt, Not Italic

Here's a book by a leading champion of climate truth, Senator James Inhofe: *The Greatest Hoax: How the Global Warming Conspiracy Threatens Your Future*

http://www.amazon.com/Greatest-Hoax-Warming-Conspiracy-Threatens/dp/1936488493/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1358561860&sr=1_1&keywords=the+greatest+hoax

Here's a movie on the true cost of the Global Warming hysteria:

<http://www.noteviljustwrong.com/>

"An analysis of NASA satellite data shows that water vapor, the most important greenhouse gas, has declined in the upper atmosphere causing a cooling effect that is 16 times greater than the warming effect from man-made greenhouse gas emissions during the period 1990 to 2001.

The world has spent over \$ 1 trillion on climate change mitigation based on climate models that don't work. They are notoriously poor at simulating the 20th century warming because they do not include natural causes of climate change – mainly due to the changing sun - and they grossly exaggerate the feedback effects of greenhouse gas emissions.

Most scientists agree that doubling the amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere, which takes about 150 years, would theoretically warm the earth by one degree Celsius if there were no change in evaporation, the amount or distribution of water vapor and clouds. Climate models amplify the initial CO₂ effect by a factor of three by assuming positive feedbacks from water vapor and clouds, for which there is little direct evidence. Most of the amplification by the climate models is due to an increase in upper atmosphere water vapor.

The NASA water vapor project (NVAP) uses multiple satellite sensors to create a standard climate dataset to measure long-term variability of global water vapor.

Climate models predict upper atmosphere moistening which triples the greenhouse effect from man-made carbon dioxide emissions. The new satellite data from the NASA water vapor project shows declining upper atmosphere water vapor during the period 1988 to 2001. It is the best available data for water vapor because it has global coverage. Calculations by a line-by-line radiative code show that upper atmosphere water vapor changes at 500 mb to 300 mb have 29 times greater effect on OLR and temperatures than the same change near the surface. The cooling effect of the water vapor changes on OLR is 16 times greater than the warming effect of CO₂ during the 1990 to 2001 period. Radiosonde data shows that upper atmosphere water vapor declines with warming. The IPCC dismisses the radiosonde data as the decline is inconsistent with theory. During the 1990 to 2001 period, upper atmosphere water vapor from satellite data declines more than that from radiosonde data, so there is no reason to dismiss the radiosonde data. Changes in water vapor are linked to temperature trends in the upper atmosphere. Both satellite data and radiosonde data confirm the absence of any tropical upper atmosphere temperature amplification, contrary to IPCC theory. Four independent data sets demonstrate that the IPCC theory is wrong. CO₂ does not cause significant global warming."

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2013/03/06/nasa-satellite-data-shows-a-decline-in-water-vapor/>

Another dimension of the environmentalists' commitment to *AGENDA 21* is their naturalist worldview that denies mankind's spiritual dimension, reducing us to advanced forms of animal life. That motivates the biodiversity people to elevate animal rights to those of humans.

"In this model, politics loses its left-versus-right conflict and moves instead towards a fundamental concern for the health of the ecosystem...**Democracy is replaced with "biocracy,"** where not people but life-sustaining systems are the central concern."

http://www.forcingchange.org/a_new_world_agenda

13. THE RELIGION OF AGENDA 21

~~PANTHEISM~~



Many who endorse *AGENDA 21* are pantheistic New Agers, fervently believing "Mother Earth" (Gaia) is being violated by mankind. Al Gore has called for a new relationship between man and earth.

"Sallie McFague wrote, "A Theological/Ethical Response to *AGENDA 21*," she has lectured on "A Christian Ecological View of Human Beings." Her article called for rejecting the Western model of the Earth in favor of the "ancient organic model" which "can serve to incite the needed change in perspective." She explained: "The organic model, which is found in cultures and religions in Native American traditions, Goddess religions, and even in Christianity's incarnationism, portrays Earth as a body." This "organic model" of McFague's is the Gaia concept....

The United Nations has an earth-worshipping program, "The United Nations Environmental Sabbath Service." It is a call to prayer to an unknown entity for the salvation of the earth. It describes this entity only as "the energy that moves all things." It is a call for all people "to join with the earth and with each other" for "the healing of the earth and the renewal of all life." It urges the earth's inhabitants to chant, "We live in all things; all things live in us. We live by the sun; we move with the stars." **This introduction and practice to pantheism is**

part of the United Nations environmental agenda.

This next portion is probably some of the most disturbing influences of the environmental movement because it is affecting our children. Pledges directed toward Gaia--We as Americans pledge allegiance to the flag as a representation of our country and the principle of freedom, the environmentalists pledge to the earth as a living organism replacing God. "I pledge allegiance to the Earth and all its sacred parts, its water, land and living things and all its human hearts." (Earth Pledge, Global Education Associates)."

<http://letusreason.org/NAM44.htm>

"The Earth Charter is an international declaration, which calls for "building a just, sustainable, and peaceful global society for the 21st century. The underlying philosophy of the Charter is a pagan one, whereby Mother Earth and nature are to be worshiped, and as such it opposes the Judeo-Christian belief that God is separate from his creation and man has dominion over the Earth. If Agenda 21 is the blue print – the Earth Charter is the manifesto" and the philosophy behind *AGENDA 21*.

Since its launch, over 4500 organizations have endorsed the Charter, including international bodies such as UNESCO and the World Conservation Union, the 2001 U.S. Conference of Mayors, various government bodies, faith-based groups and youth organizations.

The original copy of the Earth Charter has been placed in a specially constructed Ark of Hope, a 49 inch (124.5cm) by 32 inch (81.3cm) by 32" (81.3cm) wooden chest that is built to resemble the Biblical Ark of the Covenant, but contains occult symbolism. The Ark of Hope was launched by Steven C. Rockefeller at an event called For Love of Earth, a celebration of the Earth Charter that took place on 9 September 2001 at Shelburne Farms, Vermont. The Ark is taken on tour each year across parts of the world to promote the Earth Charter, visiting hundreds of schools and universities."

<http://www.worldviewweekend.com/news/article/connecting-dots-united-nations-your-state-government>

14. THE POLITICAL AMBITION OF AGENDA 21

~~PROGRESSIVISM~~


Collectivist progressives, opposed to Constitutionally limited government, free markets, economic inequality and private-property, flock to *AGENDA 21* because no other statist scheme has more potential to empower “experts” in government, public/private consortiums and international bureaucracies to control all the ways that mankind impacts the environment. They are hell bound to centrally plan our economy, redistribute wealth, and control land use/development, energy, transportation, industry, food production, water resources and even reduce population size.



They're enthusiastic about the prospect for this environmental Trojan horse to enable the United Nations to exert more influence worldwide, extort more taxes and undermine U.S. sovereignty. Here's an excellent short video produced on November 12, 2012, exposing the true nature of *AGENDA 21* along with some of its powerful and nefarious socialist backers and the network of organizations behind it:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LdFp2xgJM8k>

Leftist members of Congress validated the above assertion when they supported the *Kyoto Protocol Treaty to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*, the *Biodiversity Treaty* and the *Cap and Trade* scheme to place binding obligations on the U.S. to reduce greenhouse gases that would have brought our economy to its knees and subjected us to U.N. mandates. Are you convinced yet how serious this is?



***AGENDA 21* advances the progressives' ultimate agenda, including global government, more than another other scheme. Please understand the agenda behind this agenda! That is the most important point to be made in this essay and would be impossible for you to deny if you read *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology is Destroying America* by Michael Coffman who has a PHD in Ecosystems Analysis with a minor in Climatology. He's an expert in global warming and biodiversity.**
<http://www.americaplundered.com/>

Here's a video of Michael Coffman presenting on *AGENDA 21* and the United Nations.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=fyST4llPxLY#!

In May of 1974 the U.N. General Assembly adopted: 3201 (S-VI). Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order which literally proposed .that governments have complete control over their economies in order to administer social justice redistribution of wealth.


The document called for:

- The regulation of multi-national Corporations
- Authority to nationalize foreign property
- Authority to establish commodity monopolies
- The transfer of technology and technical assistance

<http://www.un-documents.net/s6r3201.htm>

Our Global Neighborhood (1996, <http://www.sovereignty.net/p/gov/gganalysis.htm>) is the U.N Commission on Global Government's plan for leveraging globally implemented environmental policies that would necessitate enforcement bureaucracies, empowered with **international jurisdiction**. That's right, it's called the **U.N**

Commission on Global Government. This is the real goal of *Sustainable Development*. The momentum began for this at the 1972 United Nations Earth Summit in Sweden when the first environmental governance infrastructures were set in place.




“Suddenly "supranational" entities and authorities have more weight in the conversations about human rights and freedoms than our own American authorities and laws. Fonte writes that under "global governance, political and economic power shifts from elected officials, who are accountable to national electorates, to unelected bureaucrats, judges, lawyers, and NGOs, who are accountable to no one." Certainly we have seen such a shift in a Europe now governed by the European Union, whose officials are not elected and who are accountable to no one.”

http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/12/the_temptation_of_babel.html#ixzz2DuZl23U

15. AGENDA 21 INDOCTRINATION




Encompassing every sphere of life, even public school children are being strategically indoctrinated into the global warming hoax, social justice, environmental justice and global citizenship.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oNcZeP-nLuY>



In a book published by the U.N. -- *Rescue Mission: A Children's Edition of AGENDA 21* it says that the planet groans every time a child is born.
<http://www.amazon.com/Rescue-Mission->

<http://in.reuters.com/article/2012/09/25/climate-inaction-idINDEE880oHH20120925Childrens-Edition-Association/dp/1856971759>




Multitudes of school children have been subjected to Al Gore's propagandist movie *An Inconvenient Truth*. Recently, draft social studies standards were proposed by the Nebraska Department of Education: "Climate change would be taught in Nebraska high schools, but American exceptionalism would not."
http://www.kearneyhub.com/news/local/proposed-nebraska-social-studies-rules-include-climate-change/article_1fa0a578-2294-11e2-a99f-0019bb2963f4.html

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT VIOLATES AMERICA'S FOUNDING PRINCIPLES

This is a pitched battle between two diametrically opposed ideologies.

FOUNDING PRINCIPLES	AGENDA 21
Our fundamental rights are the inalienable rights affirmed in the Declaration of Independence	Rights are only defined by and granted by government (as outlined in the U.N. Declaration of Human Rights pictured below).
Equal justice as enshrined in the Declaration of Independence	Social Justice
National sovereignty	International bureaucracies and global government

Ethical individualism	Collectivism
Participatory Democracy and decision making by elected officials instead of appointed commissions	Technocratic decision making by unelected boards doing the bidding of central planners



<http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/index.shtml>

Deliberately or not, every person and policy that allows or contributes to the enforcement of minimal, egalitarian use of the environment is adhering to the principles and guidelines established in the United Nations documents described below.


16. THE BIRTH OF AGENDA 21

Twenty years before the 1992 unveiling of *AGENDA 21* environmentalism became the most powerful tool to empower statist technocrats. A flurry of landmark laws, publications and agencies were unleashed. Grotesque violations of the Constitution set the stage for the worst assault of all on liberty: *AGENDA 21*. “The 1972 U.N. Conference on the environment, which called “environmental protection” the world’s greatest problem, and instituted for the world the U.N. Environmental Programme, followed by Nixon’s Executive Order creating the EPA.”

http://elkodaily.com/news/opinion/mailbag/letter-what-is-agenda/article_d907664c-56d7-11e2-bb8d-001a4bcf887a.html

- The Clean Air Act
- The Environmental Protection Agency (who have become Eco-Fascist *AGENDA 21* implementers under the Obama administration)
- The Clean Air Act
- The Endangered Species Act
- The Club of Rome published *Limits to Growth* which birthed the concept of *Sustainable Development* in its call to limit development and population growth.
- Laurence Rockefeller published *Use of Land: A Citizen’s Policy For Urban Growth* advocating that private property fall under the domain of government for the “common good.”
- Laurence Rockefeller teamed up with his brother Nelson, the Governor of New York to institute the Adirondack Park Agency in 1971 which literally gained control of all private land use within the 6 million acres.

THIS BECAME THE MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN THE UNITED STATES.



- Social justice and land use deliberations in the 1976 United Nations' document *The Vancouver Declaration on Human Settlements* (seen below) called for public control of land, building further on the concept of *Sustainable Development*.

The Vancouver Declaration On Human Settlements

**From the report of Habitat:
United Nations Conference on Human Settlements
Vancouver, Canada, 31 May to 11 June 1976
[The Vancouver Action Plan](#)**

HABITAT: United Nations Conference on Human Settlements,


Aware that the Conference was convened following recommendation of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment and subsequent resolutions of the General Assembly, particularly resolution 3128 (XXVIII) by which the nations of the world expressed their concern over the extremely serious condition of human settlements, particularly that which prevails in developing countries,

Recognizing that international co-operation, based on the principles of the United Nations Charter, has to be developed and strengthened in order to provide solutions for world problems and to create an international community based on equity, justice and solidarity.

"Land...cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice...**Public control of land use is therefore indispensable** to its protection as an asset and the achievement of the long-term objectives of human settlement policies and strategies."


<http://habitat.igc.org/vancouver/van-decl.htm>

<http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/sushttp://www.amazon.com/Rescue-Mission-Childrens-Edition-Association/dp/185697159?tag=sustainable+development/agenda+21+timeline+20120715459/>



It cannot be denied, as many proponents attempt to do, that the policy of *Sustainable Development* was popularized in the 1987 United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) document titled "*Our Common Future*", otherwise known as the "Brundtland Report". This book called for an "Earth Summit" which finally was held by the U.N. in 1992 when *AGENDA 21* officially began.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Our_Common_Future




Five years after “*Our Common Future*” was released, prominent international socialists solidified the *Sustainable Development* movement as *AGENDA 21* in the form of a 321 page document (pictured above) at the 1992 United Nations international Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). It was written by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature who also is the group that writes the United Nations’ treaties. There are 40 chapters of specific policy recommendations.

<http://www.iucn.org/>


178 governments formally adopted it, including the U.S., which is the only member out of 192 with genuine capitalism. No wonder the other 191 countries wanted to subject the U.S. into their socialist ambitions. For 67 years (since 1945) the scandal-plagued U.N. has incrementally increased its power within the member countries. This document prescribes revolutionary changes that will impact every facet of our lives. That’s no exaggeration.

The IUCN is the original scientific advisor group to the United Nations for environmental issues. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. National Park Service and U.S. Forest Service are members. “The Union’s mission is to influence, encourage and assist societies throughout the world to conserve the integrity and diversity of nature and to ensure that any use of natural resources is equitable and ecologically sustainable.” IUCN. 2005. About the IUCN. World Conservation Union. <http://www.iucn.org/en/about/>



Three years later, the 1995 Global Biodiversity Assessment (1152 pages) funded but not produced by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) became the *AGENDA 21* instruction manual (the *AGENDA 21* Bible) and calls for urgent action to reverse the effects of “unsustainable” human activities.

<http://www.un.org/earthwatch/biodiversity/assessment.html>
<http://www.amazon.com/Global-Biodiversity-Assessment-Vernon-Heywood/dp/0521564816>



The American adaptation of the *AGENDA 21* primary document is Clinton’s 1996 policy document titled “*Sustainable America*” (312 pages) which **changed the mission statement for every federal agency in the United States**

without a single vote in Congress and CARRIES THE FORCE OF LAW. These new mission statements “meant a complete shift from the constitutional basis of “life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness” to one of protecting nature *from citizens* at all costs.”

<http://www.amazon.com/Sustainable-America-Americas-Environment-Century/dp/0935755543>

Page 232, *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology Is Destroying America*, Michael Coffman

“1,153 legislative bills or amendments have been introduced in Congress that are based on AGENDA 21 or sustainable development between the 100th and 112th (1987-2012) Congresses. That’s 46 per year!”

Page 234, *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology Is Destroying America*, Michael Coffman

In 1994 Max Baucus’ *Environmental Justice Act* became law.


17. 21 SIGNS THAT AGENDA 21 IS IN YOUR COMMUNITY

1. Your community is a member of organizations such as ICLEI (International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives), or ICMA (International City/County Management Association) - these organizations promote the creation of sustainable communities in line with United Nations *AGENDA 21*.
2. Your community has a Sustainability Director or Department of *Sustainable Development* that is in charge of coordinating the planning and implementation of *Sustainable Development* policies in your area.
3. Your community has a Vision, Master, or Comprehensive Plan typically created within the past 5- 10 years that promotes the three E's of *Sustainable Development* (Environment, Economy, and Social Equity) also known as the "Triple Bottom Line".
4. Your community supports compact, high density, mixed use, pedestrian and bicycle oriented development patterns, constructed primarily along public transit and rail corridors. This is also known as Smart Growth, New Urbanism, or Resilient Communities. These communities also promote alternative modes of transportation away from the traditional automobile toward policies which endorse and encourage public transit whenever possible to curb fossil fuel usage and lower carbon emissions. This may include the implementation of community wide Biking and Pedestrian plans.
5. Your community is actively promoting healthy communities through sustainable agriculture and community gardens; encouraging a shift away from the current free market driven food system to a new locally-focused, publicly incentivized sustainable food system.
6. Your city or town has established an Urban Growth Boundary beyond which all development including the suburbs is considered SPRAWL and blight and is discouraged through various incentives and regulations.
7. Your town has joined with local regional councils or with the state or federal government to promote Sustainable Communities Planning or to launch new sustainable initiatives.



8. Your community has embraced and is building the infrastructure of the new "green" energy alternatives including solar and wind farms while discouraging the continued use of other forms of energy such as fossil fuel and coal. Your community is placing restrictions on private landowners in the form of increased regulation and changed land use rules in order to promote farmland preservation, environmental protection and conservation of natural resources.
9. Your community is working through state and local mechanisms including NGO's (Non-Governmental Organizations) to gain more and more control over privately owned land in order to secure it in perpetuity as shared, common "green space".

10. You find this or any similar symbol in your community's official government documents: Your community belongs to the Earth Charter, the Sierra Club's Cool Cities Initiative or the Audubon Society's Sustainable Community Initiative or your mayor has signed the U.S. Conference of Mayors' Climate Protection Agreement.



11. Your community leaders accept manmade global warming as fact and begin to endorse policies to mitigate any actions or development that may promote global warming.

12. Your community enacts an energy plan requiring governmentally predetermined efficiency standards in order to lower your community's carbon footprint. This may include utilizing new "green" LEED building and energy code standards for construction and development that include incentives, benchmarks, and retrofitting.

13. Your local leaders begin to refer to your community as a "transition town", a resilient city, or as a "livable community" and begin teaching through local government and institutions a community focus on interdependence with nature, interconnectedness and globalism.

14. Your local government uses the language of Social Equity; such as food justice, economic and environmental justice, fairness, direct democracy, diversity, food deserts, social justice, and wealth redistribution.

15. There is involvement from multiple Non-Governmental Organizations in your city's planning and development initiatives. Any new planning involves these NGO's and many other "stakeholders" in the collaborative, consensus-building, "Visioning" process that details the plans for your community's future.

16. Your local school systems begin promoting environmental awareness and *Sustainable Development*; with a focus on becoming environmentally literate, good global citizens. Your school may be involved with International Baccalaureate or other U.N. sponsored education agendas.

17. Your local government authorities begin using and exceeding their constitutionally granted powers alongside private organizations to assist in the promotion of sustainable initiatives through Public-Private Partnerships.

18. You see a focused and significant push toward "social equity" interfaith initiatives that promote a "one world" mentality along with community diversity, multiculturalism, sameness of faiths, social inclusion and environmental stewardship.

19. Your community uses language that calls for "redefining" how we determine progress and prosperity away from traditional wealth and growth measurements like GDP (Gross Domestic Product) toward more philosophical non-specific ideas such as wellbeing and happiness.

<http://www.americanaalertnews.com/21signs.htm>

WHAT AGENDA 21 FEELS AND LOOKS LIKE IN YOUR COMMUNITY

1. It feels like a local government that is out of step with your priorities.
2. It feels like they are deliberately trying to crash your economy.
3. It feels frustrating as you pay "public servants" to seemingly orchestrate your decline.

4. It feels like fixtures in the community never earn any seniority or right to be left alone.
5. It feels condescending when you are patronized and disregarded simultaneously.
6. It feels like bikes and cars are socially and civilly engineered to be at odds.
7. It feels like you're losing your privacy.
8. It feels like your public servants were identified and installed based on their willingness go along with the program.
9. It feels like your private property and small business are morphing from an asset to a liability.
10. It feels like your being taxed and regulated redundantly for reasons that seem to defy your senses.
11. It feels like your rights are a relationship of someone else's convenience.
12. It feels like your civil process has been reduced to disingenuous charade.
13. It feels like belonging to a club with ever increasing dues, but less and less services.
14. It feels like more and more of your favorite wilderness is off limits.
15. It feels like someone else is orchestrating your town.
16. It feels like you have been betrayed.
17. It feels like you're being lied to.
18. It feels like they're always talking lack, scarcity and shortages.
19. It feels like the line between public and private is indiscernible.
20. It feels like government is picking winners and losers, not the free market.
21. It feels like city hall is trying to impose themselves on your landscape, like a big municipal male dog; marking on your town.
22. It feels like your community is being divided against your will or choice.
23. It feels like neighborhood groups don't represent your neighborhood.
24. It feels like agriculture and anything in the country is under assault.
25. It feels like common sense is a thing of the past.
26. It feels like your town belongs to someone else.
27. It feels like birds and critters you've never seen are more important than your property rights.
28. It feels like much of your culture was about cars; now they're bad.
29. It feels like government employees make too much money.
30. It feels like your opinion doesn't matter.
31. It feels like city hall has been brainwashed to think they know what's best for you.
32. It feels like the local paper and local government have merged.
33. It feels like your own representation is on a different team, sabotaging your very well being.
34. It feels disingenuous, like a much-less-than authentic effort is being set forth on your behalf.
35. It feels like the only things they care about are wasting money and installing more Smart Growth.
36. It feels like you are an outsider in your own community.
37. It feels like important decisions are a done deal before you even know about them.
38. It feels like oppression.
39. It feels urgent, like if you don't do something, your community and your abundance will be gone.
40. It looks like streets are getting smaller and bike lanes are getting bigger.
41. It looks more and more like a police state with road blocks.
42. It looks like faux quaint stack 'n pack development.
43. It looks like your property isn't your property anymore.
44. It looks like they prefer electricity over plentiful natural gas.
45. It looks like a lot of terms you've never heard of before.
46. It looks like all kinds of weird parking stuff downtown.
47. It looks like Smart Meters being forced on citizens.
48. It looks like more and more homeless, without a place to go.
49. It looks like contrails in the sky that linger for hours.
50. It looks like everything in the country is getting defunded.
51. It feels like all you do is pay taxes for things you don't like.
52. It looks like little farms, ranches and dairies are having a hard time.
53. It looks like your water bill is going up even though there's plenty of water.
54. It looks like "Roundabouts" where intersections used to be.
55. It looks like bikes and cars are being forced to collide on the road.
56. It looks like businesses with "Coming Soon" signs for a year.
57. It looks like they really like bikes 'n trains.
58. It looks like less and less parking, except at the mall.

59. It looks like they're trying to make traffic worse.
60. It looks like all the new stuff is a mall or a stack 'n pack.
61. It looks like empty parcels in seemingly desirable locations.
62. It looks like local small business' that used to define your town are being deliberately sabotaged through parking manipulations or bypassed completely.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2013/01/how-does-un-agenda-21-implementation.html>

18. SOCIAL JUSTICE-PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ENGINES OF AGENDA 21

Social justice is the philosophical lead locomotive of AGENDA 21. It asserts a **right** for everyone on earth to benefit **equally** from all the resources afforded by society and the environment. (Please think that statement through.)

<http://www.gp.org/tenkey.php>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_justice

Social justice can only be enforced by national and regional governments a massive amount of control. Control is the dominant feature of all collectivist schemes.

Oversized government is axiomatic with corrupt public/private partnerships. The corporations and planning agencies **colluding with government for lucrative monopolistic contracts** to implement *Sustainable Development* are the rear locomotive of AGENDA 21.

AGENDA 21 is fascism.

Here's a classic scenario where taxpayer money is funneled from government into unelected regional planning boards to finance local smart growth projects with the permission of uninformed local officials. This is the way the Feds indirectly control local government decision making. "Last week in Kansas, Sumner County Commissioners voted not to participate in five-county regional planning effort for which a \$1.5 million grant had been issued by the US Department of Health and Urban Development to the Regional Economic Area Partnership of South Central Kansas." But this scenario also illustrates how County Commissioners can block such coercive grants.

<http://www.tulsabeacon.com/?p=6163>

Environmental justice is integral to social justice and requires that natural resources are distributed equally and minimally. Mankind must follow environmentalist policies to restore the environment to its natural state. The environment is on par with the least fortunate; both victims of capitalist exploitation.

Social justice is the cornerstone of statism. Statism tragically replaced sovereignty of the people as the predominant governing philosophy during the Presidency of Teddy Roosevelt when America surrendered the preeminence of individual liberty and our Constitutional foundation, for government-provided "fairness" and "security". With a people no longer protective of freedom and the rule of law, the door was opened to transnational social/environmental justice demands that would pre-empt national sovereignty, as Maurice Strong explained: "The concept of national sovereignty...is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation."

19. AGENDA 21 SOCIAL JUSTICE: ASSAULTING PRIVATE PROPERTY

"Property must be secured, or liberty cannot exist." John Adams

From the "Fifth Amendment" treatise by State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Sanders (12/10/97)

"LIFE, LIBERTY, and PROPERTY... are so related that the deprivation of any one of these rights may lessen or extinguish the value of the other two." Smith Vs State of Texas, 233 US 636 (1914)

The revealing quotes in the two paragraphs below demonstrate the globalist/collectivist economic and environmental strategy formulated in 1976 and formalized in 1992 as AGENDA 21. These quotes expose the blatant assault against a cornerstone of inalienable rights and against a key component of the U.S. Constitution's Fifth Amendment: private property.

"Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlements, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to **social injustice**; if unchecked, it may become a **major obstacle in the planning and implementation of**

development schemes. Social justice, urban renewal and development, the provision of decent dwellings and healthy conditions for the people can only be achieved if **land is used in the interests of society as a whole.**”

“**Public ownership or effective control of land** in the public interest is the single most important means of...achieving a more equitable distribution of the benefits of development whilst assuring that environmental impacts are considered. Land is a scarce resource whose management should be subject to public surveillance or control in the interest of the nation;... Governments must maintain full jurisdiction and **exercise complete sovereignty over such land** with a view to freely planning development of human settlements...; Public ownership of land ... is justified in so far as it is exercised in favor of the common good.”

Vancouver, *Habitat: United Nations Conference On Human Settlements*. <http://www.un-freezohttps://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HcO-jSncbDIne.org/habitat1.shtml>

Here’s an excellent 46 minute video presentation for those who don’t grasp the intimate relationship between Liberty and Private Property and the incredible extent to which AGENDA 21 has already diminished Private Property. It proves that Sustainable Development is the antithesis of Private Property and that the good intentions of every example of “Smart Growth” backfire terribly.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HcO-jSncbDI>

The American Policy Center produced this clear property rights statement of definitions, principles and rights:

http://americanpolicy.org/statement-of-principles/?mc_cid=27cd346d23&mc_eid=5f96f7463e

“The USDA “census of agriculture” is a government-run farm surveillance program designed to register and inventory detailed private data on farm assets, operations and personnel. A census form is mailed to each farmer in the United States, accompanied by threats of compliance and a warning that farmers who do not comply will be visited in person by government agents.

These agricultural census forms — see a link to a scanned copy below — demands farmers reveal the following information, all of which is compiled into a vast government database:

- # of acres of land owned
- Physical location of the land
- # of acres of croplands harvested
- # of acres of pasture land
- # of acres leased for cash
- # of acres irrigated
- How much money you’ve received from state or federal agricultural programs
- The exact number of acres grown and harvested for each crop: corn, oats, peanuts, cotton, rice, soybeans, wheat and many more
- # of acres of hay or forage crops
- # of acres used for Christmas trees or maple syrup
- Detailed inventory of your greenhouses, vegetable seeds, mushrooms and “propagative materials”
- Detail inventory of tobacco plants, berries, aquatic plants, sod, flowering plants and more
- # of acres of beans, cantaloupes, potatoes, corn and various melons
- # of acres of various fruit and nut crops including apples, grapes and pears
- The total dollar value of all the crops you sold
- Detailed inventory of berries, including blueberries, strawberries, blackberries and more
- Details on the number of cattle and calves
- Value of all cattle sold
- Details on pigs, horses, sheep, goats and other animals
- Details on aquaculture operations, including type of plants grown

- Details on poultry production, # of chickens, what kind, how much money, etc
- Details on bees, alpacas, bison, deer, elk, rabbits and more
- Full details on all **production contracts** including chicken eggs, feed, seed and more
- Full reporting of all income from farm-related sources
- Full details on all farm labor, how many people you hired, what you paid, etc.
- **Grain storage capacity on your farm**
- All production expenses: A **full accounting** of money spent, almost a full tax return all by itself
- Details on all fertilizers and chemicals applied to your farm
- Details on all organic food production
- The market value of all your land, machinery, buildings and equipment
- Details on all your tractors, what kind they are, how many horsepower, and all attachments
- Details on all sources of energy, including solar, wind, geothermal, biodiesel and more
- Details on land use practices: erosion, conservation, cover crops and more
- Details on all your farming practices: crop rotations, reclamation projects, biomass harvesting, etc.
- Details on the dollar value of direct sales for human consumption
- The **names and descriptions of all farm operators**, including name, sex, age, race, hours of work and more
- Household income details
- Details of internet access

See a scanned copy of the full form (minus the first page) at: <http://www.naturalnews.com/files/USDA-agriculture-census.pdf>

All this information will be used to seize farms as already approved under Obama

Most Americans don't yet realize that President Obama has already signed an executive order declaring government ownership and control over all farms, food, livestock, seeds, farm equipment and more. See: http://www.naturalnews.com/035301_Obama_executive_orders_food_supply...

The executive order is published at WhiteHouse.gov: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2012/03/16/executive-order...>

Just over a year ago, on March 16, 2012, President Obama issued this executive order entitled, "NATIONAL DEFENSE RESOURCES PREPAREDNESS."

This executive order states that the President alone has the authority to take over all resources in the nation (labor, food, industry, etc.) as long as it is done "to promote the national defense" — a phrase so vague that it could mean practically anything.

The power to seize control and take over these resources is delegated to the following government authorities:

(1) the Secretary of Agriculture with respect to food resources, food resource facilities, livestock resources, veterinary resources, plant health resources, and the domestic distribution of farm equipment and commercial fertilizer;

(2) the Secretary of Energy with respect to all forms of energy;

(3) the Secretary of Health and Human Services with respect to health resources;

(4) the Secretary of Transportation with respect to all forms of civil transportation;

(5) the Secretary of Defense with respect to water resources; and

(6) the Secretary of Commerce with respect to all other materials, services, and facilities, including construction materials.

Obama already asserts authority to seize your farm, greenhouse, livestock, seeds and grain

As is readily apparent, the executive order admits that the federal government can **seize all farms, food, seeds, livestock and water resources** any time it wants. The USDA agricultural census document provides the U.S. government with a “registration list” of all farms, seeds, tractors, livestock and water resources. It tells them what each farm possesses and exactly where to find it.

Remember, the U.S. government has already purchased 1.6 billion rounds of ammunition and thousands of armored assault vehicles to use domestically, against the American people. The global economy stands on the verge of collapse, with food shortages almost certain to follow a banking collapse. Once that happens, starvation is only days away, and it’s not unreasonable to imagine the federal government using the USDA agricultural census database to compile a “seizure target list” of farms to raid for food, tractors, seeds and livestock.

No farmer in America is safe from the government. A government that wants to take away your rifle will have absolutely no hesitation taking away your farm. My advice is to refuse on both counts.

USDA census is a violation of Fifth Amendment right to remain silent

The USDA census is, of course, ridiculously invasive and onerous. It places an enormous burden on farmers to fill out the forms and derive financial numbers that are, frankly, private information. Legally speaking, the census forms are a violation of Fifth Amendment rights which say no American shall be forced to testify against himself.

Beyond the obvious invasion of privacy, **census forms have become a frightening system of surveillance** where the government pries into the private lives of innocent, hard-working farmers who are just trying to make a living by producing honest food. The government will obviously use this system to try to enforce its National Animal Identification System (NAIS), a federal animal tagging and tracking system that thrusts an onerous burden on farmers and ranchers.

The USDA, predictably, claims your data is all protected. “Once you fill out the Census, your personal information is protected by federal law. These laws require USDA to keep your identity and your answers completely confidential,” they claim on their website. (http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Help/FAQs/General_FAQs/)

But that’s a complete joke, of course, because in 1791 we were promised a Bill of Rights that said “the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed,” yet it is routinely infringed by the federal government at every turn. Obama promised health insurance would become more affordable under Obamacare, but rates are actually set to double. The Fourth Amendment guarantees us a right to be safe in our person and our belongings, yet the TSA violates that every single day.

Let’s face it: **the government lies.** It uses coercion to try to force people to do its bidding. So a promise by the USDA that all your private financial data will be “protected by federal law” holds zero credibility. Especially when the top law enforcement official in the nation — Eric Holder — was himself engaged in illegal gun running that put thousands of guns into the hands of Mexican drug gangs. When the government itself becomes the criminals, it’s hard to believe any promises of confidentiality.

Case in point: The Social Security Administration once promised your number would “never be used for identification.” Now it has become the *de facto* numerical ID of all Americans, without which you cannot hold a job or open a bank account. **Governments LIE** and routinely break promises.

People have good reason not to trust the government with their private data and personal farm details. In an age when the DHS is arming to the teeth while refusing to answer questions about why it’s buying enough ammunition to wage a 20-year war with the American people, we are wise to distrust government promises from any federal agency, including the USDA which routinely conspires with Monsanto.

It's nobody's business how many chickens or goats I'm raising

I raise chickens and goats, and it's nobody's business how many I care for. The USDA says that **everybody with backyard chickens is a "farmer" under their control** and therefore must fill out this form or face fines and a possible personal visit by government agents.

The USDA itself admits all this, saying:

Even if you do not believe you qualify as a farmer. You may be surprised to learn that a farm is defined as any place that produced and sold, or normally would have sold, \$1,000 or more of agricultural products during the Census year. Many people who do not think of themselves as farmer actually meet the definition according to the Census. If you own horses, backyard chickens, large urban gardens, etc., you may qualify as a farmer.

Thus, by their own admission, even if you do not produce any food whatsoever, the mere act of *living on a piece of land that COULD produce food* makes you a farmer! If you have a "large urban garden" you must spy on yourself for the government!

If you refuse to fill out the form, the USDA will **send government spies to your property to confront you in person**. In their own words:

"For those who do not respond by April 5, NASS will begin following up by telephone and personal visits."

Add yet, even though you are threatened with being visited by government agents for failing to fill out the form, the USDA admits **their own website doesn't even work much of the time** and loses the information you're trying to fill out:

We are experiencing intermittent connection issues and are working to resolve them as soon as possible. We understand that some respondents have lost their connection or received an error screen that does not allow them to return to the information they already entered. We greatly apologize for this inconvenience and we hope to have the problems resolved very soon. (SOURCE)

Furthermore, you are not allowed to answer any question with, "I don't know." As the USDA explains:

NASS does not provide an option for respondents to select "don't know" because your best estimate is always better than "don't know."

Of course, the government can always imprison you for providing inaccurate information, so the mere act of attempting to fill out the form **automatically makes you a criminal** for reporting inaccurate financial data. It's a catch-22: Refuse to fill out the form, and you earn a visit from government agents along with possible fines. Choose to fill out the form, and you incriminate yourself with possible felony violations for "lying to the government." This is the crime that sent Martha Stewart to prison, by the way.

Read what farmers really think of the USDA and its census surveillance demands

Here's some of what's posted on the LocalHarvest.org forum:

USDA Census harassment

Has anyone else been hassled by USDA for refusing/neglecting to fill out their census form?

They kept sending me copies, and I kept throwing them away, because as we increasingly see, USDA fashions its every policy with an eye to promoting the interests of Corporate Ag while squashing small family farms.

In particular, given what we have seen of USDA's lies, deception and coercion in pursuit of their disastrous NAIS policy, I do not trust them to honor their privacy assurances, but rather I expect they will use information from the census to feed into NAIS.

We know that they have lied to the public and to ag organizations about the NAIS policies, e.g. that the program is voluntary when it is in fact coercive, that it will benefit farmers when it would in fact destroy small family farms, etc. They have demanded that vendors of livestock feed require a NAIS "premises id number" or you can't buy feed, in some locales.

NAIS is simply impossible for small, already overworked family farmers to comply with. It would subject anyone keeping any farm animals, even a couple of backyard chickens, to more scrutiny, intrusion and surveillance than a violent felon or convicted pedophile. The record-keeping and reporting requirements are unbelievable. If the strain of all this added time and labor is not enough to ruin family farms, the fees and potential fines for violating their stupid reporting requirements will finish the job.

So, I told this lady, I am not cooperating with USDA because of their anti-family- farm policies including GAP (see related thread), organic certification (see related thread), and especially NAIS. I told her I did not trust their "privacy" assurances.

She was nice enough but finally said, "I'm not threatening you, but a federal statute requires you to submit this census, and USDA can fine you. You'll be getting letters from the USDA about this."

This is outrageous. We need to be writing our congresspersons about the way USDA is being used to bludgeon family/small farms. We need to build public awareness about what is going on. Let your customers at your Market know, and urge them to talk to local, state, national officials about the desperate need to nurture, rather than impede, community-based agriculture.

I see this situation worsening by the month, as nanny state bureaucrats seem to be crawling out of the woodwork like so many termites. If we don't get active and fight back, we are lost!

RE: USDA Census harassment

Yes, I too have been harassed by the USDA for not wanting to fill out their VERY lengthy survey about pretty much nothing..in my opinion. I too finally 1/2 filled one of the three they sent me with SOME of the information they needed to know. The rest was none of your business answers. I too was threatened with fines etc. Personally, I was sick of the mailings, letters, and phone calls!!!

There are two fines – one if you do not reply to it and another if you provide incorrect information (lie to them). So when I get this I write in bold letters "None of your business" on every page. Then on the last page I write "This is my response and its the truth."

Yes, I also was harassed 3 times. Called the USDA the rep. said they would not enforce the fine. Finally got around to filling it out with several sections labeled "NONE OF YOUR BUSINESS".

<http://www.infowars.com/usda-agricultural-census-program-is-a-covert-surveillance-operation-to-compile-government-database-of-food-and-farm-assets/>

"Rights" in social justice theory are antithetical to our inalienable endowments; they are antithetical to equal protection under the law; they are antithetical to equal opportunity within the law and they are antithetical to the U.S. Constitution. **Social justice "rights" are not natural rights. They are privileges granted by a state powerful enough to coerce resource redistribution.**

Social justice is a triumph of collectivism over liberty. Liberty is the power to take individual moral responsibility. Collectivism is what automatically fills the void when too few people in a society take individual moral


responsibility. Some, of course, willingly embrace communitarianism. Collectivism necessitates a central planning administrative state to dispense social justice.

20. DO ALL FORMS OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT DIMINISH LIBERTY?

Many who are up in arms against *AGENDA 21* are casting dispersion on worthy community enterprises that use terminology found in *AGENDA 21* such as “sustainability”. Wikipedia defines sustainability as “the capacity to endure. For humans, sustainability is the long-term maintenance of responsibility, which has environmental, economic, and social dimensions, and encompasses the concept of stewardship, the responsible management of resource use. In ecology, sustainability describes how biological systems remain diverse and productive over time, a necessary precondition for the well-being of humans and other organisms. Long-lived and healthy wetlands and forests are examples of sustainable biological systems.”

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sustainability>

Thus we have an unfortunate overlap between coercive *AGENDA 21 Sustainable Development* (that’s based more on social justice) and responsible local *Sustainable Development* that fits the Wikipedia definition above. The first needs to be renounced, the second needs to flourish. Those wielding scorched earth tactics against all forms of *Sustainable Development* are diluting the worthy cause of opposing *AGENDA 21* and are encouraging its advocates to cast the resistance as paranoid.



A definitive source of information on *AGENDA 21 Sustainable Development* is the book: *The Perils of Sustainable Development* by Rene' Holaday. Here's the book description at Amazon: “Six years of solid research has pulled together a complete picture of what the U.N. has in store for the United States, and what has already been covertly accomplished in our great nation. It is a compilation of all the most important facts and glaringly incriminating quotes. It also supplies the reader with Resolution Templates and blueprint action plans that have already been successful in over 57 cities, counties, and two states in the USA. The reader can use these "blueprints" in order to start putting their own plans of action into progress in their own local areas to put a stop to these U.N. programs....do you even know if you live in an area deemed by the U.N. to be a "Wildlife Corridor" that will allow little to no human use within the next few years?”

<http://www.amazon.com/Perils-Sustainable-Development-Rene-Holaday/dp/0615627838>

Here's an excellent 29 page, well-illustrated booklet on *Sustainable Development*:
http://www.freedomadvocates.org/images/pdf/USD-A21%20pamphlet_2012.pdf

21. ENFORCEMENT OF AGENDA 21

AGENDA 21 was not legislated into law and it's not a treaty. It is a soft law policy document not requiring legislative approval, just delegated administrative approval. Town boards, local/county/regional planning commissions, nonprofit organizations and conservation land trusts select whatever components they want to implement AND THEN IT BECOMES ENFORCEABLE LAW.

And, adding insult to injury, the *Precautionary Principle* permitted by *AGENDA 21* allows arbitrary imposition of restrictions on environmental use without full scientific warrant: “In order to protect the environment, the precautionary approach shall be widely applied by States according to their capabilities. Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation.” This is sentencing without due process. But that’s what happens when equal justice is replaced by social justice.

<http://www.un.org/documents/ga/conf151/aconf15126-1annex1.htm>

As mentioned above, “In 1995, President Bill Clinton, in compliance with *AGENDA 21*, signed Executive Order #12852 to create the *President’s Council on Sustainable Development* in order to “harmonize” US environmental policy with U.N. directives as outlined in *AGENDA 21*. That executive order directed **all government agencies of the Federal Government [and NGOs] to work with state and local community governments in a joint effort to “reinvent” government using the guidelines outlined in AGENDA 21**. As a result, with the assistance of planning groups like ICLEI, *Sustainable Development* is now emerging as government policy in every town, county and state in the nation.”

<http://whatisagenda21.net/agenda21.htm>

President George W. Bush refused to send a delegation to reaffirm *AGENDA 21* at the 2002 U.N. Earth Summit in Johannesburg, South Africa. One of the planks of the Republican platform renounces *AGENDA 21* saying that it is “being covertly pushed into local communities” as part of a “destructive and insidious” U.N. design.

President Obama issued Executive Order 13575 on June 9, 2011, establishing the White House Rural Council which stunningly granted 24 Federal Agencies **authority over the “food, fiber and energy” of 16% of the U.S.** to be headed by the Secretary of Agriculture. A whole essay could be written on the autocratic nature of this Executive Order.

Here’s a six minute video on this gross government overreach:

http://whatisagenda21.net/eric_bolling_obama_rural_council/eric_bolling_obama_rural_council.html

The link below starts with this petition against Executive Order 13575.

To: Speaker of the House John Boehner

The Obama Administration has issued an Executive Order that creates massive top-down control of our nation's rural areas.

It will create a massive bureaucracy overseen by 25 Cabinet Secretaries who will be joined by a hoard of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) self-proclaimed "stakeholders," and other special interest who stand to gain power and wealth.

Excluded from the Council are farmers and property owners who will be directly affected by the policies with which they will be forced to comply.

Purpose of the Rural Council is to enforce the policy of Sustainable Development, part of the UN's Agenda 21 that is now being questioned and eliminated in communities across the nation.

For individual Americans, the enforcement of Sustainable Development through this Executive Order means higher energy and food prices, control of water usage, destruction of private property, control of families, a top-down managed economy over every inch of land, every business activity, the curriculum in every school and even the information that can be conveyed over the public airways.

Our nation's feeble economy cannot sustain the cost of this program. Our precious liberties cannot survive it. Our Constitution demands that the House of Representatives take immediate action to stop the enforcement of Executive Order # 13575.

I the undersigned American citizen demand that you take action to stop Executive Order # 13575.

Signed  State WA & MT

<http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/FreedomFromRuralCleansing.htm>

Here's the wording of the Executive Order.

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/DCPD-201100431/pdf/DCPD-201100431.pdf>

Over 1300 communities pay dues to the *Local Governments for Sustainability*, formerly called the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). LGS provides community plans, software and training. Additional local deployment entities include the American Planning Association (a huge *AGENDA 21* organization), The Renaissance Planning Group, International City / County Management Group, the US Mayors Conference, National Governors Association, National League of Cities, National Association of County Administrators and **many more private organizations and official government agencies. Foundation and government grants drive the process.**

<http://whatisagenda21.net/agenda21.htm>

As a result, *AGENDA 21* has become so pervasive, normalized, and ubiquitous that only vigilant individualists comprehend it as the collectivist threat to liberty that it is.


22. LAND CONSERVATION TRUSTS AND EASEMENTS

“The nation's 1,700 land trusts work with communities to acquire and manage land for the purpose of permanent conservation and then steward the land for public benefit. The land trusts are on the front lines with their local communities to help them save America's land heritage. Over the years, land trusts have been extraordinarily successful, having protected more than 37 million acres of land, according to the National Land Trust Census.”
<http://www.landtrustalliance.org/conservation/landowners/how-do-you-benefit-from-land-conservation>


“Initially, conservation easements - which allow landowners to hold on to and use their property but permanently remove development rights in exchange for tax benefits - seemed to hold some promise as an unobtrusive, effective means of preserving open space while upholding private stewardship, private initiative and the rights of private property owners. Land trusts, the organizations that manage the easements, tended originally to be small, nonpolitical, and independent of government involvement.

Over time, however, as numerous land trusts have grown in size and number, so have their association - and influence - with government. This has been the case particularly with the large, national organizations that obtain enormous sums from federal funding. For many of these land trusts, what used to be a close working relationship with private landowners has been replaced by a closer relationship with government agencies. Increasingly too, the mission has evolved from protecting open lands through private stewardship to **aiding government agencies in acquiring private lands**. In these troubling arrangements, land trusts have operated more like government agents, acquiring easements from private landowners, only to turn around and quietly sell them - sometimes for a profit - to state or federal governments.

These methods certainly are not practiced by all land trusts, but nor are they isolated cases.... easements, absent reforms, could evolve into the prevailing method for government to shift lands unobtrusively from private to public control under a pretense of private stewardship.... While the permanency may hold appeal to those property owners who see value in shielding their land from developers forever, particularly when sweetened with a significant tax deduction, it could prove to be detrimental to the public over the long-term as economic and ecological factors change our definitions of what should be preserved and why. The tax incentives themselves also are problematic, developing into what some critics call a "tax haven" and "tax bonanza" for the wealthy landowner. Although the tax benefits were intended to aid the land-rich, cash-poor farmer or small business, struggling because of exorbitant property and estate taxes to hold on to their land, the federal tax benefits disproportionately favor wealthy landowners.”



Sources: Land Trust Alliance and Nancy A. McLaughlin¹⁸



Sources: Land Trust Alliance and Nancy A. McLaughlin²¹

<http://www.nationalcenter.org/NPA569.html>

Here's a conservation easement horror story that exposes the full tyrannical AGENDA 21 intentions of unelected environmental councils.

"Martha Boneta's small 70-acre [Virginia] farm, previously the center of the famous "pitchfork protest", is once again under attack.

She purchased the farm with a Conservation Easement from the Piedmont Environmental Council. Conservation Easements are touted as a means to protect resources such as productive agricultural land, wildlife habitat, historic sites or scenic views. They are used by landowners ("grantors") to authorize a qualified conservation organization or public agency ("grantee") to monitor and enforce the restrictions set forth in the agreement. Federal and state governments grant vast sums in tax benefits to the grantor in exchange for relinquishing all or part of the development rights and meeting the specified terms of the agreement.

Mrs. Boneta was not the grantor of the easement on her property nor was she awarded any tax benefits or subsidies. She bought the property with the easement and is bound by the terms of the original agreement that are passed on to any subsequent owner permanently. She had a reasonable expectation that the easement on her farm would assure that the land would be preserved for her intended purpose - farming.

What could possibly go wrong?

One minute she is a responsible organic farmer with the required license to sell her vegetables and fruits; the next, she is bullied and fined for contrived violations by Fauquier County Administrators. They never obtained a warrant nor set foot on her property to gather actual evidence. Instead a county bureaucrat relied on unscrupulous, unlawful methods to bring charges against Mrs. Boneta. They ignored due process of law which is a common practice used to intimidate citizens into forfeiting their rights and ultimately their property.

One would think the PEC would be in her corner and stand up for her right to farm per the conservation agreement.

But it wasn't enough for the PEC that Martha was bullied into shutting down her farm out of fear of further uncertain charges by Fauquier County carrying possible criminal penalties. The PEC has now put Mrs. Boneta on notice that they intend to inspect the Barn Complex and Smithy "for the purpose of determining if improvements

have been made to accommodate residential use".

The conservation easement agreement authorizes the Piedmont Environmental Council the authority to monitor and enforce the terms of the agreement but the notice completely ignores that the agreement allows 1600 sq. ft. of residential space in the Barn Complex.

PEC Vice President, Heather Richards, further believes she can ignore the law by prohibiting Mrs. Boneta from photographing or video recording anyone entering her private property, even to inspect, while asserting the PEC's right to do so.

In essence, Fauquier County Administrators and the PEC are attempting to pull the land right out from under the feet of Martha Boneta without due process of law and totally ignoring the terms of the conservation agreement.

Their position assumes they are above the law and Mrs. Boneta is but a mere serf or tenant on what is her land, while she is still burdened by taxes and other expenses, and subject to the whim and will of Fauquier County Administrators (the king) and the Piedmont Environmental Council (the privileged lords and nobles).

The UN objective of Agenda 21, to extinguish private property rights, is met when wealth and power (property) is transferred to, and accumulated in the hands of the modern ruling elite: government agencies and trusts which form an unholy alliance to defraud rural landowners, like Martha Boneta, of their inherent right of lawful ownership and use of their land."

<http://va10thamendment.org/node/218>

23. THE SCOPE OF AGENDA 21

Ther <http://va10thamendment.org/node/218e>'s no greater threat to citizen and American sovereignty than United Nations *AGENDA 21* which "proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by EVERY person on Earth...it calls for specific changes in the activities of ALL people...Effective execution of *AGENDA 21* will REQUIRE a profound reorientation of ALL humans, unlike anything the world has ever experienced..." *AGENDA 21 : The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet*
<http://www.amazon.com/AGENDA-21-Summit-Strategy-Planet/dp/093575511X>

This first paragraph from the U.N. web site shown below captures the nature of this plan: "*AGENDA 21* is a comprehensive blueprint of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the U.N., governments, and major groups **in every area in which humans directly affect the environment.**"

This is

UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs
Division for Sustainable Development

Home | About | Areas of Work | CSD | SD Topics | Resources | News/Media

Resources > Publications > Core Publications

Core Publications
Agenda 21

[Cover](#) | [TOC](#) | [Preamble](#) | [Sec I](#) | [Sec II](#) | [Sec III](#) | [Sec IV](#) | [Rio Declaration](#)
[Forest Principles](#) | [Order](#)

عربي | 中文 | English | Français | Русский | Español

Agenda21

Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the United Nations System, Governments, and Major Groups in every area in which human impacts on the environment.

Agenda 21, the [Rio Declaration on Environment and Development](#), and the [Statement of principles for the Sustainable Management of Forests](#) were adopted by more than 178 Governments at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development ([UNCED](#)) held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 3 to 14 June 1992.

The [Commission on Sustainable Development \(CSD\)](#) was created in December 1992 to ensure effective follow-up of UNCED, to monitor and report on implementation of the agreements at the local, national, regional and international levels. It was agreed that a five year review of [Earth Summit](#) progress would be made in 1997 by the [United Nations General Assembly meeting in special session](#).

The full implementation of [Agenda 21](#), the [Programme for Further Implementation of Agenda 21](#) and the [Commitments to the Rio principles](#), were strongly reaffirmed at the [World Summit on Sustainable Development \(WSSD\)](#) held in Johannesburg, South Africa from 26 August to 4 September 2002.

name of the primary *AGENDA 21* document:

"Earth Summit *AGENDA 21* United Nations Programme of Action"

<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&type=400&nr=23&menu=35>

<http://habitat.igc.org/agenda21/>

It can be purchased for \$25 from

<http://www.un.org/Pubs/textbook/e93020.htm>

Or it can be downloaded for free from

<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&nr=23&type=400&menu=35>

or from

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/36820157/Agenda21-Earth-Summit-the-United-Nations-Programme-of-Action-From-Rio>

or from

<http://www.freedomadvocates.org/images/pdf/Agenda21-Earth%20Summit-The%20United%20Nations%20Programme%20of%20Action%20From%20Rio.pdf>

Here's the condensed hardcover version: http://www.amazon.com/Agenda-21-Summit-Strategy-Planet/dp/0935755144/ref=sr_1_18?ie=UTF8&qid=1355074017&sr=8-18&keywords=agenda+21

Undoubtedly the mounting backlash against this document and against this movement led the U.N. and ICLEI to relocate "Earth Summit *AGENDA 21* United Nations Programme of Action" from links that included references to the terms "un" and to "agenda21".

It used to be available at these links:

<http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/agenda21/>

<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/agenda21/>

http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/agenda21/res_agenda21_00.shtml

<http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=798>

ICLEI has obviously chosen to disassociate themselves with this U.N. document even though they are one of the main U.N. local deployment NGO's for *AGENDA 21*. So much of the content of this document is being used against itself that the U.N. is now attempting to prevent access to it.

24. THE AGENDA 21 WILDLANDS PROJECT



Here's the essence of the environmental dimension of *AGENDA 21* as stated by Dave Foreman, a previous Sierra Club board member and founder of the *AGENDA 21* Wildlands Project in 1992:

"We must make this place an insecure and inhospitable place for Capitalists and their projects – we must reclaim the roads and plowed lands, halt dam construction, tear down existing dams, free shackled rivers and return to wilderness tens of millions of acres or presently settled land."

<http://www.newswithviews.com/DeWeese/tom194.htm>

<http://www.earthfirst.org>

<http://www.sierraclub.org/>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Foreman

Foreman also stated: "My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with its full complement of species, returning throughout the world."

http://www.targetofopportunity.com/earth_first.htm

http://www.brontaylor.com/environmental_articles/pdf/Taylor--Tributaries.pdf

<http://www.religionandnature.com/ern/sample/Taylor--EF!andELF.pdf>

In 1994 half of America's lesser used territory throughout all the lower 49 states was nearly designated off limits to human use by Senate ratification of the *Convention on Biological Diversity* (Biodiversity Treaty). On June 29, 1994, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee by a shocking margin of 16 to 3, approved that the treaty be submitted to a Senate vote.

Senate Majority Leader Mitchell, one hour before the scheduled cloture vote, withdrew it. "It was an astonishing defeat for the administration and its army of environmental organizations which had carefully orchestrated what it thought was certain ratification....[The treaty] was first proposed by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in 1981.


The land use policies required by the treaty were also expressed in dozens of other UN documents and at other UN conferences, and incorporated into the agendas of NGOs for implementation through programs and legislation at the local, state, and federal level long before the Treaty was ever presented to the world....On July 19, Dr. Michael Coffman, a Director of Maine Conservation Rights Institute, and a regional director for the Alliance for America, was in Washington talking to Senator Mitchell's staff and to Senator Dole's staff, trying to convince them that the Treaty would have the effect of making the "Wildlands Project," the objective of the Treaty's implementation....

Throughout the night of August 3, a fax drafted by Coffman was distributed through the Alliance for America Network to 4400 organizations and individuals calling for support in opposition to the Treaty...As Congress reconvened, the Environmental Conservation Organization mailed letters to 1050 Mayors, urging them to oppose the Treaty. On September 19, every Senator received [ECO's letter opposing the Treaty](#), co-signed by 293 organizations....

The following day, Coffman was again in Senator Mitchell's office explaining that the Treaty was the embodiment of the Wildlands Project and that the "smoking-gun" evidence was contained in the *Global Biodiversity Assessment (GBA)*" (Even though major newspapers were denying the existence of the GBA!). "Coffman prepared color maps illustrating the impact of the Treaty on the northeast, including Mitchell's state. The maps were overnighted to Mitchell's office, and to the Republican Policy Committee and arrived the morning of September 30. Senate staff enlarged the maps into 4-foot by 6-foot posters, along with enlargements of selected text from the GBA.

As recorded in the [Congressional Record \(S13790\)](#), Friday, September 30, Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX) rose on the Senate floor with Coffman's maps (below) and GBA text excerpts to oppose the Treaty."

“The United States was within one hour of ratifying a United Nations treaty that would have destroyed constitutional property rights and divided America up into human occupation zones.”
Page 243, *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology is Destroying America*, Michael Coffman



<http://www.rangemagazine.com/specialreports/05-fall-taking-liberty.pdf>
<http://sovereignty.net/p/land/biotreatystop.htm>
http://citizenreviewonline.org/sept_2003/explanation.htm
<http://www.takingliberty.us/Narrations/MasterPlan/player.html>

“The Wildlands Project calls for the elimination or restriction of human activity on much of American land. Examples of how the Wildlands Project is being implemented include road closings and the adoption of U.N. Biosphere Reserves and World Heritage Sites. These areas are systematically being closed to recreational use and have habitat "protection" impositions placed on them.” Wildland territory is to become the matrix and human habitation is to be restricted to islands.

<http://www.knoxnews.com/news/2012/jun/30/douglas-and-vicki-freeman-agenda-21-a-threat-to/>
<http://www.newswithviews.com/DeWeese/tom223.htm>

The Wildlands Project includes “rewilding” these lands with the native animal species. “Wolves, cougars, lynx, wolverines, grizzly and black bears, jaguars, sea otters, and other top carnivores need to be restored throughout North America in ecologically effective densities in their natural ranges where suitable habitat remains or can be restored.”

<http://rewilding.org/rewildit/what-is-rewilding/>

“The Park Service allocates funds to a local group designated as a managing body composed of local government officials and environmental groups who in turn set up non-elected boards, councils and regional coordinating

agencies to oversee land use in the heritage area via specific planning and zoning. The managing group, once entrenched in the area, receives operating funds from the Park Service to direct all business to be conducted in a way that they do not consider harmful to the heritage area. Property owners and businessmen are never consulted or given a voice in these non-elected managing bodies.

National Heritage Area Act of 2012, sponsored by Rep. Charles Dent (R-PA15) is the proposed HR 4099 that would grant open-ended powers to local management bodies, empowering them to regulate human activities inside the heritage area, with the potential to affect the lives of millions of Americans without public debate. The management body would operate in the best interest of their organization, disregarding the interests of the property owners in the heritage area.”

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51160>

25. AGENDA 21 DIRECTLY IMPACTING COLORADO'S WESTERN SLOPE

THE ROADLESS AREA CONSERVATION RULE

How is *AGENDA 21* diminishing liberties in this region of Colorado?

Miners and loggers are increasingly being prohibited from public land due to United Nations Earth Charter *AGENDA 21* types of policies. ArchCoal's West Elk Mine in Somerset, Colorado was finally allowed access in August 2012 to their leases to the coal underlying the Sunset Roadless Area, having been prohibited due to the U.S. Forest Service 2001 Roadless Area Conservation Rule.

<http://archcoal.com/aboutus/westelk.aspx>

http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365954.pdf



Pictured here: The Somerset, Colorado West Elk Coal Operation

The Colorado Roadless Rule finalized on July 2, 2012 now overrides the federal rule so that West Elk's directional drilling access to their leases is now restored.

<http://www.gjsentinel.com/news/articles/fork-in-the-roadless-will-colorado-use-federal-or>

They have been opposed aggressively by the Colorado Environmental Coalition, the Wilderness Society, the Wilderness Workshop, Earth Justice, Colorado Representative Diana DeGette, Colorado Wild, the Sierra Club, the Obama administration and the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. Read more about that opposition and the "Colorado Deserves More" campaign at

<http://coloradoindependent.com/89160/roadless-rule-campaign-targets-exemptions-for-logging-drilling-mining>

Environmentalist regulations and litigation are dramatically hindering mitigation of beetle infestation of 6.6 million acres of forest in Colorado and Wyoming as well as clearing dead timber and brush throughout federal land. "The so-called "roadless rule," which was first implemented in 2001 by President Clinton shortly before he left office, restricts and in many cases prohibits local and federal officials from building and maintaining roads that allow firefighters to clear out growth that could instantly become tinder for a new fire." That Roadless Area Conservation Rule classified 31% of national forest land as "Inventoried Roadless Areas." The roadless rule increases the risk of wildfires impacting water supplies and prevents the building and maintaining of water conveyance structures.

<http://www.redstate.com/aarongardner/2012/07/11/clinton-era-environmental-rules-increased-wildfire-risks-in-colorado/>

“Dead timber, lost jobs, contaminated water, and landscapes eviscerated by catastrophic wildfire are hallmarks of a bureaucratic process that places politics and inaction ahead of common sense and conservation.”

<http://tipton.house.gov/press-release/witnesses-tell-tipton-access-needed-curb-catastrophic-damage-western-forests>

Here’s a timeline describing Colorado’s massive wildfires since 1990:

http://www.denverpost.com/ci_20753857/interactive-timeline-history-major-wildfires-colorado

“Barry Noon [Colorado Environmental Coalition] claimed that “proposals to harvest bug-infested stands” don’t make sense due to the effects of roads on ecosystems.”

“As fires began to spark Colorado this year, those who agitated against the Colorado Rule were silent. Rep. Cory Gardner, a Republican, began to question whether the enforcement of the 2001 Roadless Rule was a contributing factor to the conditions that allowed wildfires to spread so rapidly, burning tens of thousands of national forest land and ultimately destroying nearly seven hundred homes. “We will be asking for a full-blown investigation into whether or not roadless policies contributed to the severity of this fire,” Gardner told the Fort Collins Coloradoan.

For his concern, Gardner was met with criticism from Colorado Democrats. Senate President Brandon Shaffer accused Gardner of politicizing the fires, telling the Colorado Independent, “It’s unfortunate that he wants to politicize this fire,” and “there’s no room for politicking.” Colorado Democratic Party Chairman Rick Palacio added, “we get this distraction and politicization from Congressman Gardner. This is completely inappropriate; he owes Coloradans an apology.” None of these admonishments were voiced when Rep. Jarid Polis received a VIP tour of the High Park Fire Command Center, which is outside of Polis’ district, potentially violating congressional ethics rules.

Gardner, along with Representatives Scott Tipton, Doug Lamborn, and Mike Coffman, have banded together in the midst of the tragic Colorado fire season to propose legislation that would increase local control over forest management and wildfire prevention. Lamborn’s office released a statement on the proposal of the Healthy Forest Management Act of 2012 [H.R. 6089] on his Congressional web site. The release summarizes the legislation stating that “By allowing governors, in consultation with county commissioners from affected counties as well as affected Indian tribes, to designate high-risk areas and develop emergency hazardous fuels reduction projects for those areas, states can better protect their communities, species habitats, water supplies, and natural areas, and help ameliorate those conditions that lead to unhealthy forests and wildfires.”

<http://www.redstate.com/aarongardner/2012/07/11/clinton-era-environmental-rules-increased-wildfire-risks-in-colorado/>

26. THE GOAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS "AGENDA FOR THE 21ST CENTURY"

"The objective of [AGENDA 21] *Sustainable Development* is to integrate economic, social and environmental policies in order to achieve **reduced consumption, social equity, and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity**. Sustainablists insist that every societal decision be based on environmental impact, focusing on three components; global land use, global education, and global population control and reduction." This quote is from the article linked below which provides a simple overview of AGENDA 21.

<http://www.newswithviews.com/DeWeese/tom194.htm>



"Maurice Strong was named secretary-general of both the 1972 United Nations Conference on the Human Environment and the 1992 Earth Summit. Through his work in UNESCO, Strong promotes Gaia, the Earth Goddess, and while he was the director of The Temple of Understanding in New York, he encouraged the replacement of Christianity with the worship of "mother earth." In 1997, he famously declared: "Frankly, we may get to the point where the only way of saving the world will be for industrial civilization to collapse. The concept of national sovereignty... is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation."

<http://www.southcoasttoday.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20120221/OPINION/202210303/-1/NEWSMAP>

Strong was the architect of the Kyoto Protocol which is helping devastate Europe's economy. Japan, Italy and Spain face payments of as much as \$33 billion combined for failing to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions as promised under the Kyoto treaty. Spain faces a \$7.8 billion cost, and Italy and Japan each may owe about \$13 billion, based on estimates by their governments and the current price for permits. "The only winners will be countries such as Russia, India, and China, from which Canada will have to buy "emissions credits." Kyoto is essentially a socialist scheme to suck money out of wealth-producing nations. On top of all this, Kyoto will not even reduce greenhouse gases. By encouraging transfer of industrial production to Third World countries where emissions standards are more relaxed, it will almost certainly increase emissions on a global scale.

<http://climateactionnetwork.ca/archive/e/issues/harper-talks-kyoto.html>

The RIO +20 U.N. Sustainable conference June 2012 fifty page official report states:

--"We reaffirm the need to strengthen **international environmental governance** within the context of the institutional framework for *Sustainable Development*."

--"We recognize the importance of international, regional and national financial mechanisms including those accessible to sub-national and local authorities to implement *Sustainable Development* programs and call for their strengthening and implementation."

--"We note that efforts to achieve *Sustainable Development* have been reflected in regional, national and sub-national policies and plans, and that governments have strengthened their commitment to *Sustainable Development* since the adoption of AGENDA 21 through legislation and institutions, and the development and implementation of international, regional and sub-regional agreements and commitments."

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/rio-20/item/11929-at-un-rio%2020-world-governments-agree-on-%E2%80%9Cthe-future-we-want%E2%80%9D>

This article describes the efforts of states such as New Hampshire to ban AGENDA 21 and the foundations that are advocating the establishing of 10 mega-regions in the U.S. for the administration of *Sustainable Development*.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2012/05/states-vote-to-ban-un-agenda-21.html>

27. UNCONSTITUTIONALITY OF AGENDA 21



"Restore Our Constitution"

The United Nations Earth Charter *AGENDA 21* totally violates the U.S. Constitution and our inalienable rights to freedom, self-government and property rights. It mandates economic justice, social justice [instead of equal justice] and environmental justice.

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), foundations, water boards, courts, planning commissions, zoning boards, corporations, public/private partnerships, school boards, unelected councils, directors of sustainability, regional boards, local governments and regional programs with no formal U.N. affiliation and no admitted endorsement of *AGENDA 21* have been propagating and implementing the principles of *AGENDA 21* since George H W Bush signed on to it in 1992. In many states public schools are required to provide environmentalism training.

“*AGENDA 21* is being implemented at the local level through the use of so-called facilitated stakeholder councils, not by vote, and by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Smart Growth grants through the American Planning Association. The International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives communicates with local special interests to translate international policy objectives into local and regional legislation through manipulative "visioning" sessions. School children are not being taught to be American citizens, but citizens of a global village. Today's public education system is designed to crush out children's vibrant eagerness to learn and mold them into easily controlled vassals of an all-powerful state.”

<http://www.knoxnews.com/news/2012/jun/30/douglas-and-vicki-freeman-agenda-21-a-threat-to/>

“The Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule (AFFH) is federal enforcement of Sustainable Development Smart Growth Cities. Such development is a top-down dictatorship, overseen by the department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Announced July 16, 2015 by HUD Secretary Julian Castro, the excuse for the 377 page ruling is to promote and assure discrimination and achieve balanced and integrated living patterns for all citizens. To achieve that goal, AFFH is specifically designed to move people out of rural areas into mega cities and tightly control who may stay in reduced suburbs. To achieve its goals, AFFH requires agencies and communities that apply for HUD grants to detail income levels, religion, color, and national origin of every single person living in every neighborhood of the community. They will then determine any imbalances and, if necessary, force a massive shift of people into such neighborhoods to achieve the desired balance. This is nothing less than social engineering! Worse, the AFFH rule will effectively eliminate local government rule over development.” http://americanpolicy.org/2016/01/04/private-property-ownership-the-first-american-right-to-die-under-barack-obamas-tyranny/?mc_cid=1d4aa7c761&mc_cid=5f06f7463e

The enactment of United Nations *AGENDA 21* kinds of “Sustainable Development” policies must be prevented. Fifty communities across the country in 2011 awakened to the *AGENDA 21* unconstitutional property rights, heavy handed unelected administrators and economic restrictions to which they were being subjected and cancelled their affiliation with the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) which implements *AGENDA 21* in local communities.

Fortunately, at the global level, there are some signs of *AGENDA 21* 's demise. The “Rio +20” 20th anniversary conference held in June 2012

[\(http://www.uncsd2012.org/\)](http://www.uncsd2012.org/) drew 20% of the attendees the U.N. had expected. Lord Moncton gives a firsthand report

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=i-NOjX9l4kk of how the focus has shifted from bogus environmentalism and global warming efforts toward a more worthy focus on world poverty, but with social

justice advocates still wanting a global governing body to administrate its version of socialism. However, at Rio +20, 500 billion dollars was raised from governments and businesses for *Sustainable Development*.



A leading previous global warming alarmist, the chemist who formulated the Gaia Theory that Earth is a living being, admitted on MSNBC in April 2012 that he had overstated the case for man-made global warming and conceded that "we don't know what the climate is doing."

This is the same person who asserted in 2006: "We are in a fool's climate, accidentally kept cool by smoke, and before this century is over billions of us will die and the few breeding pairs of people that survive will be in the Arctic where the climate remains tolerable."

<http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/commentators/james-lovelock-the-earth-is-about-to-catch-a-morbid-fever-that-may-last-as-long-as-100000-years-523161.html>

"Despite the factual evidence, leftists under the adept leadership of President Obama are now implementing environmental policies based on incorrect and historically inaccurate assumptions, e.g. the destructive EPA CO2 rule (projected to cost trillions in lost GDP), the temporarily stalled "cap and trade" program (also projected to cost trillions in lost GDP and millions in projected unemployment), drilling "moratoriums" (hundreds of thousands of jobs placed at risk), and new regulations attempting to ban hydraulic fracturing on federal land, despite the lack of scientific justification (potentially derailing a new energy boom leading to energy independence in America).

Property rights have also been targeted as a source of pollution due to nonexistent "sprawl" as part of a bill titled the "Livable Communities Act." Notice something about all of these "solutions" to nonexistent environmental problems? They all result in the destruction of economic opportunity and prosperity and predictably lead to poverty, all on the basis of falsehood. Surely, those propagating these "solutions" have seen the data. How then are these policies justified? Is it possible there is another motive? Obama's czars have said as much.

Cass Sunstein proposed using the cover of climate change hysteria, now debunked as a massive fraud, to provide justification for wealth redistribution to the Third World. These wealth transfers were called "climate reparations" at the failed Copenhagen conference, and supposedly were needed to repay "climate debt." Such proposals constitute an ideological strain called "Watermelon Marxism," "green" on the outside and "red" on the inside. "

<http://www.texasgopvote.com/issues/stop-big-government/sustainable-poverty-004386>

28. AGENDA 21 ENVIRONMENTAL FASCISM BY THE EPA

Here's a humorous video portrayal of how environmentalists and the EPA have manufactured the Global Warming crisis and coerced governments, corporations and communities to waste hundreds of billions of dollars and create endless detrimental regulations, such as those classifying CO₂ and farm dust as pollutants .

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RIjQR1VOA-U>

"This hoax still threatens our economy, while advancing the UN's "AGENDA 21 " in more ways than one. It's also the foundation of Obama's "green jobs" approach to the unemployment issue, since the very concept of "green jobs" is just as bogus as the idea of a "carbon footprint."

http://www.americanthinker.com/2011/07/the_global_warming_hoax_how_soon_we_forget.html

"If the EPA can control CO₂ emissions, it can control the economy and every citizen—something that causes progressives to salivate."

Page 239, *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology is Destroying America*, Michael Coffman

"A recent national survey conducted by *MWR Strategies* of 1,000 likely voters shows that 65% of Americans agreed that federal regulations result in more costs than benefits. A total of 64% of moderates and 49% of liberals think that federal agencies sometime demand changes that result in little or no environmental benefit. And fewer than a third of likely voters (28%) said that the EPA properly balances the need for economic growth with the need for environmental protection."

[http://news.investors.com/article/612179/201205211832/administration-over-regulates-oil-and-gas-companies.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20\(Editorial%20RSS\)](http://news.investors.com/article/612179/201205211832/administration-over-regulates-oil-and-gas-companies.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20(Editorial%20RSS))

The EPA and the US Department of Wildlife are enforcing restrictive environmental and wildlife policies based on political "scientific" consensus [e.g. AGW] instead of scientific data; betraying their integrity. They make "examples" out of supposed lawbreakers in order to intimidate the public. "Sen. James Inhofe says he wants to document how many companies have been "run out of business" by the types of heavy-handed EPA fascist intimidation tactics recommended by an EPA regional director's [Al Armendariz] "philosophy of enforcement" by soldiers of the Roman Empire, who would seize control of a town by frightening the residents into submission by force. He said the Roman troops would "find the first five guys they saw, and they'd crucify them. And then, you know, that town was really easy to manage for the next few years." [Ibid.](#)



Pictured here is Region 6 EPA thug director Al Armendariz who was forced to resign for his comments above and has been hired by the Sierra Club's "Beyond Coal" campaign to eliminate coal mining.

Watch this short video of Armendariz explaining his "philosophy of enforcement":

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=ze3GB_b7Nuo

Mike and Chantell Sackett bought a small piece of land in Idaho surrounded by a residential neighborhood with sewer lines, near a scenic lake. They lost use of their land due to the EPA's "wetlands" designation, a classification that originated in the United Nations. The EPA agents made their judgment without physically inspecting the property and then refused to allow them due process!

"In a unanimous opinion, the Supreme Court ruled that landowners have a right to direct, meaningful judicial review if the EPA effectively seizes control of their property by declaring it to be "wetlands." The Court ruled in favor of PLF clients Mike and Chantell Sackett, of Priest Lake, Idaho, who were told by the EPA -- and by the Ninth Circuit -- that they could not get direct court review of EPA's claim that their two-thirds of an acre parcel is

"wetlands" and that they must obey a detailed and intrusive EPA "Administrative Compliance Order" or be hit with fines of up to \$75,000 per day."

<http://www.pacificlegal.org/Sackett>

Judge Samuel Alito's ruling for the Sackett case stated: "The reach of the Clean Water Act is notoriously unclear. Any piece of land that is wet at least part of the year is in danger of being classified by EPA employees as wetlands covered by the act, and according to the federal government, if property owners begin to construct a home on a lot that the agency thinks possesses the requisite wetness, the property owners are at the agency's mercy," Alito wrote.

"The court's decision provides a modest measure of relief," he added. "But the combination of the uncertain reach of the Clean Water Act and the draconian penalties imposed for the sort of violations alleged in this case still leaves most property owners with little practical alternative but to dance to the EPA's tune. Real relief requires Congress to do what it should have done in the first place: provide a reasonably clear rule regarding the reach of the Clean Water Act."

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2012/03/21/supreme-court-sides-with-idaho-property-owners-over-epa/>

"A panel of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia has struck down the EPA's "Transport Rule," also known as the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, based on the EPA's exceeding the authority permitted it by statute....The Transport Rule was a dagger aimed at the heart of the coal-powered electricity industry, the first step in President Obama's *stated goal* of causing electricity prices to "necessarily skyrocket."

<http://www.awesomecapital.com/1/post/2012/08/court-rules-cross-state-air-pollution-rule-exceeds-epa-authority.html>

That is the tyrannical spirit of AGENDA 21. "Sen. James Inhofe (R-Oklahoma) stated that this type of EPA mentality – and action – has been intimidating and threatening businesses for years."

<http://cnsnews.com/node/538997>

"AGENDA 21 has not been ratified by the U.S. Senate, but it may not have to be if in a second Obama term the Environmental Protection Agency pursues it by stealth, as it has other environmental AGENDAs that make war on the free enterprise system and rights we hold dear."

[http://news.investors.com/article/614173/201206071903/alabama-fights-un-AGENDA-21-land-grab.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20\(Editorial%20RSS\)](http://news.investors.com/article/614173/201206071903/alabama-fights-un-AGENDA-21-land-grab.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20(Editorial%20RSS))

A federal judge overruled the EPA's incredible attempt to classify stormwater as a pollutant! "The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has exceeded its authority by attempting to regulate water itself as a pollutant by imposing restrictions on the flow of stormwater into Fairfax County's Accotink Creek."

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/52169#When:23:24:30Z>

"The EPA has pushed to regulate—control—every body of water in America, no matter how small. A recent court decision derailed EPA storm-water regulations that would have established a first-time standard for post-construction storm-water runoff could include mandates on cities to change existing buildings, storm-water sewer systems, and streets. It would have been the most expensive rule in EPA history.

A new EPA ozone standard will occur, one that the EPA estimates would cost \$90 billion a year while other studies put the figure at nearly a trillion dollars and destroy 7.4 million jobs. The EPA's projections are that 650 additional communities would be deemed "non-compliant" and effectively ensure plant closings and that no new manufacturing and other businesses would set up shop....

The EPA will release regulations on the manufacture of cement—the MACT rule—that would increase the cost of manufacturing this essential element of construction by 22% to 36%. Many such plants would have to close and the U.S. would have to import cement from nations like China.

It costs \$353 billion annually to comply with its regulations....

The most powerful economy in the world is being destroyed from within by an agency [20,600 employees] that has declared war on America. It must be drastically downsized and restrained if the nation is to survive.

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/52289#When:11:05:26Z>

<http://www.montrosecounty.net/DocumentCenter/View/4837>

An Environmental Protection Agency project entitled Landscape Characterization and Change Detection Methods Development Research, says the EPA will use Department of Defense and NASA designed "UAV and satellite based remote sensor data to provide a continuous environmental monitoring capability." Many don't buy the government's claim of using drones to protect the environment, especially after the recently discovered Air Force intelligence brief that states, if drones "accidentally" capture surveillance footage of Americans, the data can be stored and analyzed by the Pentagon for up to 90 days.

Farmers nationwide were upset when it was learned that the EPA was spying on Cattle Ranchers in Iowa and Nebraska. Seattle residents were also up in arms after the Seattle Police Department received a drone.

http://www.thedailysheep.com/wa-farmers-block-federal-drones-and-agenda-21_112012

"Nebraska's congressional delegation sent a justifiably angry letter to Administrator Lisa Jackson last week complaining that her Environmental Protection Agency had exceeded its legislative and constitutional authority by conducting drone surveillance flights over Nebraska and Iowa farms looking for violations of the Clean Water Act. "They are just way beyond the outer limits of any authority they've been granted," said Nebraska GOP Sen. Mike Johanns."

[http://news.investors.com/article/613980/201206061832/epa-spies-on-farmers-with-drones.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20\(Editorial%20RSS\)](http://news.investors.com/article/613980/201206061832/epa-spies-on-farmers-with-drones.htm?ven=rss&utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed:%20EditorialRss%20(Editorial%20RSS))

Before leaving this expose of the EPA, read about their Eco-Fascist Gassing experiment, using "guinea pigs" in North Carolina, "paying as many as 41 participants \$12 an hour to breathe in concentrated diesel exhaust, for as long as two hours at a time. The exhaust was directly piped in from a truck parked outside the Chapel Hill facility. According to the lawsuit, the fine particulate matter, called "PM2.5," was piped in at levels 21 times greater than what the EPA calls its "permissible limit."

<http://frontpagemag.com/2012/arnold-ahlert/the-epas-disturbing-human-experiments/>

29. AGENDA 21 REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS (MEGAREGIONS) OVERRULING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

PORTLAND OREGON

“Oregon has in its state constitution a provision authorizing not just a council of regional governments to meet and discuss and coordinate policies, but a full-fledged, duly elected regional government. The Portland area has an elected, seven-member council that has the authority to overrule all of the 23 cities and three counties within its boundaries. For those 23 cities and three counties [half the population of Oregon], local control is all but gone. In fact, any service “Metro” declares regional in scope falls under their jurisdiction. The 23 cities and three counties are simply overruled. They don’t even have a collective veto.

Who decides where will future development occur? Metro. Which land will become urban and which will remain rural farm or forestland? Metro decides. The cities and counties have no choice but to go along. If a city thinks it needs to zone a tract of land for industrial use to attract new businesses and jobs, it has to go with hat in hand to the regional government.”

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Bill/sizemore3.htm>

NON-ELECTED BOARDS, COUNCILS AND REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS

Opposition to *Sustainable Development* is not opposition to Environmental Protection. The opposition is against the replacement of locally-elected representatives with all-powerful non-elected boards, councils and regional governments. That process eliminates input, discussion and debate from community citizens. “The irony is that U.N. AGENDA 21 mandates more citizen involvement but does it by creating so many boards, commissions, regional agencies, non-profits, meetings, and programs that it is impossible to stay on top of what is happening.” *Behind the Green Mask: U.N. AGENDA 21*, Rosa Koire, page 29.

Here’s a list of most of the regional/metropolitan non-elected governmental planning commissions in the U.S.:

http://www.abag.ca.gov/abag/other_gov/rcg.html

SOLANO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

“The Association of Bay Area Governments is an unelected body that, in theory, is a simple regional planning agency with limited statutory authority. Instead, this agency, along with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, use financial authority to circumvent local planning authority, dictating growth and zoning requirements to local elected officials, and socially engineering the makeup of the community.”

http://www.thereporter.com/opinion/ci_22031876/letter-u-n-agenda-21-being-implemented-solano

“By manipulating civic leadership through contrived environmental concerns and grave financial penalty, these unelected board members are able to dictate the amount and location of low-income and stack-and-pack housing, public transportation requirements, zoning and private property land use.” The SB375 bill links land use to transportation and specifies the majority of future construction be compact, high density, low income housing next to mass transit in order to force Californians out of their cars and single family homes to ostensibly reduce GHGs. AB2785 identifies (maps) an animal and vegetation corridor system throughout California, connecting large blocks of government regulated land through private property that must be taken in some way to complete the California Wildlands corridors.”

“There are three main pieces of legislation that are being followed in California to complete the transformation and socially re-engineer our lives; SB375 (2008 Sustainable Communities Strategy), AB32 (2006 Global Warming Act) and AB2785 (2010 California Essential Habitat Connectivity Map).

These land masses that are being set aside are being incorporated into giant land trusts for the purpose of selling carbon credits in the trillion dollar Cap n’ Trade scheme that recently started in this state. A few large land trusts and foundations will be the beneficiaries of this giant piggy bank. Here’s a list of land banks (<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/habcon/complan/mitbank/catalogue/>). The CARB board will be giving many of the credits away to preferred corporations and to entice cooperation in the program. This is a fraudulent pay to play scheme and giant land grab, not an environmental initiative.

The truth is ABAG and MTC were behind this plan way before the legislation was enacted and have been quietly involved in the forming of this plan for almost 20 years and if you include international connections, it is even longer. ABAG led the effort and along with other agencies they engaged in backroom secret meetings, formed international alliances, regional compacts with stakeholders and NGOs in an effort to reach their goal of transforming our cities and towns into the United Nations vision of Sustainable Communities ultimately leading to the passage of AB32 (2006), SB375 (2008) and AB2785 (2010) legislation that codified this agenda in California.

These regional agencies are unconstitutional and the elected officials appointed to them have in my opinion violated Article 1, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution by joining an international alliance with ICLEI and should be at the very least investigated and/or abolished. fied this agenda in California.

The Bay Area is one of the four initial pilot programs within the U.S. The pilot areas were Denver, Atlanta, Chicago and the Bay Area....The stakeholder workshops were misleading and outcomes were controlled and predetermined....This first ever regional plan in the Bay Area is scheduled to be adopted by the JPC (Joint Policy Committee) of MTC and ABAG in June of 2013 and when it does, local jurisdictions will become virtually irrelevant. When money and power are concentrated at higher and higher levels by unelected regional boards, bodies and commissions, the end result is uncontrolled corruption. ”

<http://www.trevorloudon.com/2013/02/united-nations-agenda-21-sustainable-development-and-the-regional-one-bay-area-plan/>

Listen to this video by a professor at Stanford University advocating for the San Francisco “One Bay Area” city states to nullify the 30 current counties and combine the smaller towns. This is the most flagrant example of Regionalism in the U.S.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=8t3zwwg3MKkU#!

DENVER, COLORADO

“Metro Vision 2035 Plan”

- Increase urban density by 10%
- Locate 50% of new housing and 75% of new employment in urban centers
- Cut greenhouse gas emissions by 60%

<http://www.drcog.org/index.cfm?page=metrovision>



COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS AND COUNCIL OF GOVERNORS

“These “councils” represent an additional layer of bureaucracy between NC citizen’s and their liberty, and are unelected boards who are heavily involved in pushing the UN’s Sustainable Development agenda. They focus on the areas of Land Use Planning, Water Jurisdiction, as well as Energy and Transportation within NC communities....

As if Council of Governments are not bad enough, President Obama signed an executive order on January 11th 2010 that created the Council of Governors, click here to read the order. The Council of Governor’s consists of 10 governors, and 8 federal appointees. The 10 Governor’s represent the respective 10 FEMA regions within the country.”



<http://gaspegazette.wordpress.com/2011/04/21/you-have-heard-of-the-council-of-governors-but-have-you-heard-of-the-council-of-governors/>
<http://endrtimes.blogspot.com/2009/03/10-federal-regions.html>

“OVERRIDING ESTABLISHED JURISDICTIONS IN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

“What is happening in Santa Cruz (and everywhere else, for that matter) is the creation of a hierarchy of “Councils” that report to an apex and which implement a predetermined outcome. The outcome is the promotion of Sustainable Development -- meaning the creation of shortages. “Useful idiots” and other opportunists receive “poison candy” rewards/subsidies as they work to reinvent government on a basis that is inconsistent with the principles of Individual Liberty. This reinvention includes the creation and overlay of watershed boundaries in substitution of discrete political boundaries and jurisdictions, thus undermining separation of powers, checks and balances and representative democracy.”
http://www.propertyrightsresearch.org/a_letter_to_the_cato_institute.htm

“AMERICA 2050” MEGAREGIONS

“UN Agenda 21 / Sustainable Development: Sustainable Cities Strategy. It is a regional plan that creates unelected boards of elected officials who are engaged in erasing the boundaries between cities, counties, and states. You are losing your ability to influence your government policies at the same time that you are being solicited for your opinion. It’s a tactic to placate you. This is the Delphi method of manipulating groups and controlling populations that may protest. By 2050, or sooner, there will be 11 Mega-Regions in the United States that include parts of Mexico and Canada. Local and state boundaries and jurisdictions will be dissolved.

The adoption date is MID-2013. ALL OVER THE US. Most of us are unaware that the plan we are fighting is the same plan, with minor variations, being imposed in the name of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, expanding public transportation, and funding low-income housing. All plans include Smart Growth—high density housing with restrictions on personal space and car usage. All plans support high speed trains—the building block of Mega-Regions. All plans give power to regional transportation and planning boards (MPOs and COGs) through federal and state fund disbursements. In all plans housing and transportation are now linked.

Here are just a few plans around the country using the word “VISION” a UN Agenda 21 buzz word. Other plan names use variants on the sustainability jargon. There are thousands of these plans and they all have a goal of transformation—a jargon term for destruction.

* One Valley One Vision (you’ll find this in Santa Clarita Valley, CA; Montana; and Dona Ana County/Las Cruces New Mexico

* Nine Counties, One Vision (Tennessee)

* One Region One Vision (New York, and Indiana)

* Our Florida Our Vision

* One Bay Area (SF Bay Area—9 counties)

* Six Towns One Vision (Lycoming County)

* Five Counties One Vision (Minnesota)

* Four States One Vision (Oklahoma, Arkansas, Missouri, Kansas)”

<http://fellowshipofminds.wordpress.com/2012/01/23/agenda-21-america-2050-alert-from-rosa-koire/>

“As metropolitan regions continued to expand throughout the second half of the 20th century their boundaries began to blur, creating a new scale of geography now known as the megaregion. Interlocking economic systems, shared natural resources and ecosystems, and common transportation systems link these population centers together. As continued population growth and low density settlement patterns place increasing pressure on these systems, there is greater impetus to coordinate policy at this expanded scale.”

<http://www.america2050.org/megaregions.html>

BALTIC SEA REGION

“In 1996, the Prime Ministers of the Baltic Sea Region took the initiative to develop an *AGENDA 21* for the Baltic Sea Region. This was the start of the Baltic 21 process, and *Sustainable Development* in the Baltic Sea Region – encompassing economic, social and environmental aspects – is the objective of that process. The Baltic Sea Region is the first region in the world to adopt common goals for *Sustainable Development*. Now the implementation of the Action Programme is in progress within seven sectors: agriculture, energy, fisheries, forests, industry, tourism, transport and spatial planning, and in spring 2000, an additional sector - education - was entered to the Baltic 21 process. Find more about their network, joint actions, publications etc.”

<http://www.earthsummit2002.org/msp/links/lag21.html>

<http://sbba.info/crossing-perspectives/sustainable-development-in-the-baltic-sea-agenda-21/>

30. AGENDA 21 SMART GROWTH CONTRIBUTED TO THE HOUSING CRASH

“An in-depth study by Randal O’Toole published in *The Planning Penalty* found that in 2005, smart growth and other land-use restrictions cost U.S. homebuyers at least \$275 billion. Almost all of the more than 124 metropolitan areas having affordability problems in 2005 were directly caused by comprehensive planning and smart growth. Most enlightening, the report found that, “more than 30 percent of the total value of homes in this country is attributable to prices inflated by planning-induced housing shortages.” This contributed to the wild increases and speculation in housing prices from 2000-2006, which inevitably led to the housing crash in 2007-2010, and the financial crash of 2008.”

Page 229, *Plundered: How Progressive Ideology Is Destroying America*; Michael Coffman

31. AGENDA 21 REPUDIATED BY MONTROSE COUNTY, COLORADO

In our neighboring county the Board of County Commissioners adopted this resolution repudiating *AGENDA 21*.

<http://www.montrosecounty.net/DocumentCenter/View/4837>

32. AGENDA 21 DEFEATED IN LA PLATA COUNTY, COLORADO

A 400 page La Plata County, Colorado “comprehensive plan” that took two years, \$750,000 and 137 public meetings to produce was abolished because of its restrictions on land use and zoning. “I’m for planning, but I’m not for the ideological, political, social engineering that went into this document,” Commissioner Steven Kallaher said in December, 2011. Earlier, of community concerns, he said, “Someone who owns hundreds of acres in the county doesn’t want someone living in the city who rides a solar-powered bicycle to tell them what to do.””

<http://www.hcn.org/issues/44.2/fearful-of-AGENDA-21-an-alleged-united-nations-plot-activists-derail-land-use-planning>

“With only 50,000 people in La Plata County, one wonders why La Plata County paid the non-profit land use planning agency in Tucson, The Sonoran Institute, a total \$750,000 for the 400 page comprehensive plan. Why didn't La Plata County Officials use their own planners to develop a plan?...So what did the Sonoran Institute and the plan suggest? Well, of course – “smart growth,” two words that nobody in the county wants to hear.”

<http://smartgrowthusa.wordpress.com/2011/09/06/durango-colorado-la-plata-county-comprehensive-plan/>

But La Plata County still hasn't dropped its membership in the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives.

<http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=11454>

This is the Comprehensive Plan that was cancelled:

<http://www.laplatacountyplan.com/updates/LPC%20CP%20April%207a%20draft%20v8.pdf>

33. AGENDA 21 OUTLAWED IN TENNESSEE

“Lawmakers in Tennessee passed [House Joint Resolution 587] on March 15, 2012 condemning United Nations *AGENDA 21* as a “destructive and insidious” environmental plan to advance a communist *AGENDA* under the guise of community planning. The state House of Representatives voted 72-23 in favor of House Joint Resolution 587, which denounces the non-binding U.N. *AGENDA 21* plan adopted by the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The United Nations claims that *Sustainable Development* principles alleviate poverty and combat global warming.

Resolution 587 describes *Sustainable Development* as a plan for “socialist/communist redistribution of wealth” through energy conservation policies, zoning restrictions, and forced abortions.... Local governments are bribed with federal grants to de-develop counties and bring them into compliance with zoning rules devised by the “visioning” consensus plans of the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), the implementation arm of U.N. *AGENDA 21*. These “visioning” plans are sold to the community as the consensus [after many contrived “Delphi Technique” meetings were held]. In reality, they are the wishes of a few informed individuals representing the interests of the United Nations.

The local population has no knowledge or understanding of the ramifications of such plans. **Taxpayers are not given the opportunity to vote on the “fundamental transformation” of their counties** : ordinances, zoning laws, bike paths, walkways, greenbelts, conservation areas, high-density areas, and urban boundary zones, all part of the *Sustainable Development* buzzwords and goals. Once the new zoning has passed, the citizens cannot reverse the damaging effects of the decisions already made.”

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/45312>

<http://www.capitol.tn.gov/Bills/107/Bill/HJRO587.pdf>

34. AGENDA 21 OUTLAWED IN MISSOURI

With a veto-proof majority the Missouri legislature has banned *AGENDA 21*, along with the many NGO's that provide sucker grants and implement *Sustainable Development* and *Smart Growth*.

1. As used in this section, "political subdivisions" mean all state, county, incorporated city, unincorporated city, public local entity, public-private partnership, and any other public entity of the state, a county, or city.
2. The state of Missouri and all political subdivisions shall not adopt or implement policy recommendations that deliberately or inadvertently infringe or restrict private property rights without due process, as may be required by policy recommendations originating in, or traceable to *Agenda 21*, adopted by the United Nations in 1992 at its Conference on Environment and Development or any other international law or ancillary plan of action that contravenes the Constitution of the United States or the Missouri Constitution.

3. Since the United Nations has accredited and enlisted numerous nongovernmental and intergovernmental organizations to assist in the implementation of its policies relative to Agenda 21 around the world, the state of Missouri and all political subdivisions are prohibited from entering into any agreement with, expending any sum of money for, receiving funds from, contracting services from, or giving financial aid to those nongovernmental and intergovernmental organizations as defined in Agenda 21.

<http://www.house.mo.gov/billtracking/bills131/biltxt/perf/HB0042P.htm>

35. AGENDA 21 DEFEATED IN CARROL COUNTY, MARYLAND

In Carroll County, Maryland in Jan 2011 “the newly elected Board of Commissioners, led by Richard Rothschild, voted to cancel the county’s membership in the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). At the same time the Commission also terminated the contract of the county’s *Sustainable Development* director, and they sent the county planning commission back to the drawing board for the state-mandated comprehensive development plan – with instructions to not resubmit it until it protected private property rights and complied with the U.S. Constitution.”

<http://americanpolicy.org/2012/02/22/2011-was-an-incredible-year-as-AGENDA-21-becomes-a-major-issue/>

This American Thinker article explains more of what happened in Carroll County: “AGENDA 21 - Coming to a Neighborhood Near You”

http://www.americanthinker.com/2009/10/un_AGENDA_21_coming_to_a_neigh.html

“In Carroll County, Maryland, where a smart growth plan called *Pathways* was drafted by the County Planning Department. The plan, if enacted, proposed a breathtaking reshuffling of land rights:

- Rezoning of thousands of acres of beautiful, low-density agricultural farmland and protected residential conservation land into office parks
- Down-zoning of agricultural land to prevent future subdivision by farmers
- Up-zoning of low-density residential land around small towns into higher density zoning to permit construction of hundreds or possibly thousands of inclusive housing units, including apartments and condominiums
- Inclusive housing with placement of multi-family construction on in-fill lots within existing residential single family communities
- Endorsement of government-sponsored housing initiatives (subsidies) to ensure healthier, balanced neighborhoods

36. GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA REPEALED U.N. GROWTH MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

“The state of Florida has repealed its 30-year old growth management law (also called "smart growth," "compact development" and "livability"). Under the law, local jurisdictions were required to adopt comprehensive land use plans stipulating where development could and could not occur. These plans were subject to approval by the state Department of Community Affairs, an agency now abolished by the legislation. The state approval process had been similar to that of Oregon. Governor Rick Scott had urged repeal as a part of his program to create 700,000 new jobs in seven years in Florida. Economic research in the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States has associated slower economic growth with growth management programs.”

<http://www.newgeography.com/CONTENT/002471-FLORIDA-REPEALS-SMART-GROWTH-LAW>

37. AGENDA 21 IN EDMOND, OKLAHOMA: WITHDRAWAL FROM ICLEI

“The City of Edmond has been an ICLEI member since 2009, and like many communities, decided to join **in order to utilize data-calculating and converting software used to determine energy savings, emissions and technology effectiveness...** *Govern Edmond Locally* (GEL), a grassroots effort whose mission statement is to “restore Constitutional governance at the local level in Edmond, OK,” opposed the city’s involvement in ICLEI and was instrumental in persuading the city to reverse its decision.

After a press release announcing that a public forum would be held in December called “Sustainability in Edmond,” Semands and other concerned residents learned the meeting agenda would be anything but a public forum. **What was planned was not a public forum-style meeting, but a scenario of dividing the**

attendees into small groups to discuss various sustainability issues, depriving those attendees of the forum [of a means] by which to make their opposition known. GEL began contacting Edmondites about the hidden well, agenda, of *AGENDA 21* and ICLEI, and a large number of citizens showed up at the meeting to oppose the measure. It was so large a turn-out that the facilitators were unprepared and slightly disarmed. It turns out that people living in Edmond are almost universally opposed to having anything to do with the U.N. in their city.”

<http://wellfedneighbor.ning.com/profiles/blogs/edmond-oklahoma-dumps-agenda>

38. AGENDA 21 IN HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

A group of property owners in Houston County, Minnesota have filed a lawsuit against the county for its Comprehensive Land Use Plan. “The actions of Houston County in the implementation of the Houston County Comprehensive Land Use Plan, as described herein, constitute an uncompensated taking of private property, and are therefore, unconstitutional and unenforceable under both the United States and Minnesota Constitutions.”

<http://sovereignty.net/P/SD/HOUSTONCOUNTYCOMPLAINT.PDF>

39. AGENDA 21 IN NAPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

“The City Council, without all members aware of the application change to Association of Bay Area Governments, was looking to have 3,000 to 7,500 housing units built along Soscal Gateway, under the guise of a “transportation corridor.”

The purpose: grants from the MTC (Metropolitan Transportation Commission) and revenue for the city. With the new transportation terminal being built next to the Napa Expo, these units meet the requirement of having access to a “transportation network.” These units will require intense density of 20 to 75 units per acre, packed in like sardines, and adding 6,000 to 15,000 new commuters along Soscal and Silverado Trail.

The article gives me a pretty good perspective on which City Councilmember is encouraging pro-growth, highly dense multi-story housing in Napa. It will look more like a college campus dormitory system than the great wine country city that it is.

For those who think that their city council and Napa County supervisors control Napa’s manifest destiny, think again. The extremely high-density housing required by ABAG is the direct result of the United Nations AGENDA 21.

Briefly, single-family housing is out and high-density housing will be the norm. Populations will be packed together and restricted from open space. As I stated earlier, packed in like sardines.”

http://napavalleyregister.com/news/opinion/mailbag/agenda-at-work-in-city-planning/article_6e978d42-b1ea-11e1-94a8-001a4bcf887a.html

40. AGENDA 21 IN SONOMA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

The residents of Sonoma County have been advised that the county will no longer maintain 1350 of its 1500 miles of roads. The plan is to cut off more and more services to rural communities forcing them to move into walkable cities.

41. AGENDA 21 IN UTAH AND ARIZONA

Utah and Arizona are pressing to reclaim their rightful ownership of land now unconstitutionally taken by the federal government. The Constitution restricts federal ownership of any land to Washington DC, military bases and to where a state legislature has explicitly approved the transfer of land to the Feds. **AGENDA 21 is about regional, federal and global control of land use and economics.** America surrendered some of its national sovereignty in order to become members of the World Trade Organization.

Seven Arizona State Senators have proposed this bill to outlaw *AGENDA 21*.

REFERENCE TITLE: **United Nations Rio declaration; prohibition.**

State of Arizona
Senate
Fifty-first Legislature
First Regular Session
2013

SB 1403

Introduced by
Senators Burges: Crandell, Griffin, Melvin, Murphy, Shooter, Ward

AN ACT

PROHIBITING THE STATE AND ITS POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS FROM ADOPTING OR IMPLEMENTING THE UNITED NATIONS RIO DECLARATION ON ENVIRONMENT AND DEVELOPMENT.

1 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

2 Section 1. Rio declaration on environment and development;
3 prohibition; definition

4 A. Notwithstanding any other law, the state of Arizona and all
5 political subdivisions of this state shall not adopt or implement the creed,
6 doctrine, principles or any tenet of the United Nations Rio Declaration on
7 Environment and Development and the Statement of Principles for Sustainable
8 Development adopted at the United Nations Conference on Environment and
9 Development held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil in June, 1992 or any other
10 international law that contravenes the United States Constitution or the
11 Constitution of Arizona.

12 B. Since the United Nations has enlisted the support of numerous
13 independent, non-governmental organizations to implement this agenda around
14 the world, the state of Arizona and all political subdivisions are prohibited
15 from implementing programs of, expending any sum of money for, being a member
16 of, receiving funding from, contracting services from, or giving financial or
17 other forms of aid to the International Council for Local Environmental
18 Initiatives or any of its related or affiliated organizations including
19 Countdown 2010, Local Action for Biodiversity, European Centre for Nature
20 Conservation, the International Union for Conservation of Nature, and the
21 President's Council on Sustainable Development, enacted on June 29, 1993 by
22 Executive Order 12852.

23 C. For the purposes of this section, "political subdivision" includes
24 this state, or a county, city or town in this state, or a public partnership
25 or any other public entity in this state.

42. AGENDA 21 IN SAN JUAQUIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

Government Environmental Policies under the guise of the *AGENDA 21* Endangered Species Act led to the San Joaquin Valley Delta Smelt putting 40,000 farmers out of business in March 2008 where they can't irrigate some of the best soil in the U.S. "losing more than one million acre-feet of water annually; enough to irrigate 300,000 acres or a land area roughly half the size of Rhode Island." <http://netrightdaily.com/2012/02/the-government-imposed-california-dust-bowl/>

"The California Fish and Game Commission ruled in favor of the Long fin and Delta smelt (a two inch minnow) over the livelihoods of 60,000 workers and land owners in California's San Joaquin Valley, considered by many to be the richest and most productive farmland in the nation. The environmentalists shut off the water pumps to farmers, prohibiting them from irrigating their fields. Aside from the vast loss in food production to the world, the workers all went on unemployment overnight! With an annual rainfall of 12 inches, the place looked like a dust bowl in a few short months."

<http://beforeitsnews.com/alternative/2013/06/u-n-agenda-21-to-seize-17-8-million-acres-from-missouri-and-arkansas-please-help-stop-this-land-grab-2678204.html>

Read about the blowback against U.N. United Nations *AGENDA 21* Environmentalism Control Movement in Santa Cruz CA:

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/wildlands_project/local_un_agenda_21_meets_resistance_20050324130/

43. AGENDA 21 IN CLALLAM COUNTY, WASHINGTON

"Didier told the horror stories about how U.N. *AGENDA 21* is affecting farming, as it is being implemented through the various federal agencies. The EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) is requiring buffers on "any" waterways, whether they are seasonal or not, for 500 feet on each side - a total of 1000 feet. When this goes into effect, he said, over 61% of our ground will be "gone" for farming. The National Marine Fisheries Service wants 1000 foot buffers on each side, he said, which would remove 80 percent of available farm land from production.

Moss growth is no longer treated because of regulations by the US Bureau of Reclamation, and Didier and his family spent untold hours this season trying to keep it under control cleaning the pump filters, he said. When the moss builds up it plugs the screens of the water pumps, and water doesn't flow to irrigate the plants, costing farmers in terms of what they are able to produce efficiently, which, in turn, affects all of us.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/03/21/supreme-court-epa-unanimous-decision-clean-water-act_n_1369831.html

"Hay is now a pollutant", according to the EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency), he said. Farmers are charged \$150 permit fee for tractor "pollution", and are required to obtain a CDL (commercial driver's license) license to drive a tractor, which means that the farmers' children can no longer drive tractors in the fields - a learning experience now lost. "We will have no more development of a work ethic" for our kids as a result, he added.

Farmers now have to pay \$5 an acre to burn stubble; and dust particles are considered pollutants.

He told of a Nisqually family that raised goats and made cheese which was known worldwide. The FDA found a minute case of contamination and took all of their cheese; the family is now bankrupt.

One gentleman in the audience, who lives at Four Seasons Ranch in Port Angeles, shared his story about trying to build a 1200 square foot outbuilding. By the time he got through regulations and fees, he has spent \$6,000 so far. It's about \$978 per fish for "restoration", he said; there are 16 different people watching us as we walk across the creek. (Morse Creek).

Didier talked about the White House Council on Rural America and its adverse effect on farming. "They are using our money to defeat us...It is time for Americans to take our country back," said Didier.

He then let the attendees know that the Clallam County commissioners were going to be voting upon the possibility of a grant for "sustainable communities" the following day, and urged citizens to attend and speak.

"This is how they are getting you in there. People are figuring it out. If you give up your freedom, you have no America", remarked Didier."

Sequim City and Clallam County have removed themselves from their affiliation with the International Council of Environmental Initiatives. "We the Clallam County Republican Party resolve that we stand in opposition to all programs initiated by or connected to the United Nation's *AGENDA 21* and/or ICLEI, and instead, support voter-approved initiatives in all County decisions regarding public and private property ownership and usage, from this day forward."

http://www.citizenreviewonline.org/2011/Sep/didier_speaks.html

<http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/1/post/2011/09/congratulations-to-the-citizens-of-clallam-county-wa.html>

http://www.clallamrepublicans.org/pdf_docs/Resolution%20passed%20to%20withdraw%20from%20ICLEI.pdf

44. WASHINGTON STATE DEPT OF ECOLOGY ENFORCING AGENDA 21 RESTRICTIONS

The Washington Attorney General put a halt to the Washington Department of Ecology's attempt to reduce the Supreme Court's authorization for withdrawal of up to 5,000 gallons per day from well water for certain landowners. Bills have now been introduced to prevent unelected Washington State agencies such as the Dept of Ecology from imposing United Nations policies for Sustainable Development. Here's the DOE's web page showing their endorsement of *AGENDA 21*:

<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/sustainability/>

45. AGENDA 21 IN GREATER PORTLAND AREA, OREGON

"Each urban area in Oregon is required to define an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Housing tracts, shopping malls, and other kinds of urban development are not allowed to sprawl past that boundary, while agricultural lands and open space outside a UGB are preserved."

http://www.oregonencyclopedia.org/entry/view/urban_growth_boundary/

46. AGENDA 21 MICRO-APARTMENTS IN NEW YORK CITY

"The globalist design for micro-apartments is being championed by New York's Mayor Michael Bloomberg. These "studio and one-bedroom apartments" will be no bigger than 275 to 300 sq ft. These tiny living spaces are smaller than currently allowed by building regulations, according to a statement by Bloomberg's office; however the zoning regulations will be waived in order to construct the first of many compact pack 'em and stack 'em housing model in the city-owned area of Kips Bay.

The intention is to construct an area in NY that accommodates restricted housing space, eliminates car use in favor for walking and bicycling and promotes mass transit. Herding the expanding population into dense areas will smaller living spaces will instill the new class of poor and obligate their psychological transition toward accepting the *AGENDA 21* megacity concept .

By 2014, these units are expected to be competing to get New Yorkers out of their large apartments and single-family houses and into a tiny space to maximize functionality in a clear move toward creating *AGENDA 21* megacities out of existing spaces.

David Bragdon, director of the Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability asserts that: "New Yorkers can be better served by adapting the city's apartment models to allow more efficient and sustainable homes.

PLaNYC 2030 is a scheme by Bloomberg, which was devised in 2007, to "prepare the city for one million more residents" to create housing in line with *AGENDA 21* policies in conjunction with "over 25 City agencies to work toward the vision of a greener, greater New York."

<http://occupycorporatism.com/agenda-21-micro-apartment-scheme-being-beta-tested-in-nyc/>

47. KANSAS HOUSE OF REPS RESOLUTION TO BAN AGENDA 21 AND ICLEI

A RESOLUTION opposing and exposing the radical nature of United Nations *AGENDA 21* and its destructiveness to the principles of the founding documents of the United States of America.

WHEREAS, The United Nations *AGENDA 21* is a comprehensive plan of environmental extremism, social engineering and global political control that was initiated at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992; and

WHEREAS, The United Nations *AGENDA 21* is being covertly pushed into local communities throughout the United States of America through the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives through local "*Sustainable Development*" policies such as Smart Growth, Wildlands Project, Resilient Cities, Regional Visioning Projects and other "Green" or "Alternative" projects; and

WHEREAS, This United Nations *AGENDA 21* plan of radical so-called "*Sustainable Development*" views the American way of life of private property ownership, single family homes, private car ownership, individual travel choices and privately owned farms as destructive to the environment; and

WHEREAS, The United States federal government nor any state or local government is legally bound by the United Nations *AGENDA 21*, the influence of the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives has now infiltrated approximately 1300 local and regional entities in the United States, with as many as 54 of such entities withdrawing enrollment during 2011, due to the negative consequences experienced through implementation of *AGENDA 21*; and

WHEREAS, According to the United Nations *AGENDA 21* policy, social justice is described as the right and opportunity of all people to benefit equally from the resources afforded us by society and the environment, which would be accomplished by redistribution of wealth:

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the State of Kansas:

That we recognize the destructive and insidious nature of United Nations *AGENDA 21* and hereby expose to public policy makers the dangerous intent of the plan"

<http://www.sustainablelibertynow.org/kansas-bans-agenda-21iclei.html>

48. RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA 21 IN CHARLTON and SARATOGA, NEW YORK

Whereas, the United Nations held an Earth Summit in Rio De Janeiro in 1992; and

Whereas, the program known as Agenda 21 was a product of that summit: and

Whereas, the term "Sustainability Development", coined by the United Nations in 1987, was used extensively in Agenda 21: and

Whereas in 1992 President George Bush signed the administrative implementation of Agenda 21: and

Whereas, in 1993, President William Clinton, by executive Order, created the President's council for sustainable Development and,

Whereas, the Presidents Council for Sustainable Development adopted a written action plan to implement Agenda 21 in the United States under the title of " Smart Growth:" and,

Whereas, the Capital Region Sustainability Planis tasked with implementing Agenda 21 at all local levels of government: and

Whereas, recognizing the threatto liberty, local governments around New York State have begun withdrawing their support for Capital Region Sustainability Plan: and

Whereas, the Charlton Town Board considers Agenda 21 and the Capital Region Sustainability Plan as potential threats to liberty and the autonomy of Town governance: and

Whereas, in an effort to address land use planning at the local level, the Charlton Town Board adopted its own Comprehensive Plan addressing land use, the preservation of green space and the protection of bodies of water and waterways: and

Whereas, the Town desires to preserve and maintain the authority and autonomy of local governments to manage land use planning at the local level, and to preserve the private property rights and interests ofTown residents, goals which are inconsistent with those espoused by Agenda 21 and the President's Council for Sustainable Development,

Now Be It Resolved that: The Charlton Town Board hereby rejects Agenda 21, the President's Council for Sustainable Development and the Capital Region Sustainability Plan as infringing on private property right's of private citizens: and

Be it Further Resolved That;

The Charlton Town Board encourages and supports the protection of our environment through conservation of resources, recycling, local land use planning and other methods that do not infringe upon the liberties and property rights of its residents

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/124792140/Saratoga-Resolution-Against-Agenda-21-and-Sustainable-Development>

49. THE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA 21

RNC Resolution Exposing United Nations *AGENDA 21*

WHEREAS, the United Nations *AGENDA 21* is a comprehensive plan of extreme environmentalism, social engineering, and global political control that was initiated at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992; and,

WHEREAS, the United Nations *AGENDA 21* is being covertly pushed into local communities throughout the United States of America through the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) through local "sustainable development" policies such as Smart Growth, Wildlands Project, Resilient Cities, Regional Visioning Projects, and other "Green" or "Alternative" projects; and

WHEREAS, this United Nations *AGENDA 21* plan of radical so-called "sustainable development" views the American way of life of private property ownership, single family homes, private car ownership and individual travel choices, and privately owned farms; all as destructive to the environment; and,

WHEREAS, according to the United Nations *AGENDA 21* policy, social justice is described as the right and opportunity of all people to benefit equally from the resources afforded us by society and the environment which would be accomplished by socialist/communist redistribution of wealth; and,

WHEREAS, according to the United Nations *AGENDA 21* policy National sovereignty is deemed a social injustice; now therefore be

RESOLVED, the Republican National Committee recognizes the destructive and insidious nature of United Nations *AGENDA 21* and hereby exposes to the public and public policy makers the dangerous intent of the plan; and therefore be it further

RESOLVED, that the U.S. government and no state or local government is legally bound by the United Nations *AGENDA 21* treaty in that it has never been endorsed by the (U.S.) Senate, and therefore be it further

RESOLVED, that the federal and state and local governments across the country be well informed of the underlying harmful implications of implementation of United Nations *AGENDA 21* destructive strategies for “sustainable development” and we hereby endorse rejection of its radical policies and rejection of any grant monies attached to it, and therefore be it further

RESOLVED, that upon the approval of this resolution the Republican National Committee shall deliver a copy of this resolution to each of the Republican members of Congress, all Republican candidates for Congress, all Republican candidates for President who qualify for RNC sanctioned debates, and to each Republican state and territorial party office.

<http://www.republicanassemblies.org/rnc-adopts-resolution-exposing-agenda-21/>

50. VIRGINIA GOV AND ATTY GENERAL STEPPING AWAY FROM AGENDA 21

AGENDA 21 policies are backfiring in Virginia. Here’s a short video with Donna Holt answering questions. Gov. McDonnell is turned against *AGENDA 21* after he already allowed it make inroads, including initial plans for massive conservation easements.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8oDocxgZkXg&feature=related>

51. AGENDA 21 KICKED OUT OF COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

“Furthermore, I asked that ICLEI immediately cancel our membership (if it is even still active), and remove the City of College Station and its officers from any and all ICLEI rosters, publications, and websites,” Stuebe said. Other local governments found that, even after withdrawing, ICLEI often failed to promptly remove them from membership lists....

“I am truly excited to announce that the proposed 2013 College Station budget will not include funding for this organization,” wrote College Station City Councilman Jess Fields. “It is an insidious, extreme institution that does not represent our citizens, and for our taxpayers to continue to fund it would be ridiculous.”

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/7037-texas-city-withdraws-from-iclei-un-%E2%80%9Cagenda-21%E2%80%9D>

52. AGENDA 21 IN FLATHEAD VALLEY, MONTANA

“Globalist thought and world view has crept into the valley and is now being thrust on the remaining fee men, women and children against their will. Rebecca Johns of Bigfork provides an example for you collectivists with her “Gracious Space” dialectic. I will quote to you from her mentor, Dr. Bill Grace founder “Center for Ethical Leadership” and “Gracious Space” (a consensus building scheme); quote “We need more freedom in the United States and in this case today we need to be freed from the bondage of the myths of self-sufficiency and individualism. The common good invites us to trade in the burden of individualism for the gift of community. The common good will teach us that the only place for our fears and worries is in community because it is the only place strong enough to hold them”.

"I would hope that the local businesses that are going to be "strong armed" for the "matching" funds will remember the Flathead's experience back in 1994 when a leftist Smart Growth group out of Colorado called Design Workshop ended up absconding with over \$550,000. This was mostly donated money's from local businesses that bought into their well rehearsed and polished line that the new county growth policy they were preparing would provide "economic sustainability" and prosperity for all. The only ones that ended up getting prosperous were the out-of-state con men working with Design Workshop and a few local con men (and women) that fronted for the whole thing to give it the appearance of being a "Local" effort.

"IF A FEW INDIVIDUALS LOSE SOME PROPERTY RIGHTS TO MAKE THE TOWN PROSPEROUS AS A RESULT THEN I AM FOR IT" (Waterman)

Polson property owners and businesses...You can't say you haven't been warned !!!"
http://www.flatheadbeacon.com/articles/comments/grand_drive_stormwater_work_complete/25908

Montana Senator Janna Taylor has submitted this resolution to ban *AGENDA 21*:

63rd Legislature SJ0009.01
Senate Joint Resolution No. 9
Introduced By J. Taylor

A joint resolution of the senate and the house of representatives of the state of Montana providing that the state of Montana or any of its political subdivisions are urged not to adopt or implement policy recommendations originating from the united nations that infringe upon or restrict private property rights without due process of law.

Whereas, the United Nations is an international organization that supports comprehensive plans and policies of extreme environmentalism, social engineering, and global control; and

Whereas, these plans and policies are being covertly pushed into local communities throughout the United States through various councils, initiatives, and projects; and

Whereas, private property ownership and due process of law are fundamental rights protected by the United States and Montana constitutions; and

Whereas, United Nations policies and the activities that support and promote these policies threaten Private property rights and due process of law guarantees.

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the senate and the house of representatives of the State of Montana:

That the state of Montana or any of its political subdivisions are urged not to adopt or implement policy. Recommendations originating from the United Nations that infringe upon or restrict private property rights without due process of law.

Be it further resolved, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the governor of the state of Montana and to each member of the Montana congressional delegation.

<http://data.opi.mt.gov/bills/2013/billpdf/SJ0009.pdf>

53. MASSACHUSETTS BILL TO KICK AGENDA 21 OUT OF THE STATE

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
PRESENTED BY: Edward F. Coppinger

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General

Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the passage of the accompanying bill:

An Act Relating to due process; to prohibit the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and its political subdivisions from adopting and developing environmental and developmental policies that, without due process, would infringe or restrict the private property rights of the owner of the property.

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/119931924/Massachusetts-Bill-to-Kick-Agenda-21-out-of-the-State-HD358>

54. AGENDA 21 IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

New Hampshire elected officials have no voting power on community planning policies created by “Granite State Future which has legally bound itself to the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development! Local planning decisions are controlled by the Federal Government! Supposedly the Regional Planning Commissions’ plans are advisory only, but there are mandatory Federal outcomes. “These mandatory outcomes are clearly outlined in the foundational Grant Instrument, and all connected documents that are part and parcel to the legally binding grant instrument (HUD-1044) signed by the Nashua Regional Planning Commission, the lead Planning Commission.”

“The foundation of Granite State Future is the consortium of the nine New Hampshire Regional Planning Commissions. The Nashua Regional Planning Commission (NRPC) is the lead commission and issues orders and directives to the other eight commissions.

Special interest groups, called “stakeholders”, have direct input into state policy. It is imperative to note that appointed, not elected, officials as well as outside special-interest groups are the primary decision makers in the Granite State Future. New Hampshire state agencies that are staffed with unelected bureaucrats are at the core of decision making in Granite State Future as well as Pay-to-Play partners.”

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/122645018/Granite-State-Future-The-Real-Facts-by-Tim-Carter>

55. AGENDA 21 ATTEMPTED GOVERNMENT LAND GRAB OF THE WHITE RIVER WATERSHED IN MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS

“U.S. Department of the Interior dropped the White River [Watershed National Blueway designation]. The decision to rescind the National Blueway designation for the 700-plus-mile river, which flows through southern Missouri and parts of Arkansas, had the support of opponents and one-time backers alike. Opponents said the designation, given in January, could lead to new regulations or land seizures.”

U.S. Senator Roy Blunt (R-Mo.) issued this response:

“The Obama Administration’s attempt to establish a new federal designation without the approval of Congress or public input is absolutely unacceptable. The stakeholders and community members deserve more transparency from their government. I’m pleased the Obama Administration has withdrawn this designation.”

U.S. Senator John Boozman (R-Ark.) issued this response:

“We all agree that we should work to protect our waterways, but a new federal Blueway program is not necessary to improve the cooperation of federal and state agencies on the management of the White River. This designation occurred without a formal process – no public comment, lack of transparency from the federal government, and without the broad support of Arkansas. We are happy to see that Secretary Jewell reviewed the program and heard the concerns of our citizens.”

<http://abcnews.go.com/US/wireStory/feds-rescinds-white-rivers-blueway-designation-19573511#.UdTbIztOOSp>

56. AGENDA 21 IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA

Here’s an extensively developed city-wide AGENDA 21 style *Sustainable Development* plan in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

<http://www.vigilantnewspress.com/archives/Sep12/tulsaUNfront.html>
<http://www.planitulsa.org/>
<http://uniteok.org/1/category/tulsa/1.html>

57. AGENDA 21 IN CANADA

This explains AGENDA 21 case studies in Canada.

<http://www.eolss.net/Sample-Chapters/C16/E1-50-27.pdf>

58. AGENDA 21 TRAGIC CHECKMATE IN AUSTRALIA


Australian politician, Ann Bressington, in this 15 minute video, thoroughly exposes the Club of Rome's original "environmental emergency" tactics to usher in AGENDA 21, and how pervasively AGENDA 21 controls Australia. Even Australian Common Law is being undermined in order for the government to pre-empt individuals property rights.

<https://www.facebook.com/NBCNews/posts/10151927445649289>

59. AGENDA 21 IMPLEMENTATION

"Earth Summit AGENDA 21" is 321 pages and 40 chapters. Prominent socialist leaders from around the world at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992 formulated AGENDA 21, such as Maurice Strong. AGENDA 21 envisions a global scheme for healthcare, education, nutrition, agriculture, labor, production and consumption. "The practice started by [Maurice] Strong at the 1972 conference, of cloaking the AGENDA in the perception of public grassroots support from NGOs, culminated in Rio in 1992, with the largest collection of NGOs ever assembled in support of AGENDA 21. Only those NGOs that were "accredited" by the U.N. Conference were permitted to attend. And only those which had demonstrated support for the AGENDA were funded. Dewar calls these NGOs -- PGOs -- Private Government Organizations."

<http://www.sovereignty.net/p/sd/strong.html>




This is not a Democrat or Republican challenge; it's an "elitist" problem. AGENDA 21 local deployment hides behind many layers of "innocent" environmentalist organizations and has beguiled thousands of mayors, trustees and county officials. Those who believe government control should be unlimited never admit it; neither do those who believe in global collectivism. AGENDA 21 is mostly implemented via Non-Governmental Organizations such as ICLEI **using the environment as bait to advance a social justice AGENDA.**

In rural areas it's called the "Wildlands Project" (rewild 50% of the land in every state), in the cities it's called "Smart Growth", in business it's called "Private Public Partnerships", in government it's called "Reinventing Government" (begun by Bill Clinton). Government is unable to openly encroach upon the free market and private property without its trojan horse reinvention as Public Private Partnerships.

Here's some of the spheres of action and inaction for the implementation of AGENDA 21 : Cap N Trade, global warming, population control, gun control, open borders and illegal immigration, higher taxes, higher gas prices, refusal to tap the world's most prolific

sources of energy right here in America, education restructuring, international IDs, natural health supplement control, food control, farming "reform," control of private property, NAIS and U.N. Global Governance.

60. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT'S AMBIGUOUS DEFINITION



Based on the premise of shortage ecology which underlies the Endangered Species Act (ESA). The ESA is the foundation of the land use element of *Sustainable Development* (United Nations *Sustainable Development*, Section I, chapters 7 & 8). It is important to note that *Sustainable Development* has three components: global land use, global education, and global population control and reduction. Much of its genesis is rooted in the United Nations Commission on Environment and Development that was headed by Gro Harlem Brundtland (pictured here), World Socialist Party Vice President. The ESA was passed to prohibit private use of land.

<http://wspus.org/>

<http://www.un.org/News/dh/hlpanel/brundtland-bio.htm>

“The term Sustainable Development encompasses three main principles; Equity, Environment and Economy. Economy is the key word used to describe replacing free market principles with PPPs (Public Private Partnerships). The Environment is used as catalyst for change and Equity describes the social and environmental justice and the redistribution of wealth. Not equal justice. Social equity is the driving factor to alter the function of law to impose social requirements replacing individual rights.”

<http://www.trevorloudon.com/2013/02/united-nations-agenda-21-sustainable-development-and-the-regional-one-bay-area-plan/>

Here's the U.N.'s assessment of the progress of Sustainable Development after its first 20 years.

http://www.un.org/wcm/webdav/site/climatechange/shared/gsp/docs/GSP1-6_Background%20on%20Sustainable%20Devt.pdf


61. AGENDA 21 PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP GOVERNMENT MONOPOLIES

Like most *AGENDA 21* concepts, public private partnerships sound so benign and worthwhile.

“Economic models endorsing public-private partnerships violate free market principles by benefiting favored corporations, protecting private gains and leaving taxpayers obligated for losses. It proposes a “Communitarian” model of governance that is diametrically opposed to the American way.”

<http://www.peachpundit.com/2012/11/30/special-attention-to-agenda-21-and-a-free-for-all/>

“Public/private partnerships bring businesses, NGOs, foundations desiring the protection offered by government’s legalized force together with government agents that want the power that comes with economic control. The power of economics, and the force of government, must serve as a check and balance on each other; combining the two will ultimately result in tyranny. Free enterprise is lost amid subsidies, incentives, tax-breaks, and insider privilege, and with it goes the notion that the customer is the final determiner of how resources are allocated in production.



The *Sustainable Development* “partnerships” involve some corporations – domestic and multinational – some tax-exempt family foundations, select individuals, and collectivist politicians and their administrations. Of these participants, only elected politicians are accountable to the public for their actions.” Download the pamphlet pictured here from which the above is quoted, at the link below.

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/documents/download/understanding_sustainable_development_-_agenda_21_-_for_the_people_and_their_public_officials/

“In truth, many PPP’s are nothing more than government-sanctioned monopolies in which a few business are granted special favors like tax breaks, the power of eminent domain, non-compete clauses and specific guarantees for return on their investments. That means they can fix prices, charge beyond what the market demands, and they can use the power of government to put competition out of business. That is not free enterprise. And it is these global corporations that are pushing the green agenda.

PPPs were the driving force behind the Trans Texas Corridor, using eminent domain to take more than 580,000 acres of private land – sanctioned by the partnership with the Texas government. And PPPs are taking over highways and local water treatment plants in communities across the nation. PPPs in control of the water system can control water consumption – a major part of the Sustainable Development blueprint.”

Page 17, *SPECIAL REPORT Agenda 21 And How to Stop it*, Tom Deweese, American Policy Center.

Here are two 7 minute videos explaining the deceitful role that public private partnerships and NGOs play in *AGENDA 21*, by Joan Veon who has attended over 100 international Sustainablist meetings, especially those

sponsored by the U.N. This is global corporate fascism and the fleecing of the American taxpayer. She explains how PPPs extinguish representative government, especially transnational PPPs.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jsLD7W-l_FM
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dwrktoImvY>

62. COMMUNITIES REMOVING THEMSELVES FROM ICLEI

1300 local US cities and towns are connected with ICLEI. George Soros' "Open Society Institute" funded ICLEI with one gift alone of \$2 million. Van Jones' organization "Green for All", as well as the "Center for American Progress" and the "Apollo Alliance" are associated with ICLEI)

"Completely overlooked by the mass media, is the unprecedented move recently taken by newly-elected Carroll County, Maryland Commissioners Richard Rothschild, Robin Frazier, Haven Shoemaker, Dave Roush and Doug Howard, who abolished the County's Office of Sustainability. They then voted unanimously to drop out of the UN's International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). They are the first governmental organization to do so." <http://www.examiner.com/independent-in-washington-dc/AGENDA-21-part-iii-maryland-county-abolishes-AGENDA-21-now-it-s-your-turn>

"Seven states and seventy-six localities have either passed or proposed resolutions against Agenda Twenty-One.

Following Carroll County, next came Amador County, California, as the county commissioners voted to end their membership in ICLEI; then came Montgomery County, PA; followed by Edmond, Oklahoma and Las Cruces, New Mexico. The successful battle against ICLEI in Spartanburg, South Carolina was sparked by County Commissioner Roger Nutt; Virginia became a hotbed of activity against United Nations *AGENDA 21* Environmentalism Control Movement and ICLEI, especially through the efforts of activists like Donna Holt, Cathy Turner and Charles Battig, to name a few.

As a result of their efforts, Albemarle County, Virginia (home of Thomas Jefferson), James City County, Virginia (where America basically started at Jamestown), Abington, Virginia and Lexington, Virginia, have all voted to throw ICLEI out; we can now add to this list Plantation, Florida; Carver, Massachusetts; Pinellas, Florida; Garland, Texas; Sarasota, Florida; Clallam County, Washington; Monmouth County, New Jersey, Chatham County, North Carolina and Somerset County, New Jersey.

Unofficial reports indicate that at least 54 communities have withdrawn from ICLEI in 2011 (though I don't have all of them listed here because we don't have official verification). In addition, while ICLEI set a goal of 1000 American cities as members by 2015, indications are that only 17 new cities joined ICLEI in 2011. That would be a net reduction of 37!"

<http://americanpolicy.org/2012/02/22/2011-was-an-incredible-year-as-AGENDA-21-becomes-a-major-issue/>

63. CONFRONTING AGENDA 21 IN YOUR COMMUNITY

Large sections below quoted from *Special Report: Agenda 21*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

1. Become thoroughly aware of AGENDA 21 's reach into every facet of society since 1992 and the specific ways it violates the U.S. Constitution.

2. Go to a city council, county commissioner or county planning commission meeting and listen to the discussion.

--Are they talking about land use, water control, and development control?

--Are they using the language described in this paper?

--If so, Sustainable Development could be underway in your community.

3. Ask questions. You may have to do it after the meeting, but if you can, ask during...

--Ask where these policies they are discussing come from.

--Ask if your community is now paying dues to ICLEI.

--Provide them with this document or other tools that expose the truth about AGENDA 21.

4. Once you have determined that ICLEI is in your community you can urge your locally-elected representatives to stop paying dues to ICLEI prevent affiliate organizations from influencing local policy.

5. Use this very instructive "AGENDA 21 Rollback Manual", a pdf document at this link:

http://www.jbs.org/index.php?option=com_rokdownloads&view=file&Itemid=419&id=219%3AAGENDA-21-rollback-manual-pdf

6. Here's the most comprehensive set of tools yet devised to help activists fight the policies of AGENDA 21 and Sustainable Development, including a manual featuring stories of the victims of sustainability policy.:

<http://americanpolicy.org/kit/>

Public officials "are surrounded by a horde of "stakeholder" groups, each promoting a piece of the agenda, be it policies for water control, energy control, development control, specific building materials control, historic preservation and control of "downtown" development, conservation easements and development rights from control of rural property. These groups like ICLEI, the American Planning Association, the Renaissance Planning Group, and many more, are heavily involved with state and federal plans. They arrive in your community with blueprints, state and federal plans, grants and lots of contact in high places. There are official state and federal programs for "going Green," Comprehensive land use plans, and lots of programs for the kids in the classrooms."

"There is also a second horde involved in the Sustainablist invasion – state and federal agency officials including EPA agents; air And water quality agents; Interior Department officials, HUD officials, energy officials, Commerce Department officials, and on and on – all targeting your locally elected officials with policy, money, regulations, reports, special planning boards, meetings, and conferences, all promoting the exact same agenda."

With all these organizations, plus the media, pressuring local leaders, many of them cave in to the belief that "Sustainable Development is reality – politically correct, necessary, unquestionable, and it has "consensus."

Page 25, *Special Report: Agenda 21*, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

"Who are the players in your community? What privately funded "stakeholder" groups are there? What is their agenda? What other communities have they operated in? What projects? What results? Who are their members in your community? Are they residents or did they come from "out of town?" (That could prove to be valuable information later in the fight). Finding this information may be the hardest of your efforts. They like to operate out of the spotlight. It's not likely that the town will carry official documentation of who it is working with. It probably will require that you attend lots of meetings and hearings. Take note of who is there and their role. Do this quietly. Don't announce to the community what you are doing. Don't make yourselves a target. You may have to ask questions and that may raise some eyebrows. But stay out of the way as much as possible."

"Get all the details on the plans your community is working on. Has there already been legislation passed? Most of this information can be found on the town website. Knowing this information will help you put together a plan of action. Once you have it, you can begin to take your fight public."

“With the information you have gathered, begin to examine the effect the policies will have on the community and its residents. Find who the victims of the legislation may be. This will be of great value as you confront city council. People understand victim stories – especially if it is them. It is the best way to undermine the process.”

“You will find that Conservation Easements have raised taxes as much of the county land is removed from the tax rolls – someone has to make up for the lost revenue and the payment of easements. Are “stakeholder” groups helping to get landowners to sign up for the easements – and if so – do they get any kind of kickbacks? Who are getting the easements? You may find the rich land owners have found a great loophole to cut their own property taxes as the middle class pays for it.”

“Does the community plan call for reduction of energy use? If so, look for calls for energy audits and taxes on energy use. The audits mean that the government has set a goal to reduce energy use. It will follow that government agents are going to come into your home to inspect your energy use. Then they are going to tell you what must be done in your home to cut usage. That will cost you money. Don’t fall for the line that it is all voluntary – to help you save money. They haven’t gone to this much trouble to be ignored. Regulations are not voluntary.”

“These are just a couple of examples of what to look for as you do your research. There are many more, including meters on wells to control water use, smart meters on your thermostat to take away your control of your thermostat; non-elected boards and councils to control local development and implement smart growth, leading to population growth; Public/Private Partnerships with local and large corporations to “go Green;” creation of open space; pushing back live stock from streams, enforcing sustainable farming methods that restrict energy and water use in farming practices; and much more. It all leads to higher costs and shortages, in the name of environmental protection and conservation.”

“Your goal is to stop Sustainable Development in your community. That means to stop the creation of non-elected regional government councils that are difficult to hold accountable. It means to stop local governments from taking state and federal grants that come with massive strings attached to enforce compliance. And it means you must succeed in removing outsider organizations and Stakeholder groups that are pressuring your elected officials to do their bidding.”

“Armed with as much information as you can gather (and armed with the ability to coherently discuss its details) you are ready to take you battle to the public. First, it would be better for you to try to discuss it privately with some of your elected officials, especially if you know them. Tell them what you have found and explain why you are opposed. First discuss the effects of the policies on the average citizen. Explain why they are bad. Slowly bring the conversation around to the origin of such policies – Agenda 21 and the UN. Don’t start there. It is important that you build the case to show that these policies are not local, but part of a national and international agenda. If this conversation does not go well (and it probably won’t) then you have to take it to the next level – to the public.”

“Begin a twofold campaign. First, write a series of letters to the editor for the local newspaper. Make sure that you are not alone. Coordinate your letters with others who will also write letters to back up and support what you have written. These will generate more letters from others, some for your position and other against you. Be prepared to answer those against you as they are probably written by those “Stakeholders” who are implementing the policies in the first place. This may be a useful place for you to use what you’ve learned about these groups to discredit them.”

“Second, begin to attend Council meetings and ask questions. The response from the council members will determine your next move. If you are ignored and your questions met with silence or hostility, prepare a news release detailing your questions and the background you have as to why you asked those questions. Pass the news release out to the people at the next meeting as well as the news media. Attend the next meeting and the next demanding answers. Be sure to organize people to come with you. Don’t try this alone. If necessary, have demonstrators outside city hall carrying signs or handing out flyers with the name and picture of the officials who won’t answer your questions along with the question you asked – including the details you have about the policy.”
“The point in all of this is to make the issue public. Take away their ability to hide the details from the public. Expose the hordes of outsiders who are dictating policy in your community. Force the people you elected to deal with YOU – not the army of self-appointed “stakeholders” and government officials. Shine a very bright spotlight on the rats under the rock. If the newspaper is with you, great, but you will probably find it with the other side. It

may be difficult to get a fair shake in the newspaper or on radio. That's why you deliver your news releases to both the media and the public. Get signs, and flyers in stores if necessary. And keep it up for as long as it takes. Have the tenacity of the folks in Egypt who would not leave the demonstration until they had acquired victory."

"The final step is to use the energy you have created to run candidates for office against those who have ignored and fought you. Ultimately, that is the office holders worst nightmare and may be the most effective way to get them to respond and serve their constituents."

Page 27-28, Special Report: Agenda 21, Tom DeWeese, American Policy Center

DEFEATING A GREEN INITIATIVE

Newly elected Councilman, Mike Munzing of Aliso Viejo, California arranged and processed 244 questions regarding the Green City Initiative and submitted them to the Planning Director. Many aware people contributed to the list of questions. The Planning Director was stumped and baseless plan was foiled. Protect your town from Agenda 21 policies and use these questions to hold your public officials accountable.

"ACTUAL Questions Sent to Director of Planning & staff regarding Aliso Viejo's GCI

Question #1. Are you aware of the factual error on page 3 Green City Initiative (GCI)? "Global warming' refers to the average increase in the atmosphere's temperature caused by increased greenhouse gas emissions from human activities." Whose definition is this? This is an arbitrary political definition. The scientific definition includes all sources, not just "human activities."

Question #2. "Climate change," according to the National Academy of Sciences, refers to any significant, measurable change of climate lasting for an extended period. (Page 3 GCI). Define what is significant, how that measure was determined, and by whom. How do you accurately and separately identify "natural factors" from "human activities"?"

Question #3. "Importantly, "climate change" implies the possibility it can be slowed or reversed with appropriate and necessary efforts of us all." Page 3 GCI. Please justify this unfounded claim. Where did this implication originate? The City of Aliso Viejo is approximately 7 square miles in area. Please provide the calculated climate change impact which the GCI will produce.

Question #4. "climate change is a widely accepted fact among the scientific community." Page 3 GCI. Please identify a time when the climate has not changed.

Question #5. Describes greenhouse gas emissions sources and quantities in the City...Recommends initiatives and voluntary implementation measures the City and community can take to achieve greenhouse gas reduction..." Page 3 GCI Please provide a cost-benefit analysis showing the dollar cost to achieve a specific change in climate. Will that change in climate be localized to the City or be demonstrable at the State, Country, or global scale?

Question #6. "The Green City Initiative recommends the City develop a monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of the Initiative." Page 3GCI Please provide the parameters of the monitoring system/. Please define what will be defined as a "successful" Initiative.

Question #7. "Climate change is in part exacerbated by many daily activities, such as using natural gas to heat buildings, burning gasoline to drive vehicles, generation of electricity from fossil fuels and transport of water." Page 6 GCI Please define exacerbated by providing an established scientific relationship between how much a numerical measure of these daily activities changes the climate.

Question #8. "All these activities release greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O), into the atmosphere" Page 6 GCI. Please identify the number one GHG. (Answer: Water vapor accounts for approximately 95% of the GHG effect.) Please identify the human contribution to the total CO2 natural and human originated. (Answer: All human

activities amount to about 5% of the total CO₂ produced; 95% is the result of natural earth processes.) Please estimate the total human contribution to the GHG effect as a result of human CO₂ activities. (Answer: About 5% of 5% or about 0.25%.)

Question #9. "Science has clearly established global climate change as a real and significant threat to humankind." Page 6 GCI. Please identify a time when this was not so; this is a statement calling for human adaptation; climate has always been a moving target.

Question #10. "The National Academy of Science...indicates warming has accelerated during the past two decades." Page 6 GCI. Please explain conflicting reports from NASA, Hadley Climate Research Unit, and others which agree that there has been no detectible global temperature-increase for over 16 years even as atmospheric CO₂ has increased 10%.

Question #11. "Climate scientists estimate potential increases in temperature could be 1.5 degrees Fahrenheit by 2050; and 4.9 degrees Fahrenheit by 2100..." Page 6 GCI. Please explain the basis on which such "potential" increases are based. If they are from computer models, please explain how such models have failed to predict the current 16 years of global temperature stasis, even as CO₂ levels have increased 10%. What is the track record of such computer models vs. the actual temperature record?

Question #12. "increases are expected to be more pronounced in summer than winter" Page 6 GCI. Please explain the origin of this statement. GHG theory predicts warmer winters in the Northern Hemisphere.

Question #13. "heat waves are expected to increase in frequency with individual heat waves also showing a tendency toward becoming longer..." Page 6 GCI. As the actual record shows no such trend or pattern for the past century, please provide the evidence for this claim.

Question #14. "concentrations of greenhouse gases likely will accelerate the rate of climate change. Atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ have increased nearly 30% since the advent of the Industrial Revolution." Page 6 GCI. Please explain the relationship claimed. The majority of the observed global warming took place before the 1940s; the majority of CO₂ atmospheric increase took place after the 1940s. Please explain the logarithmic effect of CO₂ concentrations in GHG theory. That theory indicates that most of the CO₂ contribution came with the lowest levels of CO₂; as more CO₂ is added, it has a smaller and smaller impact on GHG warming.

Question #15. "During this period, CH₄ concentrations have more than doubled..." Page 6 GCI. Global levels of methane increased for decades in the 20th century as a result of worldwide industrial and agricultural activity. Then, from the 1980s through 2005, they leveled off. Please explain why.

Question #16. "Climate change has caused a reduction in Arctic sea ice..." Page 7 GCI. Please explain the increase in the Antarctic ice mass.

Question #17. "Release of methane currently trapped in frozen ground in the Arctic tundra will increase with regional warming..." Page 7 GCI. Please explain why measured atmospheric methane levels have been so stable.

Question #18. "Scientists estimate precipitation could increase between 12 and 35 percent by 2050, which in turn could increase sea level by 12-18 inches by 2050..." Page 7 GCI. Please explain what justification there is to accept these computer modeled-future out to 2050, when these models were unable to predict the current lack of global warming. Please explain why these models did not predict the observed approximate 7-12 inches per century ocean level rate-of-rise. Please explain the observed stable sea surface temperature even as CO₂ levels have risen

Question #19. "Health and Environmental Impacts" "Changes in Air Quality" "Floods and

Droughts” “Wildfires” “Biodiversity and Habitat” Pages 8,9 GCI. Please give factual evidence for these speculative claims. The actual historical record provides no consistent pattern for any of these claims.

Question #20. “A recent Pacific Institute study estimates a 1.4 meter sea level rise will occur over the next century and “put 480,000 people at risk...” Page 10 GCI. Why are you giving credence to an activist organization whose president is a disgraced scientist accused of using fraudulent measures to hack into another organization? <http://dotearth.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/06/08/petergleicks-pacific-institute-return/> Such computer studies will produce whatever results their programmers have built into them via assumptions, valid or not. Questions emailed to Director of Planning & Staff on Mon 3/11/2013 10:23 AM

Question #21. * Where did you get the information on “Climate Change” because there has been no increase in temperature in 15 years? Even Phil Jones, whose studies the IPCC’s position is based on, said there hasn’t been any “significant” change in more than ten years. As Aliso Viejo’s GCI references the United Nation’s IPCC numerous times. Why is the following chart not being included in the GCI? Please add this chart and it’s support documentation to the GCI, or clearly explain why it is being left out.”

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/images/pdf/Actual%20Questions%20sent%20to%20City%20Planner%20re%20Aliso%20Viejo%20GCI_March%202013.pdf

64. 8 STEPS FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS TO PROTECT CITIZENS’ RIGHTS

- 1) Place individual property rights first in all planning negotiations and actions.
- 2) Refuse federal or state money for new *Sustainable Development* programs and transition out of existing ones.
- 3) Do not accept grant money without examining all of the attached stipulations.
- 4) Avoid consortiums for the purpose of obtaining grant money.
- 5) Avoid partnerships with the federal government, HUD, NGOs, foundations, and corporations that advance the *Sustainable Development AGENDA*.
- 6) Be certain any plan you implement can be repealed if it is found to infringe on individual property rights.
- 7) Work with citizens to create a Property Rights Council
- 8) Be on guard against Sustainable Development promotion by the National Conference of Mayors, National League of Cities and the other national associations to which city council members and county commissioners belong.
- 9) Work with planners who will protect citizens’ property rights.

<http://atwatermerced912ers.com/2012/04/03/AGENDA-21-for-public-officials/>

Here’s an article titled: “Public Officials Must Learn About *AGENDA 21*”

http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/sustainable_development/public_officials_must_learn_about_AGE_NDA_21_20120307456/

65. PROPERTY RIGHTS DEFINED

This entire section is quoted from page 32 to 33, Special Report: Agenda 21, Tom Dewese, American Policy Center.

In a "Fifth Amendment" treatise by Washington State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Sanders (12/10/97), he writes: Our state, and most other states, define property in an extremely broad sense." That definition is as follows:

"Property in a thing consists not merely in its ownership and possession, but in the unrestricted right of use, enjoyment, and disposal. Anything which destroys any of the elements of property, to that extent, destroys the property itself. The substantial value of property lies in its use. If the right of use be denied, the value of the property is annihilated and ownership is rendered a barren right."

As a Founding Father, John Adams said:

"The moment the idea is admitted into society that property is not as sacred as the law of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence."

President Calvin Coolidge said:

"Ultimately, property rights and personal rights are the same thing."

Rancher and Property Rights Activist Wayne Hage said:

"If you don't have the right to own and control property then you are property."

Private Property Rights mean:

1. The owner's exclusive authority to determine how private property is used;
2. The owner's peaceful possession, control, and enjoyment of his/her legally purchased, deeded private property;
3. The owner's ability to make contracts to sell, rent, or give away all or part of the legally purchased/deeded private property;
4. That local, city, county, state, and federal governments are prohibited from exercising eminent domain for the sole purpose of acquiring legally purchased/deeded private property so as to resell to a private interest or generate revenues;
5. That no local, city, county, state, or federal government has the authority to impose directives, ordinances, fees, or fines regarding aesthetic landscaping, color selections, tree and plant preservation, or open spaces on legally purchased/deeded private property;
6. That no local, city, county, state or federal government shall implement a land use plan that requires any part of legally purchased/ deeded private property be set aside for public use or for a Natural Resource Protection Area directing that no construction or disturbance may occur;
7. That no local, city, county, state, or federal government shall implement a law or ordinance restricting the number of dwellings that may be placed on legally purchased/ deeded private property;
8. That no local, city, county, state, or federal government shall alter or impose zoning restrictions or regulations that will devalue or limit the ability to sell legally purchased/deeded private property;
9. That no local, city, county, state, or federal government shall limit profitable or productive agriculture activities by mandating and controlling what crops and livestock are grown on legally purchased/deeded private property;
10. That no local, city, county, state, or federal government representatives or their assigned agents may enter private property without the written permission of the property owner or is in possession of a lawful warrant from a legitimate court of law. This includes invasion of property rights and privacy by government use of unmanned drone flights.

66. VALUABLE RESOURCES FOR FIGHTING AGENDA 21

Here's an excellent booklet titled "Confronting AGENDA 21" and a DVD on how to discern and counteract the typical "Visioning Process" in your community.

<http://sovereignty.net/store/confront-A21-buy.html>

The video is also viewable in 3 parts at:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NEqCys9M4sY>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fe2dp6-7UDE>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uTANfm5RIWs>

Extremely well produced, comprehensive 20 minute video on the modern history of environmentalism: "AGENDA 21: How It Will Impact You"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XJYT52sl-qA>

"What is AGENDA 21", 6 minute video:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o3qW2XJZdSA&feature=related>

Here's an excellent 20 minute video on how a reputable environmental movement took the wrong turn in the 1980's towards *Sustainable Development*.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=OzoNoIQsTAE#!

Here's a good recap video of Agenda 21 in just 4 minutes by Dick Morris.

<http://www.dickmorris.com/agenda-21-will-bring-tyranny-dick-morris-tv-lunch-alert/>

Tom DeWeese of the American Policy Center compiled this comprehensive treatment of Agenda 21:

The Wrenching Transformation of America: Agenda 21

http://store.americanpolicy.org/collections/books/products/the-wrenching-transformation-of-america-agenda-21?mc_cid=27cd346d23&mc_eid=5f96f7463e

This dramatic video is of citizens in San Carlos, CA confronting their dismissive and tone deaf City Council with the truth about ICLEI and even the Vice Mayor eloquently confronts his fellow City Council members! The opposition to ICLEI begins at the 6 minute mark.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Su7i4CH7eYo&feature=player_embedded

A 24 minute speech by Tom DeWeese to a national conference of sheriffs explaining AGENDA 21 and its goal of wealth redistribution.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uVMwrTyX-bk&feature=related>

Here's a 16 minute video menagerie of very serious AGENDA 21 advancements.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kUI541MJyVo>

If you can handle learning the whole picture surrounding AGENDA 21 watch these 2 fantastic videos by Victoria Baer:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LCiClt3ugmo>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=birVw3mYGLE>

AGENDA 21 Creeps Into California Land Use Policy

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cg_hckM27Ao&feature=player_embedded

Excellent Brochure on the influence of AGENDA 21 to implement U.N. Standards. It describes the thuggish and arbitrary extortion of businesses by the California Air Resources Board. Environmentalism is so hostile to businesses in California that even many "green" industries are leaving the state.

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech-mainmenu-30/environment/6235-your-hometown-a-the-united-nations-AGENDA-21?tmpl=component&print=1&layout=default&page=>

Here's a powerful and concise 5 page article exposing *Sustainable Development*:
<http://americanpolicy.org/files/Sustainable-Development-Report.pdf>

Here's a 15 minute video including footage of a citizen in Austin, Texas giving a presentation on *AGENDA 21* to his city council. This video by attorney Michael Shaw, president of *Freedom Advocates*, asserts that *AGENDA 21* has gained a strong foothold in every area of the United States with its three pronged offensive: Stripping Property Rights, (miss)Education, and Depopulation.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4aE7tswL5aw&feature=player_embedded

Here are 6 videos on *The Deceptive AGENDA of Sustainability in Local Government*; by Tom DeWeese, who proves that *Sustainable Development* is for the purpose of a planned central economy and redistribution of wealth through land use controls, energy control, transportation control, industry control, control of food production, control of development, control of water resources, and control of population growth.

- Video 1
http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=OkcsdObf-94
Video 2
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?NR=1&feature=endscreen&v=yToPBer1xVQ>
Video 3 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P-gkrSBmAB4&feature=related>
Video 4 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D2B6XiBBTEc&feature=related>
Video 5 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dVOEnIFrDCo&feature=related>
Video 6 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rdi6BnbEKs8&feature=related>



BEHIND THE GREEN MASK: U.N. *AGENDA 21*, by Rosa Koire, is 172 pages of truth. "If you've been wanting an interesting, clearly written, how-to-manual for identifying and fighting U.N. *AGENDA 21*, here it is. *AGENDA 21* --All the information you need to understand what is happening in your town, why it's happening, who is behind it, and what you can do to stop it. Part history, part current events, part hand-to-hand combat, and part blueprint for keeping your freedom, this is one book that you'll put to work immediately. Boots on the ground and all hands on deck is the order of the day. Awareness is the first step in the Resistance."

http://www.amazon.com/BEHIND-THE-GREEN-MASK-AGENDA/dp/0615494544/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1330828950&sr=1-1

Here's a video by someone in Washington who distributed "Behind the Green Mask" to all the Washington legislators.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=2HpkCoaoxIk#!

Here's a recent interview with Rosa Koire on *AGENDA 21* that will raise the hair on your neck about *AGENDA 21*.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SBoam3rLQKO&feature=player_embedded

Here's an in depth video of Rosa Koire explaining that *AGENDA 21 Sustainable Development* is a blueprint, the action plan to inventory and control all land, all water, all minerals, all plants, all animals, all construction, all means of production, all energy, all education, all information, and all human beings in the world.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=H-qLUQlmBk4#!

"The origin for inventorying all the earth's resources is where it gets interesting, as I mentioned above. Dr. Nick Beggich of Alaska wrote a book and produced a dvd on HAARP that I would highly recommend called "Angels Don't Play this HAARP". Way back in the early 80's when they first planned to establish HAARP in Alaska, it was presented to Congress as being not only a weather control system that could be used as a weather control "weapon", but what sold it to Congress and why they allowed it to be established is the fact that the ionic beam it creates can be bounced off the atmosphere and down to the ground. This causes all the individual harmonic frequencies of each element in the ground to send back their individual frequencies, showing exactly where they are located. This allows the HAARP system to be the mapping source of all the world's gold, platinum, silver,

etc. Congress didn't want to pass it because of the ability to weaponize the weather, as we're seeing being done against us in the USA today. However, the allure of suddenly knowing where all the gold and other valuable minerals were, made them pass it.

Since then, the entire world has been mapped, and since then we have seen strategic landgrabs in certain specific areas but not only in the USA- everywhere, especially in Africa, which is why we are there right now along with China fighting over resources that we have no business trying to claim. This is why. They know where all the resources are located now. They have been mapped and calculated in each individual quantity and they have pretty nearly exact quantities on everything.

For example, In a documentary put out by the History channel back in November of 2012 titled "What's the Earth Worth?" They gave detailed info on the quantities of general resources like 5.6 QUADRILLION dollars in water resources and \$1.255 quadrillion dollars in non-water resources, for a total of \$6,873,951,620,979,880.00-that's 6.873 quadrillion dollars. NOW can you see why all the water issues are SO important right now and why they are trying to remove people from all water sources and gain absolute control over all groundwater, wetlands, creeks, rivers, and shorelines? Does this make sense to you? They know the biggest bucks are in the water, and therefore plan to ration out every single drop of it on the planet to the "paying polluters" like us!

So the fact that they are in this whole thing for the money shows the true motivation behind world government, and why everybody is willing to sell their souls to the US government in order to be a participant in this takeover of all our resources. They are all just drooling over the outrageous number of \$6.873 Quadrillion dollars, and if that means we no longer have normal weather anymore and they move the rain and snow storms to wherever they choose, then they say "so be it- I want to be filthy rich". Plus the whole weather control thing plays right into their climate change fraud. Before it was just global warming when we had some warm summers and winters, now it's changed to simply "climate change" where every anomaly is supposedly caused by us driving our cars. In fact, it's all controlled which is why all the bizarre weather is happening. The biggest signals of controlled weather is the huge swirling hurricane looking systems that are 1/2 the size of the US and bigger. We never used to have those off the coast of WA- except once in a great many years, but now we have them all winter long every winter for the past 6 years in a row. They can soak or draught any area they want to."

By Rene' Holiday

Henry Lamb is one of the original *AGENDA 21* Paul Reveres and he describes the worst aspects of *AGENDA 21* in this 10 minute video:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=O2I37RT3TCo#!

"A Plan to Stop Agenda 21", by Tom DeWeese. The beginning of the first highly organized and systematic nationwide movement to reverse *AGENDA 21*.

<http://americanpolicy.org/2013/01/15/a-plan-to-stop-agenda-21/>

AGENDA 21's impact on private water ownership, property rights and farming. Here's a 30 minute video by Donna Holt of Campaign for Liberty.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AWs7ZhX7aj8&feature=iv&src_vid=y5tX7sYDVUI&annotation_id=annotation_662336#t=10.4s

"*AGENDA 21*: A Global Economic Disaster in the Making" 3 videos

<http://www.rightsidenews.com/2011010412490/life-and-science/energy-and-environment/AGENDA-21-a-global-economic-disaster-in-the-making.html>

<http://www.rightsidenews.com/2011010612510/life-and-science/energy-and-environment/AGENDA-21-part-ii-globalist-totalitarian-dictatorship-invading-a-town-near-you-with-your-permission.html>

<http://www.rightsidenews.com/2011022312907/life-and-science/energy-and-environment/AGENDA-21-part-iii-maryland-county-abolishes-AGENDA-21-now-for-the-rest-of-the-country.html>

The Post Sustainability Institute was established to study the impacts that United Nations *AGENDA 21* /*Sustainable Development*, and Communitarianism have on liberty.

<http://www.postsustainabilityinstitute.org/>

Globalist Statists forcefully advancing United Nations *AGENDA 21* Environmentalism Control Movement into communities and states; by Tom DeWeese:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=iTYgmM53rCc#!

DEMOCRATS AGAINST U.N. *AGENDA 21*. Have you wondered where these terms 'sustainability' and 'smart growth' and 'high density urban mixed use development' came from? Doesn't it seem like about 10 years ago you'd never heard of them and now everything seems to include these concepts? Is that just a coincidence? That every town and county and state and nation in the world would be changing their land use/planning codes and government policies to align themselves with...what?

<http://www.democratsagainstunAGENDA21.com/index.html>

67. WATCH FOR THESE AGENDA 21 CODE WORDS AND ORGANIZATIONS

Affordable housing
American Planning Association
Ballot Box Planning
Benefit of all
Benefits-Driven
Bikable
Biological Diversity
Brendle Group
Buffer Zones
Cap & Trade
Capacity Building
Carbon Neutral
Choice
Clarion Group
Climate Action Plan
Climate Change
Common Core Curriculum
Common Good
Community Protocol
Complete Streets
Comprehensive planning
Consensus
Consensus Process
Conservation Easements <http://www.takingliberty.us/Narrations/easement/player.html>
Development Rights
Direct instruction
Downzoning
Endangered species
Environment
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)
Environmental Justice
Equity
Facilitator
Fair
Farm Based Zoning
General Plan
Global Warming
Good Business Sense
Grants
Green Building Codes
Green House Gas (GHG) Emissions
Green Jobs
Greenways
Growing Smart
Growth Management
Habitat
HEAL (Healthy Eating Active Living) Communities
Healthy Communities Strategy
High Speed Rail
Historic preservation
Historic Preservation
Homelands
Housing Element
Human Settlement Zones

Inclusive
Inter-disciplinary
International baccalaureate
International City/ County Management Group
International Council on Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI)
Invasive species
Jobs-Housing Connection
Land Use Policies
Lifelong learning
Livable Communities
Local Action 21
Local Governments for Sustainability
Local Visioning Coordinators
Metropolitan Planning Organizations
Mixed Use Development
Mixed use development
Modeling
Multimodal transportation
Multi-Use Dwellings
New Economy
New Urbanism
New World Order
One planet communities
Open Space
Outcome Based Education
Outcomes
Parking Policy
Precautionary Principle
Preserve
Priority Conservation Areas
Priority Development Areas (PDA)
Private Public Partnerships
Prosperity
Protect
Public/Private partnerships
Quality of life
Redevelopment
Redevelopment Agency
Regional
Regional Plan
Regional Planning
Regional Visioning Projects
Regionalism
Reinventing Government
Renaissance Planning Group
Resilient Cities
Resilient Cities
Responsible development
Restoration
Safe Routes to Schools
Sanctuary
Scenic Byways
Scenic views and vistas
School to work
Sensitive Lands
Smart cars
Smart grid
Smart Growth
Smart Meters
Smart Streets
Social justice

Stack and Pack Housing
 Stakeholder
 Star Sustainable Communities
 Sustainable Communities Initiative
 Sustainable communities partnership
 Sustainable communities strategies
Sustainable Development
 Sustainable Economic Development
 Sustainable Farming
 Sustainable medicine
 Three "E"s of Sustainability-Equity, Economy, Environment
 Thunderhead Alliance
 Traffic Calming
 Transit Oriented Development (TOD)
 Transit Villages
 Transportation Justice
 Triple bottom line
 Up zoning
 Urban Growth Boundary
 Urban revitalization
 Vehicle Mileage Traveled Tax
 Vibrant Neighborhoods
 Vision
 Vision 2020
 Vision 2025
 Vision 2030
 Visioning Meetings
 Walkable
 Walkable Communities
 Watershed
 Wetlands
 Wildlands Project
 Wildlands Agricultural Land Trusts

Affordable housing	Subsidized housing
Anti-Sprawl	Dense Urban Concentration Communities—Cramming Population in Close Quarters
Consensus	Phony agreement to predetermined outcome - They decided what you really need and have made the decision for you
Equity	Redistribution of Wealth from those who worked to hard to earn what they have to those who sit around with their hands out
<u>Precautionary Principle</u>	An excuse to ignore <u>cost benefit analysis</u> and economic impact of a policy in order to push an agenda
Public Private Partnership	Government Determines Terms- Private Business Subsidized
Smart Growth	High Density Housing Mass Transit Land Use Control
Social Justice	Opposite of Equal Justice under the law-Wealth Redistribution
Visioning	Process of attaining consensus - see above
Stakeholder	Person/Group with no legal interest in property dictating its' use

The policies of Agenda 21 are disguised by benevolent sounding names, such as....



68. REASONS FOR THE UNITED STATES TO SEVER ALL TIES TO THE U.N.



“SIXTEEN KEY U.S. OFFICIALS WHO SHAPED THE POLICIES LEADING TO THE CREATION OF THE U.N. WERE LATER EXPOSED IN SWORN TESTIMONY AS SECRET COMMUNISTS.

These included Alger Hiss, chief planner of the 1945 founding conference, and the Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, Harry Dexter White. The Soviet Union under Stalin and the entire Communist Party USA apparatus worked tirelessly to launch the UN. Since its beginning in 1921, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) has always worked for world government. The key CFR founder, Edward Mandell House, in his book, Philip Dru: Administrator, called for "Socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx..." The CFR was an early promoter of the UN, and 43 members of the U.S. delegation at the U.N. founding conference were or would become CFR members.”
www.apfn.org/apfn/un.htm

“ALMOST ALL OF THE UN'S "INDEPENDENT" COMMISSIONS FOR THE LAST THIRTY YEARS HAVE BEEN HEADED BY MEMBERS OF THE SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL.”
http://ncoic.com/un_usa2k.htm

THE WORLD'S WORST HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATORS

“For years the U.S. boycotted the U.N. Human Rights Council over the membership of the world's worst human rights violators, but President Obama joined in 2009. This week the U.S. signed up for another three-year term on the panel, which includes renowned human rights violators like China, Cuba, Russia and Saudi Arabia. The famously leftist world body is primarily funded by Uncle Sam to the tune of 7-plus billion dollars a year, even though some members of Congress have called for an end to the madness.

In fact, last year the Chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, introduced legislation to reform U.N. funding. “We need a UN which will advance the noble goals for which it was founded,” the Florida congresswoman said when she introduced the bill. “Unfortunately, the current UN continues to be plagued by scandal, mismanagement, and inaction, and its agenda is frequently hijacked by rogue regimes which protect each other while targeting free democracies like the U.S. and Israel.”

Laughable human rights council aside, the U.N. has long been a pillar of corruption, fraud and mismanagement. Yet the U.S. gives the world body significantly more than any other nation—nearly \$8 billion in 2010 alone—and there is no transparency, accountability or follow-up of any sort on how the cash is spent. Who could forget the U.N.'s shameful oil-for-food program with Iraq? It was supposed to help the Iraqi people by allowing Saddam Hussein to sell oil on the world market in exchange for humanitarian goods, such as food and medicine. Instead, Hussein exploited the \$64 billion program as thousands of companies paid the dictator illegal surcharges and kickbacks while the U.N. stood by.

Read all about that from the independent committee assigned to investigate the oil-for-food scandal. The U.N.'s fraud-infested contract division has also made headlines over the years for its shady deals. In fact, a few years ago the U.N. chief (Sanjaya Bahel of India) responsible for awarding billions of dollars in contracts to companies around the world, was sentenced to eight years in prison for accepting cash, real estate, wild parties and hookers as bribes.”

The world body also added more than a dozen new members this week, including several countries that are known for trampling on human rights. Among them are Venezuela, Pakistan and Kazakhstan. The U.N. welcomed them even though two vetting groups determined they were not qualified to serve based on the U.N.'s own voting records and domestic rights protections."

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/blog/2012/11/u-s-joins-more-dictators-on-u-n-human-rights-council/>

FOOD CONTROL, GUNS AND WEAPON CONTROL, POPULATION CONTROL

Before the days of political correctness the United Nations was more honest about their mission when they declared in 1972 that their goals were food control, guns and weapon control and population control.

PRE-EMPTYING THE AUTHORITY OF PARENTS OVER THEIR CHILDREN

"The U.N. Convention on the Rights of the Child also claims power not only to grant rights but also to cancel them "as provided by law." It claims that governments must guarantee children "freedom of expression... freedom to seek, receive, and impart information... freedom of thought, conscience, and religion," regardless of the wishes of their parents."

<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/un.htm>

THE U.N. IS BUILDING ITS OWN ARMY TO ENFORCE ITS WILL.

In 1992, U.N. Secretary-General Boutros Boutros-Ghali, fulfilling a directive from the U.N. Security Council, unveiled An Agenda for Peace, a plan to strengthen U.N. "peacekeeping" capabilities. The plan calls for armed forces to be made available to the U.N. "on a permanent basis." It ominously warns, "The time of absolute and exclusive sovereignty has passed" and proceeds to name a long list of "risks for stability" that would be used to justify use of the "permanent" U.N. army to enforce its will. Incredibly, U.S. leaders are using America's military to pave the way for this U.N. army. In Bosnia, Somalia, Haiti, and elsewhere, foreign U.N. commanders have controlled our troops. When 15 Americans were killed over Iraq in mid-1994, Vice-President Gore extended condolences "to the families of those who died in the service of the United Nations."

<http://propagandamatrix.com/archiveun.html>

UNITED NATIONS CLIMATE ENVOYS HAVE PROPOSED THE CREATION OF A GLOBAL "CLIMATE COURT"...

...that would be responsible for enforcing a sprawling set of rules requiring developed countries to cut emissions while compensating poorer countries in order to pay off a "historical climate debt."

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/12/10/un-floats-global-climate-court-to-enforce-emissions-rules/>

INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS UNION CONTROL OF THE INTERNET

"Many of the U.N.'s 193 member states oppose the open, uncontrolled nature of the Internet. Its interconnected global networks ignore national boundaries, making it hard for governments to censor or tax. And so, to send the freewheeling digital world back to the state control of the analog era, China, Russia, Iran and Arab countries are trying to hijack a U.N. agency that has nothing to do with the Internet.

For more than a year, these countries have lobbied an agency called the International Telecommunications Union to take over the rules and workings of the Internet. Created in 1865 as the International Telegraph Union, the ITU last drafted a treaty on communications in 1988, before the commercial Internet, when telecommunications meant voice telephone calls via national telephone monopolies.

Next week the ITU holds a negotiating conference in Dubai, and past months have brought many leaks of proposals for a new treaty. U.S. congressional resolutions and much of the commentary, including in this column, have focused on proposals by authoritarian governments to censor the Internet. Just as objectionable are proposals that ignore how the Internet works, threatening its smooth and open operations.

Having the Internet rewired by bureaucrats would be like handing a Stradivarius to a gorilla. The Internet is made up of 40,000 networks that interconnect among 425,000 global routes, cheaply and efficiently delivering messages and other digital content among more than two billion people around the world, with some 500,000 new users a day.

Many of the engineers and developers who built and operate these networks belong to virtual committees and task forces coordinated by an international nonprofit called the Internet Society. The society is home to the Internet Engineering Task Force (the main provider of global technical standards) and other volunteer groups such as the Internet Architecture Board and the Internet Research Task Force. Another key nongovernmental group is Iann, which assigns Internet addresses and domain names.

The self-regulating Internet means no one has to ask for permission to launch a website, and no government can tell network operators how to do their jobs. The arrangement has made the Internet a rare place of permissionless innovation. As former Federal Communications Commission Chairman William Kennard recently pointed out, 90% of cooperative "peering" agreements among networks are "made on a handshake," adjusting informally as needs change.

Proposals for the new ITU treaty run to more than 200 pages. One idea is to apply the ITU's long-distance telephone rules to the Internet by creating a "sender-party-pays" rule. International phone calls include a fee from the originating country to the local phone company at the receiving end. Under a sender-pays approach, U.S.-based websites would pay a local network for each visitor from overseas, effectively taxing firms such as Google and Facebook. The idea is technically impractical because unlike phone networks, the Internet doesn't recognize national borders. But authoritarians are pushing the tax, hoping their citizens will be cut off from U.S. websites that decide foreign visitors are too expensive to serve.

Regimes such as Russia and Iran also want an ITU rule letting them monitor Internet traffic routed through or to their countries, allowing them to eavesdrop or block access.

"The Internet is highly complex and highly technical," Sally Wentworth of the Internet Society told me recently, "yet governments are the only ones making decisions at the ITU, putting the Internet at their mercy." She says the developers and engineers who actually run the Internet find it "mind boggling" that governments would claim control. As the Internet Society warns, "Technology moves faster than any treaty process ever can."

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424127887324352004578136902821852508.html?mod=WSJ_Opinion_LEADTop

THE LAW OF THE SEA TREATY

"If the treaty is signed, the United Nations can regulate fishing, oil production, and even United States Navy exercises. All of our activities can be monitored by the United Nations!... Steve Groves, of the Heritage Foundation, an expert on LOST, writes: "In addition to needlessly exposing itself to baseless environmental lawsuits, the United States would be required to transfer billions of dollars in oil and gas royalties...to the International Seabed Authority for redistribution to the developing world."...An outspoken critic of the LOST Treaty is Jim DeMint (R-SC), who said: "This treaty would subordinate American sovereignty to the United Nations, impose an international tax on U.S. energy production that would raise costs for American families, and act as a backdoor Kyoto Protocol that could allow foreign nations to regulate U.S. energy emissions.""

<http://www.conservativeactionalerts.com/2012/06/obamas-next-trillion-dollar-giveaway/>

The Law of the Sea Treaty (LOST) is supported by the Obama administration. "It would give the UN control over offshore drilling, requiring the U.S. to pay half of its royalties to unelected UN bureaucrats who could spend it any way they want. Moreover, it would require the U.S. to make our offshore drilling technology available to any nation that wanted it and to do so for free despite the millions spent to develop it."

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51645#When:10:22:51Z>

THE U.N. IGNORES COMMUNIST ATROCITIES BUT TARGETS NON-COMMUNIST NATIONS AND LEADERS.

When Soviet tanks rolled into Hungary in the 1950s, when the Chinese Communists were murdering Tibetans in the 1960s, when the Soviets were butchering civilians in Afghanistan in the 1970s and 1980s, when Chechnya was brutalized by the Russians in the 1990s, the U.N. did nothing! But the U.N. declared tiny Rhodesia "a threat to international peace" in the 1960s, enabling pro-communist terrorist Robert Mugabe to seize power. And it was a UN-led campaign that brought self-described Communist and convicted terrorist Nelson Mandela to power in South Africa in the 1990s."

<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/un.htm>

AN EX-UNICEF OFFICIAL CONFIRMED THAT "PAMPERED AND COSSETED STAFFS" OF VARIOUS AID AGENCIES "ABSORB 80% OF ALL U.N. EXPENDITURES."

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/news/700859/posts>

U.S. TAXPAYERS PAY 25% OF THE U.N. BUDGET PLUS 31% OF THE U.N. SPECIAL-AGENCY BUDGETS

Additional billions of our dollars go to the IMF, World Bank, and other U.N. related lending agencies where they have been used for incredibly wasteful and subversive U.N. projects. (Not surprising since these agencies were designed by Soviet agent Harry Dexter White and Fabian Socialist John Maynard Keynes.) Socialist International spokesman Hilary Marquand aptly described the IMF as "in essence a Socialist conception." World Bank "aid" funds went to brutal Marxist dictator Mengistu while he was causing large-scale starvation and death in Ethiopia; to Tanzanian dictator Julius Nyerere as he drove peasants off their land and burned their huts; and to the Vietnamese Communists, sending thousands of boat people into the sea. Even Newsweek magazine concluded that the UN's foreign aid programs tend "to prop up incompetent governments or subsidize economies so they can never stand on their own." <http://blueeyedtex.com/politics/UN2.htm>

A GLOBAL TAX BY THE UNITED NATIONS ON GLOBAL WARMING

In Doha, Qatar, late Saturday [December 9, 2012], delegates attending the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) took concrete steps toward a new global treaty to address global warming that will transform the economic structure of the world with a **new global tax**. To be completed by 2015, the UN expects every nation to implement it by 2020, even though the globe has not warmed for the past 16 years.

Next year the UNFCCC's Executive Secretary Christiana Figueres will call a "significant number of meetings and workshops...to prepare the new agreement." Then in 2014, UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon will "convene leaders to mobilize the political will to ensure the 2015 deadline is met."

The new treaty would be destructive to the American economy by capping greenhouse gases, primarily carbon dioxide, the gas emitted when fossil fuels are burned. The UN insists that fossil fuels be replaced with solar, wind and other renewable energy sources, but even with billions of stimulus dollars and taxpayer subsidies, those sources produce woefully inadequate amounts of energy."

<http://www.eagleforum.org/topics/global-warming/un-takes-concrete-steps-toward-global-tax.html>

ATTEMPTING TO TAX BILLIONAIRES, CURRENCY TRADING AND OTHER FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS, CARBON EMISSIONS, ETC.

In April of 2012 the United Nations Economic and Social Council proposed a "1 percent tax on billionaires around the world. A tax on all currency trading in the U.S. dollar, the euro, the Japanese yen and the British pound sterling. Another "tiny" tax on all financial transactions, including stock and bond trading, and trading in financial derivatives. New taxes on carbon emissions and on airline tickets. A royalty on all undersea mineral resources extracted more than 100 miles offshore of any nation's territory.

<http://www.foxnews.com/world/2012/09/27/as-un-opens-its-general-assembly-session-it-is-already-thinking-up-new-global/#ixzz2D6EyUXbK>

ATTEMPTING TO TAX INTERNET CONTENT PROVIDERS AND INTERNET FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

"Dec. 3-14, 2012 the UN's International Telecommunications Union (ITU) is holding a **conference** in Dubai, at which U.N. member states will meet to update the ITU treaty arrangements for international communications...the window will be open for everything from proposals for UN-regulated and administered fees...to online censorship and government monitoring of Web traffic."

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2012/11/un_advances_effort_to_grab_control_of_the_internet.html

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/kellyphillipserb/2012/06/08/is-the-u-n-trying-to-tax-the-internet/>

The World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations' public health arm, is moving full speed ahead with a controversial plan to impose global consumer taxes on such things as Internet activity and everyday financial transactions like paying bills online — while its spending soars and its own financial house is in disarray.

<http://danieljmitchell.wordpress.com/2010/05/12/should-the-united-nations-get-to-tax-the-internet-atm-withdrawals-and-air-travel/>

ATTEMPTING TO GOVERN HOW TELEPHONE CALLS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS ARE
EXCHANGED INTERNATIONALLY

“The United States said Thursday that it will not sign a United Nations telecommunications treaty that U.S. technology companies warn would disrupt governance of the Internet and open the door to online censorship. The U.K. and Canada also said they would not ratify the treaty after negotiations ended at a conference hosted by the U.N. International Telecommunications Union (ITU) in Dubai. U.S. Ambassador Terry Kramer, who led the U.S. delegation during the conference, told reporters on a conference call that the U.S. could not sign the treaty because there were “too many issues here that were problematic for us.”

The treaty is intended to govern how telephone calls and other communications traffic are exchanged internationally. While it is not a legally binding document, Kramer said the U.S. opposed extending the scope of the treaty to include Internet governance and online content matters.”

<http://thehill.com/blogs/hillicon-valley/technology/272819-us-says-it-wont-ratify-un-telecom-treaty>

NATIONAL ANIMAL IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

“NAIS was designed by the U.N. to completely control and industrialize our food supply and bring all of our food producers both private and corporate, into fascist type, RFID micro-chipped, digital compliance with global regulations.”

Page 22, “*The Perils of Sustainable Development*”, Rene’ Holaday.

“The end goal of NAIS AND APHIS was to completely industrialize the food supply globally, so that there were no more small producers, just Stalin did in Russia.”

Page 20, IBID.

“This new proposed system of food governance planned to demand RFID (radio frequency identification micro-chipping of every living animal, as well as regular government inspections of private property and every animal that the animal owner had.”

Page 18, IBID.


<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/traceability/downloads/NAIS-UserGuide.pdf>

TREATIES TO REPEAL WHICH THE U.S. HAS
SIGNED WITH THE UNITED NATIONS

>> United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

“What most Americans do not know is that international treaties trump the U.S. Constitution. They have the same status as constitutional law and must be enforced by U.S. Courts. Thus, our most sacred right as a nation, our sovereignty, is eroded by such treaties. It was the reason that the Congress rejected membership in the League of Nations. We should have done the same with the United Nations, but for decades since we have provided a quarter of its budget while having just one vote in the General Assembly.”

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/51645#When:10:22:51Z>



H.R. 1146 and HR 75 IH WOULD SEVER THE UNITED STATES FROM THE UNITED NATIONS

“The American Sovereignty Restoration Act of 2011, H.R. 1146...terminates all U.S. participation in the United Nations, repeals the U.N. Headquarters Agreement which would leave the United Nations no offices along the East River in New York, stops all appropriations and contributions of U.S. taxpayer dollars for the organization, discontinues diplomatic immunity for U.N. officials, and most importantly ends the United States’ participation in any agreements or conventions with any U.N. organ, body, or agency or commission.” <http://www.tulsabeacon.com/?p=5548>

HR 75 IH

113th CONGRESS 1st Session

To end membership of the United States in the United Nations.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

January 3, 2013

Mr. BROUN of Georgia introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs

A BILL

To end membership of the United States in the United Nations.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
This Act may be cited as the ‘American Sovereignty Restoration Act of 2013’.

SEC. 2. REPEAL OF UNITED NATIONS PARTICIPATION ACT OF 1945.
(a) Repeal- The United Nations Participation Act of 1945 (Public Law 79-264; 22 U.S.C. 287 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) Termination of Membership in United Nations- The President shall terminate all membership by the United States in the United Nations, and in any organ, specialized agency, commission, or other formally affiliated body of the United Nations.

(c) Closure of United States Mission to United Nations- The United States Mission to the United Nations is closed. Any remaining functions of such office shall not be carried out.

<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/113/hr75/text>

American principles of respect for private property rights, free enterprise and representative government must be preserved.

***AGENDA 21* is a transfer of equal justice to social justice.**

It violates every principle upon which America was founded.

It must be exposed, renounced, reversed and outlawed.

69. "The world is a dangerous place to live: not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it." Albert Einstein

Summit County, Seize by eminent domain, 10.3 acre parcel known as the “Hunter Mine” from its owner Andy Barrie. “The Barries plan to harvest felled bristlecones and tree bows for their holiday products. The county’s argument for condemning the property revolves around a recent discovery that the old mining cabin was improved upon by the previous owner without proper county building permits. County officials have also touted the parcel for its outstanding biodiversity significance and for being an important travel corridor for carnivorous species, such as the Canadian Lynx and wolverines, that needs to be protected from commercial operations.” “What we’re seeing (from the Barries), in terms of commercial usage, doesn’t meet the county’s goals of preservation of open space,” said Brian Lorch, director of the Summit County Open Space & Trails Department, last week.”

<http://www.summitdaily.com/news/9734818-113/county-barries-property-hunter>

<http://www.mrconservative.com/2014/01/30242-obama-kicks-wyoming-residents-off-property-and-gives-it-away-to-indians/>